


Planning & Zoning AGENDA

Tuesday, May 27, 2025 at 6:00 PM

Commissioners
Scott Kaiser
Joaquin Acosta
Janet Acosta
Enrico Smith
Connor Murray
Kent Thurston



CITY OF LAS CRUCES

CITY HALL
P.O. BOX 20000
LAS CRUCES, NM 88004

700 N. Main St.
PHONE (575) 541-2067

Meetings can be viewed live at
lascruces.civicweb.net

CONSENT AGENDA

Minutes and Items on the Consent Agenda Are Considered Routine Items and Will Be Voted on with One Motion Unless a Commissioner Requests That a Specific Item Be Removed. There Will Be No Discussion.

In an effort to seek public input, we ask that if you have comments/concerns/questions to please call or e-mail the Planners in advance of the meeting at 575-528-3043 or Development_Services@lascruces.gov.

The City of Las Cruces provides equal employment opportunities and does not discriminate based on race, sex, age, disability, or any other protected class in employment or the provision of services. Individuals may request reasonable accommodations and language accessibility services at (575) 528-3043/TTY 711.

Page

1. **CALL TO ORDER**
2. **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**
3. **PUBLIC PARTICIPATION**
4. **ACCEPTANCE OF AGENDA**
5. **PLANNING & ZONING MINUTES**
 - 5.1. [04-22-25 PNZ Minutes](#) 3 - 21
6. **CONSENT AGENDA**
 - 6.1. A REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A NON-ADMINISTRATIVE REPLAT KNOWN AS PAYAN SUBDIVISION NO.1 FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 6090 PAYAN ROAD, THAT ENCOMPASSES APPROXIMATELY 0.45 ACRES AND IS ZONED NH-3S (NEIGHBORHOOD-3SUBURBAN) UNDER THE REALIZE LAS CRUCES DEVELOPMENT CODE. THE 22 - 32

SUBDIVISION IS PROPOSING TO REPLAT ONE (1) EXISTING TRACT OF LAND INTO FOUR (4) NEW LOTS THAT ARE 0.109 ACRES IN SIZE EACH. SUBMITTED BY BORDERLAND ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS, LLC., REPRESENTATIVE. (CASE 25CS0500004)

[CASE 25CS0500004 - Pdf](#)

- 6.2. A REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A NON-ADMINISTRATIVE REPLAT KNOWN AS HUERTA TRACTS. THE SUBJECT PROPERTY ENCOMPASSES APPROXIMATELY 0.437 ACRES, IS ZONED R-2 (MULTI-DWELLING LOW DENSITY), WITHIN THE NORTH MESQUITE OVERLAY ZONE AND LOCATED AT 615 PINON AVENUE. THE SUBDIVISION PROPOSES TO SUBDIVIDE ONE (1) EXISTING LOT INTO TWO (2) NEW LOTS THAT ARE APPROXIMATELY 0.174 AND 0.263 ACRES IN SIZE. (CASE 24CS0500033)

[CASE 24CS0500033 - Pdf](#)

7. NEW BUSINESS

- 7.1. A REQUEST FOR FINAL APPROVAL TO A MAJOR AMENDMENT INVOLVING THE SONOMA RANCH EAST II MASTER PLAN, TITLED SONOMA RANCH EAST II MASTER PLAN AMENDMENT #5, AND TO PROVIDE A RECOMMENDATION FOR THE CORRESPONDING ZONE CHANGES. THE PROPERTY IS GENERALLY LOCATED NORTH OF SONORA SPRINGS BOULEVARD, EAST OF PAGOSA HILLS AVENUE/PETALUMA AVENUE, AND WEST OF MESA GRANDE DRIVE. SUBMITTED BY SOUDER MILLER AND ASSOCIATES ON BEHALF OF SIERRA NORTE DEVELOPMENT, INC. (CASE 24CS0500131 AND 24ZO0500130)

[CASE 24CS0500131 and 24ZO0500130 - Pdf](#)

8. DISCUSSION

- 8.1. DISCUSS THE EL PASEO AND S SOLANO METROPOLITAN REDEVELOPMENT AREA PLAN.

[El Paseo and Solano MRA - Pdf](#)

- 8.2. UPDATE TO STAFF REPORTS AND PRESENTATIONS.

9. STAFF ANNOUNCEMENTS

10. ADJOURNMENT



PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION
FOR THE
CITY OF LAS CRUCES
City Council Chambers
April 22, 2025 at 6:00 p.m.

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:

- Scott Kaiser, Chair
- Enrico Smith, Vice-Chair
- Jeannette Acosta, Member
- Connor Murray, Member
- Kent Thurston, Member

BOARD MEMBERS ABSENT:

- Joaquin Acosta, Member

STAFF PRESENT:

- Chris Faivre, Director Community Development
- Adam Ochoa, Senior Urban Planner
- Sara Gonzales, Senior Urban Planner
- Christine Rivera, City Clerk

1. CALL TO ORDER (6:00)

Thurston: Good evening, welcome to the Planning and Zoning Commission meeting. Today is Tuesday, April 22, 2025. It's approximately 6:00 p.m. and I am Chair Thurston.

2. CONFLICT OF INTEREST

Thurston: Does any member of the commission or City staff know of any conflicts of interest with any items on the agenda? I personally have one for 7.1 that I'm going to have to step out for. All right, so conflicts of interest, only me.

3. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION

Thurston: Moving on to public participation. Is there anyone in the public that has anything that they'd like to bring up that's not on the agenda for tonight? Seeing none.

4. ACCEPTANCE OF THE AGENDA

Agenda Item #5.1.

1 Thurston: We will move on to the acceptance of the agenda. The acceptance of the
2 agenda also includes us accepting of the minutes and the two items on the
3 consent agenda.
4

5 Je. Acosta: Mr. Chairman. I make a motion to accept as presented.
6

7 Thurston: Do we have a second?
8

9 Murray: I second.
10

11 Faivre: Commissioner Smith.
12

13 Smith: Yes.
14

15 Faivre: Commissioner Murray.
16

17 Murray: Yes.
18

19 Faivre: Commissioner Acosta.
20

21 Je. Acosta: Yes.
22

23 Faivre: Commissioner Kaiser.
24

25 Kaiser: Yes.
26

27 Faivre: And Chair.
28

29 Thurston: Yes.
30

31 Faivre: Thank you.
32

33 **5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – March 25, 2025** 34

35 APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY.
36

37 **6. CONSENT AGENDA** 38

39 **6.1 Case No. 25CS0500011:** A request for approval of a non-administrative replat
40 known as College Subdivision No. 2 Replat of part of Tract 9. The subject property
41 encompasses approximately 0.2519 acres, is zoned R-1a (single-family medium
42 density), and is located at 1600 E. Boutz Road. The subdivision proposed to
43 subdivide one existing lot into tow new lots that are approximately 0.12 and 0.13
44 acres in size. Submitted by Borderland Engineers and Surveyors, representative.
45

46 APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY.

6.2 Case No. 25CS0500016: A request for approval of a non-administrative replat known as Dale Bellamah Annex replat No. 2. The subject property encompasses approximately 0.318 acres, is zoned R-1a (single-family medium density), and is located at 1741 Calle Feliz. The subdivision proposed to subdivide one existing lot into two new lots that are approximately 0.1553 +/- and 0.163 +/- acres in size.

APPROVED UNANIMOUSLY.

7. NEW BUSINESS

7.2 Case 24CS0500002: A non-administrative replat known as Thurston Tracts, Replat No. 1 for property located at the northwest corner of McGuffey Street and Bataan Memorial West that encompasses approximately 28.6 acres and is zoned R-2 (multi-dwelling low density), C-3 (Commercial high intensity), and M-1/M-2 (industrial standard). The subdivision is proposing to replat two existing tracts of land into five new lots ranging from 2.6 acres to 7.6 acres in size. Submitted by Surveying and mapping, LLC., representative.

Thurston: All right, moving on to new business. I am going to have to step out and turn the time over to Kaiser.

UNKNOWN: Is there any reason you can't stay in until we're done asking question and then step out?

Kaiser: No, so it's a conflict of interest, so he needs to leave for the presentation and the entirety of the discussion and the vote.

UNKNOWN: Well it's his property.

Kaiser: Yes, he can't decide on his own application.

UNKNOWN: He can step out on the voting. He can't stay for questions.

Kaiser: Okay, so before we hear a presentation from staff, we'll need to get a motion and a second to hear item 7.1.

Je. Acosta: Mr. Chairman. I make a motion to hear 7.1 as stated.

Murray: I second.

Kaiser: All right, we'll turn it over to staff for presentation.

Ochoa: Thank you, Commissioner Kaiser. First item we have before here is Thurston Tracts Replat No 1 is proposed non-administrative replat. This is located on the northwest corner of what is Bataan Memorial West and

McGuffey Street. Total subject property made up of two tracts encompasses a total of 28.6 acres in size, and they are currently vacant or undeveloped tracts of land. They are zoned a multitude of zoning designations from R-2 multi-dwelling low density, C-3 commercial high intensity, and M-1/M-2 industrial standard zonings. Two existing tracts were created by the previous parent subdivision, which was the Thurston Tracts Subdivision, which was approved in August of 2022.

Shown here are those tracts that we are discussing and highlighted in the red, or better yet bordered by the red. Two tracts here, as you can see there is a zoning of R-2 up here close on most northern part along McGuffey. C-3 here further south on the southern portion of this northern tract, and then C-3/M-1/M-2 for the southern portion here. Shown here, as you can see, that is a completely vacant piece of property. For some reason mapping is still showing that Monte Sol Street is coming through there, but as you can see from the subdivision that was before you, there's no plans for any type of street extension out from that Monte Sol Street. So again, this is a non-administrative replat. Since it is creating additional lots, it is required per the subdivision code to come before the Planning and Zoning Commission for approval, just like a final plat process. So this is replatting two existing tracts into five new lots. Lot one comes to 7.6 acres in size, that is the largest one. Lot two, 4.6 acres in size. Lot three, seven acres in size. Lot four, 6.6 acres in size. And lot five, 2.6 acres in size. One of these lots is multifamily, has the majority of the multifamily zoning on it, the northern portion, and the other lots are either commercial or commercial/industrial lots. So again, this is before you because it is required to come before you per the subdivision code following final plat process.

Shown here the proposed subdivision. Again, there's lot one, two, three, four, and five of the proposed non-administrative replat. There's no change in the actual zoning, so all zoning will remain the same. Same uses that were allowed before will remain as they do now. The only thing that's happening now is basically these two tracts are being cut out into lots. Additionally, with this subdivision there is a shared access agreement between lots, so there will be that as well. One access point off of McGuffey and one off of Bataan Memorial. So when staff did their analysis we recognize no life, safety, or welfare issues identified with the proposed replat. It does meet all requirements of a replat per the subdivision code, meets the standards of the zoning code for lot size, depth, width, and so on and so forth like that. And lots have shared access that meets the requirements of our design standards of the City of Las Cruces as well. Public notice was sent out to surrounding property owners per the 2001 Zoning Code requirement and subdivision code requirements. Staff did receive seven phone calls, mostly general information as to what's going on there, what's going to be built. A lot of that's really just unknown right now. This is just a subdivision. But a couple actually in support of the proposed

subdivision wanting commercial in this area because there's minimal commercial entities that can provide services to surrounding residential area, and some questions for potential traffic issues that they saw, one being that Monte Sol that I was pointing out, that road is not being platted into this lot, so just to clarify that again.

So this proposal did go before the Development Review Committee in April 2, 2025. And after very minor discussion the proposed replat was recommended for approval to the Planning and Zoning Commission. So with that staff does recommend approval for the replat based on the following findings, that it meets all subdivision code requirements, replat complies with the 2001 Zoning Code, subdivision code, and City of Las Cruces design standards, fulfills the intent and purpose of Elevate Las Cruces, meets the purpose and intent for Section 38-2 of the zoning code, and of course that our DRC did recommend approval for this proposal on April 2, 2025. With that tonight Commission your options are, one to vote "yes" and approve the replat, two to vote "no" and deny, three vote to amend, or four table and postpone. That is the conclusion of my presentation. The applicant's representative is here. If you have any questions for him. And I stand for questions.

Kaiser: Thank you. Does the applicant's representative wish to say anything before? All right. Any questions from the Commission? I have just a couple questions. So to clarify, this is strictly a replat. So this is not a subdivision plan that they're putting forward. Is that correct, or am I misunderstanding?

Ochoa: Commissioner Kaiser. So replat, it is a subdivision, but it's replatting to existing tracts into five lots. But it's called a replat because it's an existing subdivision that's being replatted into additional lots. So that's why we call it a replat, but technically, yes sir, it is a subdivision.

Kaiser: Okay, and then there, so basically their proposal is for no public streets, street dedications, then

Ochoa: Commissioner Kaiser. That is correct. There's no street dedication required. All street dedication already exists along Bataan Memorial West, McGuffey as well. There are improvements that are required along McGuffey, which are being done by developers at this time.

Kaiser: Okay. So if you go back to the, yes, was there a closer-up version, or was that it? No, go back, yes that one. I thought there was a closer one. So the lots that are in front, so lot four, lot five would have basically a private access easement to access lots one and two when this is developed?

Ochoa: Commissioner Kaiser. All five lots actually share those shared access. What's shown there as an actual solid line, sir, that's actually a flag lot for

1 lot two. So lot two will actually have actual frontage to Bataan Memorial
2 here.
3
4 Kaiser: Got you.
5
6 Ochoa: But since it's actually also designated as a shared access and utility
7 easement, everybody will be able to utilize this for the subdivision as well,
8 for cross-connectivity and access to not only Bataan Memorial, but also to
9 the one on the east side there going towards McGuffey. This one right here,
10 if we zoom in, it's actually a dash line because that's just a dedicated
11 easement for access and utilities there.
12
13 Kaiser: Okay. Thanks for the clarification. And then I think, final question so why
14 is Monte Sol Street, why would that not continue through to Bataan
15 Memorial?
16
17 Ochoa: Monte Sol Street, sir, was never actually part of this. This here is for some
18 reason is just shown on any map. If you go to Google, you go to Bing, you
19 go to Near Maps, everything that we have, it shows it patching through, but
20 as you can see from our actual, this is our zoning map, it ends right there,
21 sir. And the reason for that is there's actually no additional right-of-way that
22 can come down for Monte Sol that exists on the proposed subdivision today,
23 nor to the west, so it's basically just blocked right there for any type of
24 extension to the south, sir. And there actually isn't enough frontage for it to
25 actually patch through here as well, because it kind of straddles the middle
26 of the road if you will. It's not wide enough to allow that road to continuation
27 down south, sir.
28
29 Kaiser: Okay. So I guess I can see, I understand what you're saying from a
30 vehicular traffic perspective, but as far as say pedestrian access, there's no,
31 they're under no obligation to connect with the neighborhood, the existing
32 neighborhood that's directly to the north of them then.
33
34 Ochoa: Commissioner Kaiser. There's no requirement, but that is definitely
35 something that staff would bring it to not only the owner of the property, but
36 any future potential developer to allow for that connectivity, sir. Since the
37 road is essentially there it would kind of make sense if you had the
38 pedestrian connectivity, but the staff will definitely reach out to the developer
39 for that, sir.
40
41 Kaiser: Yes, I would strongly encourage it, because I mean we talk about
42 accessibility, and that to me if you don't have that connection even for
43 pedestrian access, you're basically making people walk pretty far out of their
44 way and drive just to literally access an amenity that's, in some cases,
45 directly behind them. So I would strongly encourage staff to take advantage
46 of the fact that there's already a public right-of-way. And even if you can't

Agenda Item #5.1.

1 get a full road dedication, I think having some access from a pedestrian
2 perspective would be valuable. Those are all the questions I have. Any
3 other questions from commission before we go to public comment? All
4 right. Is there anyone in the audience who wishes to speak on this item? I
5 see two individuals. So we'll start over here to my left. So if you can come
6 forward, ma'am. And we'll give you each three minutes to speak.

7
8 Elias: Good afternoon.

9
10 Kaiser: Please state your name for the record, and if you could get close to the mic,
11 that would be helpful so we can hear you.

12
13 Elias: My name is Yamel Elias.

14
15 Kaiser: And do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the
16 truth and nothing but the truth under penalty of law?

17
18 Elias: I swear.

19
20 Kaiser: Go ahead.

21
22 Elias: So I'm one of the affected persons in this plan. I live by the Sombra Azul
23 Street. And I do have like 10 questions. One of them is why they're trying
24 to do a business on a residential area, because according to these zoning
25 code that I got out of Google, I'm not an expert obviously, but there's some
26 urban achievements that needs to happen. And I'm also concerned about
27 my children's safe, community safety, traffic issues. That Montgomery
28 Street, it's very narrow. So there's going to be a lot of traffic in there. I'm
29 also concerned about my privacy. My wall is not that tall. So, and I do have
30 an over the ground pool and I have two kids. So this is like a huge concern
31 for me. And there's also in front of the area where they're trying to build this
32 business, there's a daycare and there's a temple in there. So again,
33 children, safety, community, I just see a lot of issues in here. And last but
34 not least, I love my view. The Organ view, I mean I just love it and they're
35 going to take it away from me.

36
37 Kaiser: Thank you.

38
39 Elias: Thank you.

40
41 Kaiser: All right, and then we'll go to the gentleman that was on my right. If you can
42 come forward.

43
44 Your acoustics are terrible in here.

Agenda Item #5.1.

1 Kaiser: If you could state your name for the record before you begin so I can swear
2 you in.
3
4 Kovarik: Yes, my name is Larry Kovarik. And I own lot number four in Tayvis Estates.
5
6 Kaiser: And do you swear or affirm that the testimony you're about to give is the
7 truth and nothing but the truth under penalty of law?
8
9 Kovarik: Yes.
10
11 Kaiser: Go ahead.
12
13 Kovarik: My first question was on page three that Adam had up there. I was a little
14 confused as to what he was telling us with regard to one section being C-3
15 and another piece being another designation. Can we ask Adam to come
16 up here real quick and clarify that?
17
18 Kaiser: If you want to finish speaking then we can address any questions
19 afterwards.
20
21 Kovarik: Okay, okay, okay. You made mention, Mr. Kaiser, you made mention about
22 a pedestrian entrance. Where were you talking about at?
23
24 Kaiser: Unfortunately, I don't have a pointer but it would be... Why don't you finish
25 your questions and then we'll try to get those addressed. Otherwise, we're
26 going to use up all of your time.
27
28 Kovarik: Are these going to be land leases or are they going to be individual property
29 sales? I'll wait for that answer. Is it possible, I know everything is possible,
30 is it possible that we could place a height restriction on all the buildings on
31 that property? That's all I have.
32
33 Kaiser: Thank you. Is there anybody else who wishes to speak on this item? All
34 right, coming back to the commission. So, staff, if we could maybe just
35 clarify a couple of points that came up. I think the one big one is the zoning,
36 maybe if you could revisit that because I know there's a little bit of wonkiness
37 going on.
38
39 Ochoa: Yes, thank you, Commissioner Kaiser. So, the zoning that's existing on the
40 property is the northernmost portion up here is zone R-2, which is
41 multifamily low density. The southern portion, a larger portion of that track
42 is zone C-3, commercial high intensity. And then the southernmost portion
43 that actually fronts Bataan Memorial here is zoned C-3/M-1/M-2. All the
44 zoning is already in effect for these properties. So, those land uses,
45 development standards, it's already vested. So, there's no change in that.
46 If they wanted to come in and develop a shopping center on both tracts as

1 is and not split the lots, they could come in and pull a permit tomorrow
2 morning for that by right and do the multifamily as well by right. The reason
3 we're here tonight is just for a subdivision, slicing up those two tracts into
4 five lots, essentially, is what's going on. When it comes to how the
5 developer will be selling those or leasing, staff isn't aware of exactly what
6 they'll be doing. We do know of one lot that is being sold. We don't know
7 how the rest will be done. To answer the question about having the privacy
8 and buildings right next to your property that are commercial, since there
9 are single-family around here, some buffer requirements and landscaping
10 requirements will be needed, will be required when development occurs on
11 these properties as well. So there is that, that'll be taken care of as well,
12 sir. When it comes to height restrictions, that can only be done when a
13 zoning, the zoning is being questioned or being brought before you. There's
14 no zoning being involved with this proposal. It's a subdivision code matter,
15 sir, not zoning.

16
17 Kaiser: But there is height restrictions already associated with those zones.

18
19 Ochoa: With the existing zones, yes, there is, sir.

20
21 Kaiser: And do you know what they are?

22
23 Ochoa: So R-2 maximum height is 35 feet. C-3 maximum height is 60 feet, which
24 are extremely rare and far and between in Las Cruces in my 17 years here.
25 And then M-1/M-2 is 60 feet as well, sir.

26
27 Kaiser: Thank you. And then can you maybe just describe a little bit about what,
28 give some examples of the types of uses that would go in potentially to the
29 C-3 and then the C-3/M-1/M-2.

30
31 Ochoa: Sure. Commissioner Kaiser. What you're looking at is retail shops can be
32 going here; shopping centers can be located in here. We currently have
33 under review a tractor supply company that'll be going into one of these lots.
34 Office space can be developed in here as well. Restaurants is also
35 permitted use, gas stations. All these types of uses are allowed by right in
36 the zoning designations, sir.

37
38 Kaiser: Thank you. And then I guess just to clarify the question around the
39 pedestrian access, what I was referring to was the Monte Sol Street, that
40 corner there. Again, based on what we're seeing I don't know if it's possible,
41 but just encouraging staff that if it is that should be considered as part of
42 this project. So, thank you. Any other questions from the commission? All
43 right, looking for a motion to approve item 7.1.

44
45 Je. Acosta: Mr. Chair. I present to move forward, make a motion.

Agenda Item #5.1.

1 Kaiser: We're mixing things up tonight, so we're just going to move.
2
3 Faivre: This is on the vote for item 7.1. Commissioner Smith.
4
5 Smith: Yes.
6
7 Faivre: Commissioner Murray.
8
9 Murray: Yes.
10
11 Faivre: Commissioner Acosta.
12
13 Je. Acosta: Yes.
14
15 Faivre: Commissioner Kaiser.
16
17 Kaiser: Yes, based on the staff recommendation and consistency with Elevate Las
18 Cruces.
19
20 Faivre: And the chair had a conflict. Thank you.
21
22 Kaiser: All right. If we can get our chair returned to the dais. Paging Chair Thurston.
23
24 **7.2 Case 24CS0500088 and 24ZO0500089:** A request for final approval to amend the
25 Sonoma Ranch Master Plan, Known as Sonoma Ranch Master Plan Amendment
26 #4, and provide a recommendation for the corresponding zone changes. The
27 property is located on the east side of Sonoma Ranch Boulevard and south of its
28 intersection with Northrise Drive. Submitted by Souder Miller and Associates on
29 behalf of Sierra Norte Development Inc., property owner.
30
31 Kaiser: Welcome back. We'll turn it back over to you.
32
33 Thurston: Thank you. All right, so now we, if I understand this right now we need a
34 motion to hear 7.2. Can we get a motion to hear?
35
36 Murray: I make a motion to hear 7.2.
37
38 Kaiser: Second.
39
40 Smith: I second.
41
42 Gonzales: So, the proposal before you is for Sonoma Ranch North Master Plan
43 Amendment #4 and the corresponding zone changes. Currently, the
44 property is located on the east side of Sonoma Ranch Boulevard, south of
45 Northrise Drive. The total master plan when it was approved in 1997
46 encompassed 275 acres. What's remaining is 106 acres that is essentially

1 as part of the master plan, but it's not being modified. And so there's 25
2 acres that's undeveloped. Five and a half acres or so, 5.75 acres is what's
3 being requested to be master planned and rezoned, which is currently
4 zoned flood control and open space recreation.

5
6 This is currently the vacant portion that is about 25 acres or so plus or minus
7 as far as acreage. The rest of the master plan would consume all of the
8 single-family residential, the commercial office, multifamily, that is located
9 along Sonoma Ranch. And so essentially, these parcels here are not
10 affected by the requested master plan amendment or zone change. The
11 only portion that we are here to discuss would be the smaller portion located
12 at the very bottom of the master plan of these 25 acres. This area right here
13 is our flood control and open space. It's 5.75 acres that is still vacant and
14 undeveloped across from essentially the state police office if you've driven
15 down Sonoma Ranch.

16
17 So the master plan amendment is to modify the planning parcels six and
18 10. Essentially, 10 was the original planning parcel that encompassed the
19 5.75 acres. That is going to be modified, and then they're also creating
20 planning parcel 17. What they're doing is basically modifying some of the
21 land uses within those designated acreage. It's an increase in residential
22 uses, reducing flood control because flood control is actually being used
23 through the arroyos that are currently there by the natural flow, maintaining
24 the open space and recreation, and then creating office, commercial, and
25 multifamily zoning along Sonoma Ranch Boulevard.

26
27 So essentially the zone change that goes with a master plan, because you
28 have to do both processes together, you'll see that zone change for parcel
29 six, the 0.99 acres is being added to the R-1a and R-1b zoning designation.
30 Then you have parcel 10 which is remaining, that will stay the two acres of
31 flood control and open space. Then parcel 17 is created with 2.76 acres
32 that can be either used for C-2 which is our commercial medium intensity,
33 O-2 which is office professional, limited retail, or R-3 which is our multi-
34 dwelling medium density.

35
36 This will give you a better idea of what the map is changing from and what
37 the existing zonings are and what was being proposed. So this is the
38 modified area at the bottom of the actual zoning map. And you can see in
39 blue that it's flood control and open space. North of that has been rezoned
40 in a previous master plan that was done in 2018 where it shows commercial,
41 office, and multifamily. These are all zoned single-family residential. This
42 area is not being modified. So what you can see is the one acre is being
43 added to the R-1a and R-1b to increase the residential district. Flood control
44 and open space is going to maintain the two acres so that way we see the
45 park coming in for development. And then this portion right here is the
46 commercial, office, and residential multifamily.

1
2 When staff did their analysis, what we look at is there's always going to be
3 goals and actions that will follow through, but there's also some of the
4 development strategies that we're looking at whenever you propose
5 something. When you're looking at a suburban neighborhood type, it is
6 saying to intermix basically your residential, your commercials, have nodes
7 that are going to actually impact the neighborhood. Along all of these areas
8 you can see where those commercial services have become very useful to
9 the neighborhood because it took a lot of residents to get those
10 developments to actually take place. Now you can see a lot of those
11 commercials, as you were stating earlier, there's some of them that actually
12 do have walkable spaces from the roads behind the commercial buildings.
13 So people have pedestrian activity. They're not relying on vehicles. They
14 can go to random services. Not everything has become necessarily drive-
15 through restaurants or something else. You have Edward Jones, you have
16 different office services provided now. So when we looked at this by
17 allowing the commercial to go along that frontage on Sonoma Ranch, you're
18 also creating a buffer. You're putting the higher traffic along the higher
19 roadways, which is your major arterials, that buffer is now providing you
20 know leeway to the park so they're not directly on Sonoma Ranch, that could
21 create an impact as far as traffic moving through. And then you're creating
22 the next buffer that goes over into a residential. So you create a transition.

23
24 We did take this to the DRC on April 2nd. They do review master plans;
25 however, they are not a reviewer of zone changes as they move forward.
26 So when DRC looks at master plans they're looking for infrastructures,
27 access, any of the improvements that would be required for a commercial
28 development or a residential development coming forward. There was very
29 little discussion as far as any impacts that would be negatively impacting
30 the neighborhood based on the proposed zone change and modification of
31 the master plan. So with that, DRC did recommend approval. Once again
32 zone changes do not require recommendation by DRC. We did send out
33 notice as part of the subdivision code because that is what the master plan
34 does follow, to the 500 feet within the surrounding neighborhood. We did
35 receive; I did receive one call yesterday. Some of the concern was more
36 that are people allowed to park on the streets next to the commercial
37 development or behind the commercial development. The way the roadway
38 network has been created is those are local streets, so it does provide
39 additional access in order to basically access those commercial
40 developments. So if you don't want to be along Sonoma, you would have
41 an easier access point. With that, staff's findings are that the master plan
42 and the rezoning do match and are consistent with the sections outlined in
43 38-2 in the 2001 Zoning Code. It also does meet the intent that Elevate has
44 provided showing that you do have a mix and a variety of land uses going
45 throughout the development in a suburban neighborhood. It is harmonious
46 with the character areas. It is not out of context with what is currently there

1 and existing and being developed. So with that, your options are to vote
2 "yes" and approve, vote "no" to deny, vote to amend, or vote to table. I
3 stand for any questions. And the applicant's representative is here as well.
4
5 Thurston: Thank you. I'm going to open it up to questions by the commissioners. Do
6 you guys have any questions?
7
8 Smith: I just have one question. Of that 5.75 acres to be rezoned, do you have any
9 idea how much of that is going to be open space and recreation?
10
11 Gonzales: Mr. Chair and Commissioner Smith. That's going to be two acres that are
12 going to remain. Because a lot of it was designated for the flood control,
13 not necessarily the open space recreation. The flood control is now being
14 handled through those arroyos that are along the property boundary, and
15 so this will be for that park area. There's usually some kind of flood zone or
16 flood components that are always associated with parks, but that'll be
17 generally where the park and open space are maintained.
18
19 Smith: Thank you.
20
21 Thurston: Kaiser.
22
23 Kaiser: I have a couple, Chair. Kind of following along on what Commissioner Smith
24 just asked. Can you explain when this was originally master planned out in
25 1997, what was the plan for the open space recreation? What was the
26 rationale for that five and change acre parcel?
27
28 Gonzales: Mr. Chair, Commissioner Kaiser. I do not know what the rationale was to
29 have that five acres. I don't necessarily know that it was approved as five
30 acres in 1997. There were a lot of modifications. The primary modifications
31 took place in 2018, so a lot of the parcels were rezoned. When we took it
32 in 2018, 22 parcels were modified and we ended up creating more, and
33 that's when that five acre parcel was essentially more established as to what
34 it could actually be developed as. From the original master plan I would not
35 answer that of knowing what those things were. But when we changed in
36 2018 a lot of those modifications took place.
37
38 Kaiser: Okay. Fair enough. So in 2018, what was the plan?
39
40 Gonzales: That was a designated open space at the time in 2018. It was open space
41 and flood control because a lot of the water was moving to that area. As
42 we've noticed and going through that, the applicant can also explain how
43 the arroyo has affected where the drainage is no longer necessary for that
44 parcel, and that's why it's being reduced. If it was to be maintained, a lot of
45 it was going to be probably a giant pond that was going to maintain any of
46 the water coming off sites, but because that's no longer in use, this is going

1 to become essentially the park area. We list them as flood control because
2 there's always flood control components whenever you're building those
3 parks.

4
5 Kaiser: Okay. But the open space element of it, it was open space, flood control,
6 and I think you said recreation, so were they planning to build a park? What
7 was?

8
9 Gonzales: Mr. Chair and Commissioner Kaiser. Yes. It's always going to, that
10 essentially was always going to be the designated park area for the master
11 plan itself. In that park area though there was an increased acreage to
12 accommodate flood control. Now that that's not needed, the park is
13 remaining. The park is not changing. That's going to be essentially the park
14 for the neighborhood that was originally planned.

15
16 Kaiser: Okay, so the, so in 2018 a five acre parcel was identified for flood control
17 and a park. and now we're saying the flood control is apparently no longer
18 necessary, even though we have a lot more impervious surface out there,
19 and so therefore we're reducing the park component by X percent. I'm trying
20 to figure out how did we decide that we're, the park isn't changing, we're
21 just stripping out the flood control. How do we distinguish between those
22 two?

23
24 Gonzales: Mr. Chair and Commissioner Kaiser. I'll go ahead and have the applicant's
25 representative explain, one, how the water is being separated. If flood
26 control is being separated from the process, why that's available now. As
27 far as the park remaining, the two acres would always be the park that was
28 already established that would still remain as the park. The flood control
29 and park, the way that it's zoned and designated is always to show that we
30 have that component to go associated with the park whenever we build the
31 ponds that are going to suffice the park. And so we don't just label them as
32 open space because they're going to have some type of drainage
33 component with them. But it'll still be within those two acres that the park is
34 developed. We're not stripping away the two acres of the park that was
35 originally planned.

36
37 Kaiser: So the flood control was always specifically for the park.

38
39 Gonzales: The flood control was always specifically for five acres and a portion for that
40 park, yes. Now we're just reducing the amount that's needed for flood
41 control.

42
43 Kaiser: Okay. I'd like to hear from the applicant to better understand how we no
44 longer need flood control when we did in 2018.

Agenda Item #5.1.

1 Pompeo: Good evening, Commissioners. Paul Pompeo with Souder Miller
2 Associates on behalf of the applicant.
3
4 Thurston: I've got to swear you in here. Do you swear or affirm that the testimony
5 you're about to give is the truth and nothing but the truth under penalty of
6 law?
7
8 Pompeo: Yes, I do.
9
10 Thurston: Thank you.
11
12 Pompeo: Thank you, Mr. Chairman, Commissioners. Basically, this rezoning to
13 remove the flood control component comes from a variance request that the
14 developer has submitted to the City of Las Cruces, whereas we are going
15 to store the runoff from this development, all of Sonoma Ranch North, will
16 be contained behind the Las Cruces flood control dam. So as such, we do
17 not need that volumetric storage within the development, and thereby it's
18 been removed. After that theory was put forward to the City, we had
19 numerous discussions with Parks and Recreation. What Parks and
20 Recreation wanted was a two-acre developed park. They were not
21 interested in any other property outside of that. So that's what reduced it
22 down toward that two-acre threshold.
23
24 Kaiser: Thank you.
25
26 Thurston: Anybody have any more questions?
27
28 Kaiser: I have a couple more.
29
30 Thurston: Keep going.
31
32 Kaiser: This is back to staff. How many units, residential units, are planned for the
33 extra little piece that's going to be rezoned to residential?
34
35 Pompeo: Mr. Chairman. I can answer that question.
36
37 Kaiser: Go ahead.
38
39 Pompeo: Okay, so due to the lot configuration along this edge of the arroyo and the
40 property lines, we're getting two additional residential lots by the rezoning
41 of this area right here being rezoned from open space recreation with flood
42 control to R-1a and R-1b.
43
44 Kaiser: Okay. Thank you. I think those are all my questions.
45

- 1 Thurston: I had a couple of questions here. In the, is this a DRC report? It says that
2 basically Parks and Rec was not there at the time. And so it says, "I do not
3 see Parks here. And so I will basically provide Parks did say as long as the
4 developer works with them through the design then they're approving the
5 plans." So from the applicant's testimony there, he says we only have to
6 provide a two acre park. Is that correct?
7
- 8 Gonzales: Mr. Chair and Commission. That is correct.
9
- 10 Thurston: Okay, and then is, so the flood control, open space recreational, there's
11 enough two acre park there?
12
- 13 Gonzales: Mr. Chair, Commission. That's correct, yes.
14
- 15 Thurston: And none of that has to be used for a pond or anything such as that?
16
- 17 Gonzales: Mr. Chair. That is also correct. Because all of that is going through the
18 actual dam.
19
- 20 Thurston: Okay. I just know in the past there's, ponds and, parks and ponds don't like
21 to mingle together for some reason, and so I just wanted to clarify that on
22 there. Is this going to be going under the Realize, is this under the Realize,
23 or is this under the old code?
24
- 25 Gonzales: Mr. Chair. This is currently under our old code because as everyone knows
26 right now we're unable to use our new code. And so based on the
27 development that's why you see the zoning designations that are placed
28 before you, being from the 2001 Zoning Code.
29
- 30 Thurston: Okay. And my only other one was the open space, but I think you answered
31 all the questions. I don't have anything else. Anything else for the
32 commissioners? All right, we will now, let me see, I got to find my notes
33 here. There it is. Do we have any public comments? Is there anyone in
34 the public who'd wish to make any comments at this point? Okay, seeing
35 none, we will move on to, I guess, a motion. Is it, hold on, oh, just a vote.
36 Sorry, a vote.
37
- 38 Gonzales: Mr. Chair. May I interject? We do need to take two votes, just so there is
39 an understanding that we have two cases that are being presented. P&Z is
40 the final action on the master plan, and then P&Z is a recommending body
41 to City Council. And so I would appreciate the votes to be separated out,
42 so that way they are very clear moving forward to City Council.
43
- 44 Thurston: Okay, so this, we only have on here is the 7.2, so how do you want me to
45 break that out?
46

Agenda Item #5.1.

1 Gonzales: Mr. Chair. I would just recommend approval, or recommend approval of the
2 master plan first, and then go through the recommending approval of the
3 zone change presented.
4

5 Je. Acosta: Mr. Chair. I make a motion to approve the master plan as presented.
6

7 Thurston: Do we have a second?
8

9 Kaiser: Can I get a point of clarification? So the master plan would be the new lots?
10 That's what the master plan would be?
11

12 Gonzales: Mr. Chair. That is correct. That is saying that the boundaries are being
13 modified to reflect those areas as far as being residential, commercial, and
14 open space.
15

16 Thurston: Okay, but does the motion have to have the case number on it, then?
17 Because you got two case numbers on the bottom. Okay. All right, so we
18 need a second for the motion of a, oh no.
19

20 Murray: I have a question for staff real quick. Which case number is associated with
21 which item, Sara?
22

23 Gonzales: Mr. Chair and Commission. Case numbers that begin with CS is going to
24 be your master plan. The case referring after that has ZO is going to be for
25 zoning ordinances.
26

27 Murray: Thank you.
28

29 Faivre: Okay, so this is on the vote for the master plan, case number
30 24CS0500088. Commissioner Smith.
31

32 Smith: Yes.
33

34 Faivre: Commissioner Murray.
35

36 Murray: Yes.
37

38 Faivre: Commissioner Acosta.
39

40 Je. Acosta: Yes.
41

42 Faivre: Commissioner Kaiser.
43

44 Kaiser: No.
45

46 Faivre: And Chair.

1
2 Thurston: Yes.
3
4 Faivre: Thank you. And this one will be on the vote for the zone change, case
5 number 24ZO0500089. Commissioner Smith.
6
7 Smith: Yes.
8
9 Faivre: Commissioner Murray.
10
11 Murray: Yes.
12
13 Faivre: Commissioner Acosta.
14
15 Je. Acosta: Yes.
16
17 Faivre: Commissioner Kaiser.
18
19 Kaiser: No.
20
21 Faivre: And Chair.
22
23 Thurston: Yes.
24
25 Faivre: Thank you.
26

27 **8. DISCUSSION**
28

29 Thurston: Okay, now we're moving on to discussion items. Is there any, you got a
30 hand in the audience, no? Do we have any other discussion items for
31 commissioners?
32
33 Kaiser: Chair. I just have one question. Last month I asked staff if it was possible
34 to get a presentation updating us on the progress at Three Crosses
35 redevelopment project. I would just like to request that that get added to
36 next month's agenda.
37
38 Thurston: Yes, we can get that on there. Anybody else have any items for discussion?
39
40 Je. Acosta: This is, I don't know if I can bring this up, but I'm curious about the Lohman
41 Corridor. I know it's been several years, and I know our City Manager
42 approved, with the City Councilors back then, on widening the Lohman
43 corridor for public safety. Right now, it's getting pretty bad. Do you have
44 any information on that? And if you can bring it forward maybe in one of
45 these meetings so we can kind of get updated on that, please.
46

1 Gonzales: Mr. Chair and Commissioner Acosta. Yes. We can look into something on
2 that to see what the progress is for Lohman, yes.
3

4 Thurston: Thank you. I only have one discussion. I know it's not really a discussion
5 item. It'll be for next time. Some of the presentation formatting, is in,
6 sometimes it's confusing to me when I'm receiving it from staff. And so I
7 wanted to basically just reach out to you guys and say, hey, is there any
8 other formatting or other information that you guys are needing from staff to
9 make the presentations a little bit easier for us to understand? One of the
10 examples would have been last month was when we were talking about a
11 park, whether it was in the old master plan. It's there, it's not. So I think
12 some history would help me out personally. There's a couple other things
13 that I'd like to get on there. But I want to open it up for discussion next time
14 and just basically give it to you guys for, you know bring your thoughts and
15 recommendations so that it makes it easier for us, or for you know all of us
16 are a little bit different and so whatever sticks out to you and what you want
17 to see, if we can bring those recommendations, kind of write them out so
18 that we can go over that. So it'll be easier for us when we're getting some
19 recommendations or giving recommendations to staff of what we would like
20 to see from them. Other than that, I don't have any other items for
21 discussion.
22

23 **9. STAFF ANNOUNCEMENTS**

24
25 Thurston: We'll move on to the staff announcements.
26

27 Gonzales: Staff does not have any announcements, Chair or commission.
28

29 **10. ADJOURNMENT (6:47)**

30
31 Thurston: We need a motion to adjourn.
32

33 Smith: I move that we adjourn.
34

35 Kaiser: I second.
36

37 Thurston: All in favor, say "aye."
38

39 MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.
40
41
42
43
44

45 Chairperson



Type of Action:
Planning & Zoning
Staff Report

Planning & Zoning Commission Staff Report

District:	☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☒ 6 ☐ N/A		
Adopted:	May 27, 2025		
Drafter:	Adam Ochoa	Department:	Community Development
Program:	Community Planning	Line of Business:	Community Planning
Title:	A REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A NON-ADMINISTRATIVE REPLAT KNOWN AS PAYAN SUBDIVISION NO.1 FOR PROPERTY LOCATED AT 6090 PAYAN ROAD, THAT ENCOMPASSES APPROXIMATELY 0.45 ACRES AND IS ZONED NH-3S (NEIGHBORHOOD-3SUBURBAN) UNDER THE REALIZE LAS CRUCES DEVELOPMENT CODE. THE SUBDIVISION IS PROPOSING TO REPLAT ONE (1) EXISTING TRACT OF LAND INTO FOUR (4) NEW LOTS THAT ARE 0.109 ACRES IN SIZE EACH. SUBMITTED BY BORDERLAND ENGINEERS AND SURVEYORS, LLC., REPRESENTATIVE. (CASE 25CS0500004)		

PURPOSE(S) OF ACTION:

To approve a non-administrative replat.

BACKGROUND / KEY ISSUES / CONTRIBUTING FACTORS:

Per Article VII Section 37-206 of the City of Las Cruces Subdivision Code, a replat occurs when changes take place on a previously filed subdivision plat. Replats proposing to increase the total number of lots of a previously filed subdivision shall follow procedures and information appropriate to those given to final plats. Non-administrative replats, such as what is currently being proposed, are required to go before the Planning and Zoning Commission for final approval.

The applicant is seeking approval of a non-administrative replat known as Payan Subdivision No.1. The proposed subdivision is located on the south side of Payan Road approximately 427 feet east of its intersection with Porter Drive and seeks to replat one (1) existing tract of land that encompass approximately 0.45 acres into four (4) new residential lots that are approximately 0.109 acres in size. The proposed subdivision was submitted at the time that the Realize Las Cruces Development Code was in effect and is permitted to utilize those development standards. The Realize Las Cruces Development Code allows for reduced lot dimensions, lot sizes, and setback requirements to allow for greater density than the 2001 Zoning Code. The proposed subdivision is located along a local roadway with predominantly residential land uses and zoning in the surrounding area.

The proposed subdivision meets all requirements of the City of Las Cruces Subdivision Code. The proposed new lots also meet all development standards of the Realize Las Cruces Development Code. On April 16, 2025, the Development Review Committee (DRC) reviewed the proposed replat. The DRC reviews subdivisions from an infrastructure, utilities and improvement standpoint. After very minor discussion, the DRC unanimously voted to recommend APPROVAL for the proposed replat. Please see Attachment “D” for minutes of the DRC meeting.

Agenda Item #6.1.

In accordance with the Subdivision Code, Notice of Public Hearing was mailed to all property owners within 500 feet of the subject property. All comments and concerns will be discussed during the presentation for the proposed non-administrative replat.

SUPPORT INFORMATION:

[Attachment "A" - Zoning Map](#)

[Attachment "B" - Aerial Map](#)

[Attachment "C" - Non-Administrative Replat Payan Subdivision No.1](#)

[Attachment "D" - April 16, 2025, Development Review Committee Meeting Minutes](#)

[Attachment "E" - Findings](#)

PLAN(S):


Department Strategic Business Plan, Elevate Las Cruces

COMMITTEE/BOARD REVIEW:

P&Z, DRC

OPTIONS / ALTERNATIVES:

1. Vote "Yes"; this will approve the non-administrative replat known as Payan Subdivision No.1.
2. Vote "No"; this will not approve the non-administrative replat, and the one (1) existing tract shall remain.
3. Vote to "Amend"; this could modify approval of the non-administrative replat by adding conditions as the Planning and Zoning Commission deems appropriate.
4. Vote to "Table"; this will postpone consideration of the proposed non-administrative replat and would need further direction from the Commission.





PAYAN SUBDIVISION NO. 1

A 0.45 ACRE TRACT OF LAND, LOCATED
IN THE SW1/4 SECTION 13, T.22S., R.2E., N.M.P.M.
OF THE U.S.G.L.O. SURVEYS
CITY OF LAS CRUCES
DONA ANA COUNTY
NEW MEXICO
JANUARY 2025

THIS PLAT HAS BEEN APPROVED FOR EASEMENT PURPOSES ONLY. THE
SIGNING OF THIS PLAT DOES NOT IN ANY WAY GUARANTEE UTILITY SERVICE
BY THE UNDERSIGNED COMPANIES TO THE SUBDIVISION.

UTILITY APPROVALS

EASEMENTS SHOWN HEREON, COPIES OF WHICH HAVE BEEN PRESENTED TO
COMCAST, ARE SATISFACTORY TO MEET THE NEEDS FOR THE
INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF UNDERGROUND, OVERHEAD,
AND FEEDER CABLE/TELEVISION/INTERNET UTILITIES AS APPLICABLE..

COMCAST

BY: _____ DATE: _____

EASEMENTS SHOWN HEREON, COPIES OF WHICH HAVE BEEN PRESENTED TO
EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY, ARE SATISFACTORY TO MEET THE NEEDS FOR THE
INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF UNDERGROUND, OVERHEAD,
AND FEEDER ELECTRICAL FACILITIES AS APPLICABLE.

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY

BY: _____ DATE: _____

EASEMENTS SHOWN HEREON, COPIES OF WHICH HAVE BEEN PRESENTED TO
QWEST CORPORATION, D/B/A/ CENTURY LINK QC ARE SATISFACTORY TO MEET THE
NEEDS FOR INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF UNDERGROUND
OVERHEAD, AND FEEDER TELEPHONE FACILITIES AS APPLICABLE.

QWEST CORPORATION, D/B/A/ CENTURY LINK QC

BY: _____ DATE: _____

CITY APPROVAL BLOCK

THIS PLAT HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE CITY OF LAS CRUCES, AND ALL
THE REQUIREMENTS FOR APPROVAL IN THE ABOVE PLAT HAVE BEEN COMPLIED
WITH TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE CITY OF LAS CRUCES. SUBJECT TO ANY AND
ALL CONDITIONS REQUIRED BY THE PLANNING AUTHORITY FOR APPROVAL OF THIS
PLAT.

DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT _____ DATE _____
DIRECTOR OF UTILITIES _____ DATE _____

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS _____ DATE _____

CITY PLANNING & ZONING COMMISSION APPROVAL

THIS PLAT HAS BEEN SUBMITTED TO AND CHECKED BY THE CITY PLANNING
& ZONING COMMISSION. IT CONCURS WITH THE EXPANSION OF EXISTING
UTILITIES AND THOROUGHFARES AND IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH GENERAL CITY
PLANNING. APPROVED FOR FILING AND RECORDING WITH THE COUNTY CLERK.

CHAIRMAN _____ DATE _____ SECRETARY _____ DATE _____

PLAT NO. _____ RECEPTION NO. _____

STATE OF NEW MEXICO }
COUNTY OF DOÑA ANA } SS

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS INSTRUMENT WAS FILED FOR RECORD ON
THIS _____ DAY OF _____, 20____, AT _____ AM/PM
AND DULY RECORDED IN PLAT BOOK NO. _____, PAGE(S) _____
AND FILED IN THE RECORDS OF THE COUNTY CLERK, DOÑA ANA COUNTY,
NEW MEXICO.

DEPUTY CLERK _____ COUNTY CLERK _____

<AGI SUBDIVISION PHASE 1>
(BK.23, PG.473)

Varela, Lourdes & Rafael
Lot 1, AGI Sub. Ph.1
Instrument #1408266, 4/25/14

Tie to the W1/4 Corner Section 13, T.22S., R.2E.
N00°19'30"E, 198.98'
S89°40'30"W, 405.08'

4.0' Dedicated CLC R.O.W.
PREUIT SUBDIVISION, PH1
filed 6/23/16 in Plat Book 24, Pg. 106

4.0' Dedicated CLC R.O.W.
MESA VILLAGE TRACTS NO.1, RPLT 1
filed 4/7/06 in Plat Book 21, Pg. 584

Exist 5' Road & Utility Easement-
MESA VILLAGE TRACTS No.1, REPLAT 1
(BK.21, PG.584)

Zamora, Jose
Lot 2, Mesa Village Tracts No.1, Rpl.1
Instrument #103263, 1/23/11

<MESA VILLAGE TRACTS No.1, REPLAT 1>
(BK.21, PG.584)

Exist 10' Utility Easement-
MESA VILLAGE TRACTS No.1, REPLAT 1
(BK.21, PG.584)

Correra, Ramon
Parcel R0219830
Instrument #9421681,
9/8/94

Burciaga, Raquel, Hernandez, Francisco
Lot 1, Preuit Sub. Ph.1
Instrument #1619970, 8/22/16

Gomez, Oscar & Hoyte G.
Lot 2, Preuit Sub. Ph.1
Instrument #1619984, 8/22/16

Escobar, Juan & Carolina
Lot 3, Preuit Sub. Ph.1
Instrument #1619982, 8/22/16

Roscon, Rosa, Ornelas, Miriam
Lot 4, Preuit Sub. Ph.1
Instrument #228798, 11/4/22

<PREUIT SUBDIVISION PHASE 1>
(BK.24, PG.106)

32.0' Dedicated CLC R.O.W.
PAYAN ROAD AND WLT AVENUE DEDICATION
filed 11/19/02 in Plat Book 20, Pgs.178-183

Tract "A" (547s.f.) is Dedicated to CLC
as R.O.W. by the filing of this Plat

Exist 12' Utility Easement
SUPREME SUBDIVISION No.1
(Inst.#2403167)

Supreme Investments LLC
Lot 2, Supreme Sub. No.1
Instrument #1703608, 2/16/17

<SUPREME SUBDIVISION No.1>
(Inst.#2403167)

Supreme Investments LLC
Lot 3, Supreme Sub. No.1
Instrument #1703608, 2/16/17

Montoya, Daniel, Gloria
Parcel R0222390
Instrument #2314075, 7/10/23

Supreme Investments LLC
Lot 3, Supreme Sub. No.1
Instrument #1703608, 2/16/17


SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT I AM A REGISTERED LAND SURVEYOR, THAT
THIS PLAT WAS PREPARED FROM FIELD NOTES OF AN ACTUAL SURVEY, MADE
BY ME OR UNDER MY DIRECTION AND THAT IT IS TRUE AND CORRECT,
MEETING THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS OF THE STANDARDS FOR LAND
SURVEYS IN NEW MEXICO AS ADOPTED BY THE NEW MEXICO STATE BOARD OF
REGISTRATION FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS AND LAND SURVEYORS, TO
THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF.

JANUARY 2022

DATE OF SURVEY

TERENCE G. SCANLON - PS. NO. 9433
2990 N. MAIN STREET, STE. 3C, LAS CRUCES, NEW MEXICO 88001



DEDICATION:

THE 0.45 ACRE TRACT OF LAND SHOWN HEREON IS TO BE KNOWN AS
"PAYAN SUBDIVISION NO. 1"

ALL RIGHTS OF WAY AND PUBLIC AREAS SHOWN HEREON ARE DEDICATED TO
THE CITY OF LAS CRUCES. UTILITY EASEMENTS ARE GRANTED FOR THE USE OF
THE UTILITY COMPANIES THAT ARE SIGNATORY TO THIS PLAT AND TO THE CITY
OF LAS CRUCES. ALL RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE CITY OF LAS CRUCES
AND SAID UTILITIES WILL APPLY TO THESE EASEMENTS. ALL OTHER
EASEMENTS SHOWN HEREON ARE GRANTED FOR THE USE INDICATED. NO
ENCROACHMENT THAT WILL INTERFERE WITH THE USE OF EASEMENTS AS
SHOWN ON THIS PLAT, IS ALLOWED.

THE SUBDIVISION HAS BEEN DEDICATED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE
DESIRES/WISHES OF THE UNDERSIGNED OWNER(S) OF THE LAND SHOWN HEREON.

INSTRUMENT OF OWNERSHIP:
WARRANTY DEED

ESPINOZA, GILBERT
INSTRUMENT #2422623
Filed; OCTOBER, 18, 2024

OWNER OF RECORD:

ESPINOZA, GILBERT
2514 Scenic Crest Loop
Las Cruces, NM 88011

I THE UNDERSIGNED OWNER HEREBY SET OUR HANDS AND SEAL THIS

_____ DAY OF _____, 20____

Gilbert Espinoza

STATE OF NEW MEXICO)
COUNTY OF DOÑA ANA) SS

THE FOREGOING INSTRUMENT WAS ACKNOWLEDGED BEFORE ME THIS _____


DAY OF _____, 20____ BY _____

NOTARY PUBLIC

MY COMMISSION EXPIRES _____

LEGEND


- i.r.f. = 1/2" IRON ROD FOUND (no cap)
- 1/2" IRON ROD SET (9433) (see note 5)



1" = 30'
0 30 60
Scale in Feet

NOTES:

- BEARINGS AND DISTANCES MATCH THAT OF RECORD UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
AS DESCRIBED IN THE ORIGINAL INSTRUMENT OF RECORD AS FILED 10-18-24, INSTRUMENT #2422623
- THE SUBDIVIDER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR (a) ALL EASEMENTS, MAIN LINE EXTENSIONS, AND
STUBOUTS NECESSARY TO PROVIDE SEPARATE UTILITY SERVICES TO EACH LOT AND
(b) COMPLIANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE CITY UTILITY STANDARDS BEFORE FILING OF THIS PLAT.
- Ⓟ DENOTES ON LOT PONDING REQUIRED. EXCESS RUNOFF TO BE RETAINED
WITHIN EACH LOT. PONDING TO BE MAINTAINED BY LOT OWNER.
- PROPERTY IS SHOWN TO BE IN AN "X" (Outside 500 yr.) DESIGNATED ZONE
BY GRAPHIC PLOTTING ONLY THIS TRACT IS ALSO IN A "X" (Shaded 0.2% chance) DESIGNATED ZONE
AND IN A "AE" (SFHA) DESIGNATED ZONE
AS SHOWN ON THE FLOOD INSURANCE RATE MAP COMMUNITY PANEL NO.
3501300914 G, EFFECTIVE JULY 6, 2016
- NO ENCROACHMENT THAT WILL INTERFERE WITH THE USE OF EASEMENTS SHOWN
ON THIS PLAT IS ALLOWED.
- 1/2" IRON RODS WITH A 1" dia. PLASTIC CAP STAMPED WITH #9433 TO BE SET AT ALL LOT
CORNERS NOT FOUND UPON FILING OF THIS PLAT. ALL FOUND/ACCEPTED MONUMENTS TO BE TAGGED.
- THE BASIS OF BEARING FOR THIS PLAT ARE THE 1/2" IRON RODS FOUND ALONG
THE SOUTH PROPERTY LINE,
AS SHOWN IN THE ORIGINAL INSTRUMENT OF RECORD AS FILED 10-18-24, INSTRUMENT #2422623
- TRACT "A" IS DEDICATED TO THE CITY OF LAS CRUCES AS R.O.W. BY THE FILING OF THIS PLAT.





CITY OF LAS CRUCES

DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE (DRC)

Following are the minutes from the City of Las Cruces Development Review Committee Meeting held Wednesday, April 16, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. in Room 1158.

DRC PRESENT: David Weir, Deputy Director Community Planning
Rocio Nasir, Senior Engineer, Utilities
Cathy Mathews, Landscape Architect, Parks & Rec.
Tony Trevino, Deputy Director, Public Works
Mike Kinney, Plan Review Engineer, Com. Dev.
Kyle Metzgar, MPO
Michael Danner, Fire Investigator LCFD
Steven Bingham, Director, Parks & Rec

STAFF PRESENT: Adam Ochoa, Senior Planner
John Castillo, Planner, Community Development
Vincent Banegas, Interim Planner
David Sedillo, Public Works

OTHER PRESENT: Steve Peale
Michael Huerta, Moy Surveying
Anton Magallanez, Moy Surveying
John Moscato, Sierra Norte Development
Paul Pompeo, Souder Miller

1. CALL TO ORDER (9:00 a.m.)

Weir: Okay. Good morning again. We'll go ahead and start the DRC meeting of April 16th.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES -

2.1 April 2, 2025

Weir: The first item on the agenda is approval of minutes. We have minutes from April 2, 2025. If folks have had a chance to review those, if they have any amendments or changes they'd like to make. Not seeing any. Do I have a recommendation to approve?

Nasir: So moved

Weir: And then do I have a second?

1 Metzgar: Second.

2
3 Weir: Okay. We have a motion and a second. All those in favor say "aye"

4
5 MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

6
7 Weir: All those opposed. Okay, minutes approved by acclamation.

8
9 **3. NEW BUSINESS**

10
11 **3.1 Case No. 25CS0500004: Payan Subdivision No. 1**

- 12 • A request for approval of a non-administrative replat, known as Payan
- 13 Subdivision No. 1.
- 14 • The proposed subdivision currently encompasses approximately 0.45
- 15 acres, is zoned NH-3S (Neighborhood-3 Suburban) and located at 6090
- 16 Payan Road.
- 17 • The subdivision proposes to subdivide one (1) existing residential lot into
- 18 four (4) new lots that are approximately 0.109 acres in size.
- 19 • Submitted by Borderland Engineers and Surveyors LLC,
- 20 Representative.

21
22 Weir: That'll move us down to new business. The first case is 3.1, Payan

23 Subdivision No. 1. Is the applicant here?

24
25 Peale: Yes, sir, Steve Peale for Borderland Surveyors.

26
27 Weir: Okay. Community Development, do you have a presentation or overview

28 of what's being requested?

29
30 Ochoa: Yes sir. Before you have, and on the screen here is Payan Subdivision No.

31 1. It's a request for approval of non-administrative replat, which

32 encompasses approximately about 0.45 acres in size and is zoned NH-3S

33 under Realize Las Cruces. And is located at 1690 Payan Road. This

34 subdivision was submitted at the time that Realize was in effect, so basically

35 these lots now follow what Realize allows. So this is one of the, well

36 basically the first subdivision we have that's following Realize, so thank you

37 Steve. That's pretty awesome.

38
39 Peale: You're more than welcome.

40
41 Ochoa: So the subdivision proposes to subdivide the existing about 0.45 acre lot

42 into four new residential lots that all encompass approximately 1.09 acres

43 in size. And this was submitted by Borderline Engineers and Surveyors.

44 This did go through a couple reviews. First reviews were just your standard

45 existing zoning of REM, but under Realize, they decided to go under Realize

46 and now follow these standards. So that review went as well and all

1 reviewing parties did recommend approval for the proposed replat. And
2 there are no outstanding comments on this.
3
4 Weir: Mr. Peale. Do you have anything you'd like to add?
5
6 Peale: No.
7
8 Weir: Okay. Departments, any comments?
9
10 Nasir: It is on the plat that the subdivider or the developer will be responsible to
11 extend service lines on this because it was subdivided to a smaller portion
12 and this street is already developed. It had already the service lines for the
13 amount of lots that were there before, but now it's going to be for I think two
14 more or one more, I don't remember which one it is.
15
16 Weir: And so is there capacity for that or it's just something that will come with the
17 building?
18
19 Nasir: No, it will be the capacity. We did that review. I just wanted to note it and
20 that is also on the plat.
21
22 Weir: Okay. So there's no need for condition or anything?
23
24 Nasir: No, nothing. I just wanted to note it on the minutes. That's it.
25
26 Weir: Okay. Public Works here, okay for the plat. Community Development,
27 Adam. Fire Department?
28
29 Danner: No, we're good.
30
31 Weir: Okay. Parks and Rec.
32
33 Mathews: I'll defer to my director since I don't know Realize all that well.
34
35 Weir: Okay. I assume it would be the impact fees. MPO, anything?
36
37 Metzgar: Nothing.
38
39 Weir: Okay. Well, if that's the case, CD recommended approval or
40 recommendation of approval from the DRC. Do I have a motion to that
41 effect?
42
43 Trevino: I'd like to make a motion to approve.
44
45 Weir: Okay. Do I have a second?
46

Agenda Item #6.1.

1 Nasir: Second.

2
3 Weir: So I'll just go by the departments. Public Works.

4
5 Trevino: Yes.

6
7 Weir: Community Development. Yes. Utilities.

8
9 Nasir: Yes.

10
11 Weir: Fire Department.

12
13 Danner Yes.

14
15 Weir: And Parks and Rec.

16
17 Mathews: Aye.

18
19 Weir: Okay. So case one is recommendation of approval that will be going to the
20 P&Z next month, Adam.

21
22 Ochoa: That will be correct in the May Planning and Zoning Commission meeting.

23
24 Weir: Okay.

25 26 **4. DISCUSSION ITEMS**

27
28
29 Weir: That takes care of all of our business items today. Are there any discussion
30 items? We don't have any on the agenda, but is there anything that the
31 committee would like to discuss? I'm not hearing anything.

32 33 **5. ADJOURNMENT (09:16 a.m.)**

34
35 Weir: That takes us to adjournment. Do I have a motion to adjourn?

36
37 Nasir: So moved.

38
39 Weir: Okay. Do I have a second?

40
41 Trevino: Second.

42
43 Weir: All those in favor?

44
45 MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

46

Agenda Item #6.1.

- 1 Weir: All those opposed/ Meeting is adjourned at 9.16.
- 2
- 3
- 4
- 5
- 6
- 7

Chairperson

Findings

1. The proposed non-administrative replat meets the requirements of a replat as required by the Subdivision Code, Section 37-207B.
2. Based upon review by relevant City staff, the proposed non-administrative replat complies with all requirements of the Las Cruces Subdivision Code and the City of Las Cruces Design Standards.
3. The non-administrative replat meets the development standards of the Realize Las Cruces Development Code.
4. The Development Review Committee (DRC) recommended approval of the proposed non-administrative replat during a public meeting on April 16, 2024.



Type of Action:
Planning & Zoning
Staff Report

Planning & Zoning Commission Staff Report

District:	☒ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ N/A			
Adopted:	May 27, 2025			
Drafter:	John Castillo	Department:	Community Development	
Program:	Community Planning	Line of Business:	Community Planning	
Title:	A REQUEST FOR APPROVAL OF A NON-ADMINISTRATIVE REPLAT KNOWN AS HUERTA TRACTS. THE SUBJECT PROPERTY ENCOMPASSES APPROXIMATELY 0.437 ACRES, IS ZONED R-2 (MULTI-DWELLING LOW DENSITY), WITHIN THE NORTH MESQUITE OVERLAY ZONE AND LOCATED AT 615 PINON AVENUE. THE SUBDIVISION PROPOSES TO SUBDIVIDE ONE (1) EXISTING LOT INTO TWO (2) NEW LOTS THAT ARE APPROXIMATELY 0.174 AND 0.263 ACRES IN SIZE. (CASE 24CS0500033)			

PURPOSE(S) OF ACTION:

To approve a non-administrative replat.

BACKGROUND / KEY ISSUES / CONTRIBUTING FACTORS:

Per Article VII Section 37-206 of the City of Las Cruces Subdivision Code, a replat occurs when changes take place on a previously filed subdivision plat. Replats proposing to increase the total number of lots of a previously filed subdivision shall follow procedures and information appropriate to those given to final plats. Non-administrative replats, such as what is currently being proposed, are required to go before the Planning and Zoning Commission for final approval.

The applicant is seeking approval of a non-administrative replat known as Huerta Tracts. The proposed subdivision is located at 615 Pinon Avenue and seeks to replat one (1) existing residential lot encompassing 0.437 ± acres into two (2) new residential lots that are 0.174 ± and 0.263 ± acres in size. The subject property currently consists of a residence and accessory structure. The proposed subdivision is located within a predominantly residential area with comparable lot sizes. Each lot will have access from Pinon Avenue or Tornillo Street, which are classified as local roadways and not identified on Elevate Las Cruces’s Future Thoroughfare Map or the Mesilla Valley Metropolitan Planning Organization (MPO) Future Thoroughfare Plan.

The property is located within the Urban Neighborhood Place Type as shown on the Future Development Map in the Elevate Las Cruces Comprehensive Plan. The Urban Neighborhood Place Type includes a variety of residential housing types at medium-to-high densities and areas of supporting neighborhood commercial development. The proposed subdivision helps promote a more diverse mix of single-family detached housing types, including variations in lot sizes. The subdivision also helps to promote higher densities. As the proposed replat is located within the North Mesquite Historic District, it seeks to maintain traditional block sizes and shallow building setbacks to ensure the character of the area, and the vision of Elevate Las Cruces is met.

The submission of this non-administrative replat was reviewed by all applicable city departments. No negative comments nor objections were provided by staff to the proposed replat. The proposed subdivision meets all requirements of the Subdivision Code, Design Standards, and the 2001 Zoning Code, as amended. On April

Agenda Item #6.2.

16, 2025, the Development Review Committee (DRC) reviewed the proposed replat. The DRC reviews subdivisions from an infrastructure, utilities and improvement standpoint. After very minor discussion, regarding the existing accessory structure meeting setback requirements, the DRC unanimously voted to recommend APPROVAL for the proposed replat. Please see Attachment "D" for minutes of the DRC meeting.

In accordance with final plats being required to go before the Planning and Zoning Commission and shall be advertised in accordance with Section 37-114, Notice of Public Hearing was mailed to all property owners within 500 feet of the subject property. All comments and concerns will be discussed during the presentation for the proposed non-administrative replat.

SUPPORT INFORMATION:

[Attachment A Zoning Map](#)

[Attachment B Aerial Map](#)

[Attachment C Non Admin Replat Huerta Tracts](#)

[Attachment D 04-16-25 DRC Minutes](#)

[Attachment E Staff Findings](#)

PLAN(S):

Elevate Las Cruces

COMMITTEE/BOARD REVIEW:

DRC

OPTIONS / ALTERNATIVES:

1. Vote "Yes", this will approve the non-administrative replat and the existing lot will be subdivided into two new lots.
2. Vote "No", this will not approve the non-administrative replat, and the one existing lot shall remain.
3. Vote to "Amend", this could modify the non-administrative replat and would need further direction from the Commission.
4. Vote to "Table", this will postpone consideration of the non-administrative replat and would need further direction from the Commission.

ATTACHMENT "A"



ATTACHMENT "B"




ATTACHMENT "C"

HUERTA TRACTS

A REPLAT OF PART OF LOT 1, BLOCK 202 COUNTY ASSESSOR'S PLAT NO. THREE FILED OCTOBER 1, 1948 IN BOOK 6, PAGE 81 DOÑA ANA COUNTY RECORDS. LOCATED IN SECTION 7, T.23S., R.2E., N.M.P.M OF THE U.S.G.L.O. SURVEYS CITY OF LAS CRUCES, DOÑA ANA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO

APRIL, 2025 TOTAL ACRES = 0.437 SCALE: 1"=40'



VICINITY MAP NOT TO SCALE

DEDICATION

THE 0.437 ACRE TRACT OF LAND SHOWN HEREON IS TO BE KNOWN AS "HUERTA TRACTS"

UTILITY EASEMENTS ARE GRANTED FOR THE USE OF THE UTILITY COMPANIES THAT ARE SIGNATORY TO THIS PLAT AND THE CITY OF LAS CRUCES. ALL RULES AND REGULATIONS OF THE CITY OF LAS CRUCES AND SAID UTILITIES WILL APPLY TO THESE EASEMENTS. ALL OTHER EASEMENTS SHOWN HEREON ARE GRANTED FOR THE USE INDICATED. NO ENCROACHMENT THAT WILL INTERFERE WITH THE USE EASEMENT, AS SHOWN OF THE PLAT, IS ALLOWED.

THIS SUBDIVISION HAS BEEN DEDICATED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE WISHES OF THE UNDERSIGNED OWNER(S) OF THE LAND SHOWN HEREON.

INSTRUMENT OF OWNERSHIP: AS FILED JUNE 30, 2023 INSTRUMENT #2313557, DOÑA ANA COUNTY RECORDS.

I, THE UNDERSIGNED OWNER, HEREBY SET MY HAND AND SEAL THIS DAY OF 20 .

MICHAEL R. HUERTA
615 PINON
LAS CRUCES, NM 88001

STATE OF NEW MEXICO }
COUNTY OF DOÑA ANA }

THE FOREGOING INSTRUMENT WAS ACKNOWLEDGED BEFORE ME THIS DAY OF 20 .

BY MY COMMISSION EXPIRES

NOTARY PUBLIC SEAL

PLAT NO. RECEPTION NO.


STATE OF NEW MEXICO }
COUNTY OF DOÑA ANA }

I HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THIS INSTRUMENT WAS FILED FOR RECORD ON THIS DATE OF 2025, AT AM/PM AND AND FILED IN THE RECORDS OF THE COUNTY CLERK, DOÑA ANA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO.

COUNTY CLERK DEPUTY COUNTY CLERK

NOTES:

- FLOOD ZONE "X"; AREAS DETERMINED TO BE OUTSIDE 500-YEAR FLOOD PLAIN, AS PER MAP NO. 35013C1084 G, REVISED JULY 6, 2016.
- FIELD NOTES BY MOY SURVEYING INC., LICENSE #18078. ALL CORNERS SET ARE ½" REBAR WITH 1" ORANGE PLASTIC CAPS STAMPED #18078. ALL REBAR OR MONUMENTS FOUND, TAGGED, STAMPED #18078.
- BASIS OF BEARINGS: A 3IN IRON PIPE AND A 6 INCH IRON PIPE ALONG THE EAST BOUNDARY OF THIS REPLAT.
- UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED DEED BEARINGS AND DISTANCES AND FIELD BEARING AND DISTANCES ARE THE SAME.
- INFORMATION IN THE PREPARATION OF THIS PLAT DERIVES FROM SUBDIVISION PLAT FILED OCTOBER 1, 1948 IN BOOK 6, PAGE 81, DOÑA ANA COUNTY RECORDS.
- THIS SYMBOL REPRESENTS ON LOT PONDING. ALL LOTS THAT CONTAIN THIS SYMBOL WILL HAVE PONDS THAT WILL COMPLY WITH THE CITY OF LAS CRUCES DESIGN STANDARDS. PROPERTY OWNER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR ON-LOT POND MAINTENANCE.
- THE PROPERTY OWNER IS RESPONSIBLE FOR (A) ALL EASEMENTS, MAIN LINE EXTENSIONS, AND STUBOUTS NECESSARY TO PROVIDE SEPARATE UTILITY SERVICES TO LOT CONTAINED HEREIN, AND (B) COMPLIANCE WITH ALL APPLICABLE UTILITY STANDARDS.
- LOT LOCATED AT STREET INTERSECTIONS SHOWN WITH  ICON WILL BE SUBJECT TO CLEAR SITE TRIANGLE REGULATIONS.



UTILITY APPROVAL

THIS PLAT HAS BEEN APPROVED FOR EASEMENT PURPOSES ONLY. THE SIGNING OF THIS PLAT DOES NOT IN ANY WAY GUARANTEE UTILITY SERVICE BY THE UNDERSIGNED COMPANIES TO THE SUBDIVISION.

EASEMENTS SHOWN HEREON, COPIES OF WHICH HAVE BEEN PRESENTED TO COMCAST COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION, ARE SATISFACTORY TO MEET THE NEEDS FOR INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF (UNDERGROUND) (OVERHEAD), (UNDERGROUND AND/OR OVERHEAD FEEDER), (UNDERGROUND AND DESIGNATED OVERHEAD FEEDER), CABLE/TELEVISION/INTERNET UTILITIES.

COMCAST COMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION

BY: DATE:

EASEMENTS SHOWN HEREON, COPIES OF WHICH HAVE BEEN PRESENTED TO EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY, ARE SATISFACTORY TO MEET THE NEEDS FOR INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF (UNDERGROUND) (OVERHEAD), (UNDERGROUND AND/OR OVERHEAD), (UNDERGROUND AND DESIGNATED OVERHEAD FEEDER) ELECTRICAL FACILITIES.

EL PASO ELECTRIC COMPANY

BY: DATE:

EASEMENTS SHOWN HEREON, COPIES OF WHICH HAVE BEEN PRESENTED TO QWEST CORPORATION, D/B/A/ CENTURYLINK QC, ARE SATISFACTORY TO MEET THE NEEDS FOR INSTALLATION AND MAINTENANCE OF (UNDERGROUND), (OVERHEAD), (UNDERGROUND AND/OR OVERHEAD), (UNDERGROUND AND DESIGNATED OVERHEAD FEEDER) TELEPHONE FACILITIES.

QWEST CORPORATION, D/B/A CENTURYLINK QC:

BY: DATE:

CITY OF LAS CRUCES APPROVAL

THIS PLAT HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE CITY OF LAS CRUCES, AND ALL THE REQUIREMENT FOR APPROVAL OF THE PLAT HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE CITY OF LAS CRUCES, SUBJECT TO ANY AND ALL CONDITIONS REQUIRED BY THE PLANNING AUTHORITY FOR APPROVAL OF THIS PLAT.

BY: DATE: DIRECTOR OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

BY: DATE: DIRECTOR OF UTILITIES

BY: DATE: DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC WORKS

CITY PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION APPROVAL



THIS PLAT HAS BEEN SUBMITTED TO AND CHECKED BY THE CITY OF LAS CRUCES PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION. IT CONCURS WITH THE EXPANSION OF EXISTING UTILITIES AND THOROUGHFARES AND IS IN ACCORDANCE WITH GENERAL CITY PLANNING. THIS PLAT IS APPROVED FOR FILING WITH THE COUNTY CLERK.

BY: DATE: CHAIRMAN

BY: DATE: SECRETARY

I, HENRY MAGALLANEZ, A NEW MEXICO PROFESSIONAL SURVEYOR, CERTIFY THAT I CONDUCTED THIS SURVEY AND/OR WAS DONE UNDER MY DIRECTION, AND THAT THIS SURVEY IS CORRECT TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE AND BELIEF, AND THAT THIS SURVEY AND PLAT MEET THE MINIMUM STANDARDS FOR SURVEYING IN NEW MEXICO.

HENRY MAGALLANEZ N.M.P.S. #18078



JOB NO: 24-0039
DRAWN BY: ANTON
FIELD BY: KENNY
DATE: 02/06/24 SCALE: 1"=40'



DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE (DRC)

Following are the minutes from the City of Las Cruces Development Review Committee Meeting held Wednesday, April 16, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. in Room 1158.

DRC PRESENT: David Weir, Deputy Director Community Planning
Rocio Nasir, Senior Engineer, Utilities
Cathy Mathews, Landscape Architect, Parks & Rec.
Tony Trevino, Deputy Director, Public Works
Mike Kinney, Plan Review Engineer, Com. Dev.
Kyle Metzgar, MPO
Michael Danner, Fire Investigator LCFD
Steven Bingham, Director, Parks & Rec

STAFF PRESENT: Adam Ochoa, Senior Planner
John Castillo, Planner, Community Development
Vincent Banegas, Interim Planner
David Sedillo, Public Works

OTHER PRESENT: Steve Peale
Michael Huerta, Moy Surveying
Anton Magallanez, Moy Surveying
John Moscato, Sierra Norte Development
Paul Pompeo, Souder Miller

1. CALL TO ORDER (9:00 a.m.)

Weir: Okay. Good morning again. We'll go ahead and start the DRC meeting of April 16th.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES -

2.1 April 2, 2025

Weir: The first item on the agenda is approval of minutes. We have minutes from April 2, 2025. If folks have had a chance to review those, if they have any amendments or changes they'd like to make. Not seeing any. Do I have a recommendation to approve?

Nasir: So moved

Weir: And then do I have a second?

Metzgar: Second.

Weir: Okay. We have a motion and a second. All those in favor say "aye"

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

Weir: All those opposed. Okay, minutes approved by acclamation.

3. NEW BUSINESS

3.1 Case No. 25CS0500004: Payan Subdivision No. 1

- A request for approval of a non-administrative replat, known as Payan Subdivision No. 1.
- The proposed subdivision currently encompasses approximately 0.45 acres, is zoned NH-3S (Neighborhood-3 Suburban) and located at 6090 Payan Road.
- The subdivision proposes to subdivide one (1) existing residential lot into four (4) new lots that are approximately 0.109 acres in size.
- Submitted by Borderland Engineers and Surveyors LLC, Representative.

Weir: That'll move us down to new business. The first case is 3.1, Payan Subdivision No. 1. Is the applicant here?

Peale: Yes, sir, Steve Peale for Borderland Surveyors.

Weir: Okay. Community Development, do you have a presentation or overview of what's being requested?

Ochoa: Yes sir. Before you have, and on the screen here is Payan Subdivision No. 1. It's a request for approval of non-administrative replat, which encompasses approximately about 0.45 acres in size and is zoned NH-3S under Realize Las Cruces. And is located at 1690 Payan Road. This subdivision was submitted at the time that Realize was in effect, so basically these lots now follow what Realize allows. So this is one of the, well basically the first subdivision we have that's following Realize, so thank you Steve. That's pretty awesome.

Peale: You're more than welcome.

Ochoa: So the subdivision proposes to subdivide the existing about 0.45 acre lot into four new residential lots that all encompass approximately 1.09 acres in size. And this was submitted by Borderline Engineers and Surveyors. This did go through a couple reviews. First reviews were just your standard existing zoning of REM, but under Realize, they decided to go under Realize and now follow these standards. So that review went as well and all

1 reviewing parties did recommend approval for the proposed replat. And
2 there are no outstanding comments on this.
3
4 Weir: Mr. Peale. Do you have anything you'd like to add?
5
6 Peale: No.
7
8 Weir: Okay. Departments, any comments?
9
10 Nasir: It is on the plat that the subdivider or the developer will be responsible to
11 extend service lines on this because it was subdivided to a smaller portion
12 and this street is already developed. It had already the service lines for the
13 amount of lots that were there before, but now it's going to be for I think two
14 more or one more, I don't remember which one it is.
15
16 Weir: And so is there capacity for that or it's just something that will come with the
17 building?
18
19 Nasir: No, it will be the capacity. We did that review. I just wanted to note it and
20 that is also on the plat.
21
22 Weir: Okay. So there's no need for condition or anything?
23
24 Nasir: No, nothing. I just wanted to note it on the minutes. That's it.
25
26 Weir: Okay. Public Works here, okay for the plat. Community Development,
27 Adam. Fire Department?
28
29 Danner: No, we're good.
30
31 Weir: Okay. Parks and Rec.
32
33 Mathews: I'll defer to my director since I don't know Realize all that well.
34
35 Weir: Okay. I assume it would be the impact fees. MPO, anything?
36
37 Metzgar: Nothing.
38
39 Weir: Okay. Well, if that's the case, CD recommended approval or
40 recommendation of approval from the DRC. Do I have a motion to that
41 effect?
42
43 Trevino: I'd like to make a motion to approve.
44
45 Weir: Okay. Do I have a second?
46

Nasir: Second.

Weir: So I'll just go by the departments. Public Works.

Trevino: Yes.

Weir: Community Development. Yes. Utilities.

Nasir: Yes.

Weir: Fire Department.

Danner: Yes.

Weir: And Parks and Rec.

Mathews: Aye.

Weir: Okay. So case one is recommendation of approval that will be going to the P&Z next month, Adam.

Ochoa: That will be correct in the May Planning and Zoning Commission meeting.

Weir: Okay.

3.2 Case No. 24CS0500131: Sonoma Ranch East II Master Plan Amendment #5

- A request for approval of a Major Amendment, known as Sonoma Ranch East II Master Plan Amendment #5.
- The master plan boundary involves approximately 143 acres and is currently vacant (except for CLC water tank) and zoned with split R-1b/R-3 (Single-Family High Density/Multi-Dwelling Medium Density), C-3/R-1b/R-3 (Commercial High Intensity/Single-Family High Density/Multi-Dwelling Medium Density), and FC/OS-R (Flood Control/Open Space-Recreational) zoning in addition to straight C-3 (Commercial High Intensity), C-1 (Commercial Low Intensity), R-1b (Single-Family Medium Density), and FC (Flood control) zoning.
- Key amendments proposed include the reconfiguration of planning parcels, elimination of all commercial uses, and the reduction of residential land use densities. Other changes involve the relocation of park land, delineation of arroyo boundaries, and an increase in acreage of open space and its' redesignation to natural/conservation.
- Submitted by Souder Miller and Associates on behalf of Sierra Norte Development Inc.

1 Weir: Okay, the second item is case 3.2, Sonoma Ranch East Master Plan,
2 Amendment #5. Are there representatives for the applicant?

3
4 PMP Yes. Paul Pompeo with Souder Miller Associates and the developer is
5 present as well.
6

7 Weir: Okay. CD, do you have an overview or a presentation and
8 recommendation?
9

10 Banegas: I do, Mr. Chairman. Sonoma Ranch East II Master Plan Amendment #5 is
11 shown up on the screen there. It seeks to develop roughly 143 acres of the
12 original 322 acres that constituted the original boundary. The areas that
13 you see in gray, shaded in gray, are developed. The areas that are not
14 shaded are those subject to amendment number five.
15

16 The master plan itself, pursuant to the information on the master plan
17 information, seeks to develop in a phased approach from north to south. So
18 your areas for instance, A1A and N1, those would be in your phase 1, A2A
19 in the middle, phase 2, etc. And then further south, phase 3. They will, if
20 necessary, come back and modify some of the phasing or sub-phasing in
21 order to accommodate the development that they seek. Some of the issues
22 that have been addressed throughout the review process is land use-
23 related, elimination of commercial use and zoning. There is an associated
24 zoning element that will be combined with this when it goes forward. And
25 there is a reduction, ultimate reduction, in housing unit or potential for
26 housing unit development.
27

28 All of the reviewing parties have approved the master plan amendment.
29 And even though there are some issues that planning sees with it, those
30 are likely to be hammered out at the time of Planning and Zoning
31 Commission consideration. So staff would recommend approval, given the
32 fact that we need to move it forward.
33

34 Weir: Mr. Banegas. So this is just the master plan, the rezoning would be a
35 separate application?
36

37 Banegas: That's correct.
38

39 Weir: Separate from this. Okay. Mr. Pompeo, anything you'd like to add?
40

41 Pompeo: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Just a couple of key points on this. One is that the
42 City undertook the creation of a FEMA-designated flood zone on this
43 property in conjunction with the major arroyo to the south. So that area has
44 been included in this and taken out and included as a flood tract. Also on
45 the original master plan there was a park tract that was located at the
46 bottom, the lower left-hand corner of this property, which is now in a flood

1 zone. So that's been removed and relocated up into what's going to be
2 known as phase 10B, which is on the upper portion of the screen. And then
3 because of the topography, we've created additional open space on the
4 southern edge of the property adjacent to a major arroyo. Then with that
5 we are removing some of the commercial elements, and the goal is to
6 develop the remainder of the property with an estate lot-type product that's
7 about one acre minimum lot size. So that's kind of a synopsis of what has
8 facilitated this change to the master plan.
9

10 Weir: Okay. I'll just go through the departments and see if there's any comments
11 that they may have. Public Works. Is there anything you'd like to add or
12 comment on this proposal?
13

14 Trevino: No, we have no comments at this time. Just based on those drainage tracts
15 that are there, there's no direction of ownership of those on this plat. It just
16 kind of calls out those tracts for now, so we're good for now.
17

18 Weir: Okay. Utilities Department.
19

20 Nasir: No.
21

22 Weir: Okay. Parks and Recreation.
23

24 Mathews: I don't know about any comments, but just some of my thoughts. The East
25 Mesa Public Recreation Complex/Sports Complex is just to the south of that
26 road, and the plan of development for that 350 acres includes sort of
27 reserving that area around the arroyo as it cuts through that property as
28 habitat/restoration. And I know earlier there had been discussion about that
29 open space becoming a park, but it seemed unsuitable at that time, though
30 across the street is this habitat area. Those are just some of my thoughts
31 with regard to this. No objections?
32
33

34 Weir: I'm sorry. Fire Department.
35

36 Danner: No comment.
37

38 Weir: MPO.
39

40 Metzgar: No comment.
41

42 Weir: Okay.
43

44 Banegas: Dave, if I could.
45

46 Weir: Go ahead.

1
2 Banegas: In response to the open space comment, I just want to indicate that at the
3 very south end of the proposal there are two, actually three planning
4 parcels, OS-1, OS-2, and H-31. They are identified as open space and
5 they'll, as intended, be natural conservation in terms of their functionality to
6 the bigger picture there. H-31 will have flood control designation attached
7 to it in addition to the open space. So it kind of speaks to a little bit about
8 what you were saying.
9
10 Mathews: Yes.
11
12 Weir: Okay.
13
14 Bingham: Cathy, are you comfortable with that? I mean, open space in itself isn't
15 necessarily park space.
16
17 Mathews: Right. Yes, I'm comfortable with it. I mean it's just as we go forward there'll
18 be questions about maintenance and recreation facilities on Sonora Springs
19 and some questions like that relating to the property itself.
20
21 Weir: Well, this is a master plan, so it's just talking conceptually of what's being
22 done and as we get into the planning and actually drawing permit more of
23 the details and standards, development standards will have to be met.
24
25 Mathews: Yes. I understand. Thank you.
26
27 Weir: Okay. If that's the case, I take it, Vince, there is a recommendation for
28 approval, no conditions.
29
30 Banegas: No conditions.
31
32 Weir: Okay.
33
34 Banegas: So that we can move it forward.
35
36 Weir: I would entertain a motion to make a recommendation of approval of the
37 master plan to the Planning and Zoning Commission.
38
39 Mathews: So moved.
40
41 Trevino: Second.
42
43 Weir: Okay. I have a motion and second, so I'll go ahead and just do a roll call
44 vote. Public Works.
45
46 Trevino: Yes.

1
2 Weir: Community Development, yes. Utilities.

3
4 Nasir: Yes.

5
6 Weir: Fire Department.

7
8 Danner: Yes.

9
10 Weir: And Parks and Recreation.

11
12 Mathews: Yes.

13
14 Weir: Okay, so we have a positive recommendation. And Adam I'm assuming
15 that also will go on to the May Planning and Zoning Commission.

16
17 Ochoa: Yes. We are pushing that for the May meeting.

18
19 **3.3 Case No. 24CS0500033: Huerta Tracts**

- 20 • A request for approval of a non-administrative replat, known as Huerta
21 Tracts
22 • The proposed subdivision currently encompasses 0.437 + acres, is
23 zoned R-2 (Multi-Dwelling Low Density) within the North Mesquite
24 Overlay Zone and located at 615 Pinon Street.
25 • The subdivision proposes to subdivide one (1) existing residential lot into
26 two (2) new lots that are 0.174 ± acres and 0.263 ± acres in size.
27 • Submitted by Moy Surveying, Representative.

28
29 Weir: That brings us to the third case, 3.3, Huerta Tracts. Is the applicant
30 present?

31
32 Magallanez: Yes.

33
34 Weir: Okay. John.

35
36 Castillo: Good morning, everyone. Today we have a request for approval of a non-
37 administrative replat known as Huerta Tracts. This is going to be a property
38 that encompasses 0.437 acres. It's currently zoned R-2, which is our
39 medium to low density, and it's within the North Mesquite Overlay Zone.
40 And it's located at 615 Pinon Street. They're looking to subdivide the one
41 existing lot into two residential lots that are going to be approximately 0.174
42 acres and 0.263 acres in size. This was submitted by Moy Surveying as
43 their representative, who's also here.

44
45 Weir: Okay. And it meets all requirements. You're recommendation would be ...
46

- 1 Castillo: My recommendation would be approval.
2
3 Weir: Okay. Moy Surveying. Anything to add?
4
5 Magallanez: Just, yes, we know that that lot has a little interesting geometry. There's
6 just a shop back there that we're hoping to stick with the parcel obviously to
7 the west, but that's the reason for the geometry of that lot.
8
9 Weir: Okay. Reviewing departments. Any comments or?
10
11 Trevino: Just a question with that (*inaudible*). Does it meet the setbacks to know
12 that middle one would be the, what's the setback now?
13
14 Castillo: Yes, there was an improvement survey provided as part of the review
15 process, and the existing shop does meet the setbacks.
16
17 Weir: Okay. Any other comments from anybody? Okay. If that's the case, I would
18 entertain a motion to make a recommendation of approval of the replat.
19
20 Danner: So moved.
21
22 Weir: Do I have a second?
23
24 Nasir: Second.
25
26 Weir: Okay. I'll go ahead again and do the roll call. Public Works Department.
27
28 Trevino: Yes.
29
30 Weir: Community Development Department will vote yes. Utilities Department.
31
32 Nasir: Yes.
33
34 Weir: Fire Department.
35
36 Danner: Yes.
37
38 Weir: Parks and Recreation.
39
40 Mathews: Yes.
41
42 Weir: Okay. So we have another approval. And again, I'm assuming May.
43
44 Ochoa: Yes, that'll be going up to the May meeting P&Z as well. That's correct.
45

46 **4. DISCUSSION ITEMS**

1
2
3 Weir: That takes care of all of our business items today. Are there any discussion
4 items? We don't have any on the agenda, but is there anything that the
5 committee would like to discuss? I'm not hearing anything.
6

7 **5. ADJOURNMENT (09:16 a.m.)**
8

9 Weir: That takes us to adjournment. Do I have a motion to adjourn?

10
11 Nasir: So moved.

12
13 Weir: Okay. Do I have a second?

14
15 Trevino: Second.

16
17 Weir: All those in favor?

18
19 MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.
20

21 Weir: All those opposed/ Meeting is adjourned at 9.16.
22
23
24
25
26

27 _____
Chairperson

ATTACHMENT “E”

FINDINGS

1. Based upon review by relevant City staff, the proposed replat complies with the requirements and standards of the City of Las Cruces Subdivision Code.
2. The proposed new lots comply with all requirements of the 2001 Zoning Code, as amended.
3. The subject parcel is compatible with other residential properties along Tornillo Street and Pinon Avenue.
4. Based upon staff's analysis of the proposal, the replat request meets the intent of Elevate Las Cruces Comprehensive Plan.
5. Based upon staff's analysis of the proposal, the replat request meet the intent and fulfills the purpose of the Las Cruces Municipal Code Section 2-382.



Type of Action:
Planning & Zoning
Staff Report

Planning & Zoning Commission Staff Report

District:	☐ 1 ☐ 2 ☐ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☒ 6 ☐ N/A			
Adopted:	May 27, 2025			
Drafter:	Vince Banegas	Department:	Community Development	
Program:	Community Planning	Line of Business:	Community Planning	
Title:	A REQUEST FOR FINAL APPROVAL TO A MAJOR AMENDMENT INVOLVING THE SONOMA RANCH EAST II MASTER PLAN, TITLED SONOMA RANCH EAST II MASTER PLAN AMENDMENT #5, AND TO PROVIDE A RECOMMENDATION FOR THE CORRESPONDING ZONE CHANGES. THE PROPERTY IS GENERALLY LOCATED NORTH OF SONORA SPRINGS BOULEVARD, EAST OF PAGOSA HILLS AVENUE/PETALUMA AVENUE, AND WEST OF MESA GRANDE DRIVE. SUBMITTED BY SOUDER MILLER AND ASSOCIATES ON BEHALF OF SIERRA NORTE DEVELOPMENT, INC. (CASE 24CS0500131 AND 24ZO0500130)			

PURPOSE(S) OF ACTION:

Final approval of master plan amendment and recommendation for corresponding zone changes.

BACKGROUND / KEY ISSUES / CONTRIBUTING FACTORS:

This staff report is intended to cover both the master plan amendment and the companion rezoning requests. As such, staff recommends that both items be heard concurrently and then voted on individually. The applicant has sought consideration of these items under the codes preceding the adoption of the Realize Development Code.

A master plan is the first step in a three-tier land development process involving the subdivision of land for conveyance purposes pursuant to Section 37-41 of the Las Cruces Subdivision Code. The following two steps involve preliminary and final plat processes. Master plan major amendments are required when a substantial change to the previously approved master plan takes place. Substantial changes shall include, but are not limited to the following five criteria: 1) Any change in land use or use intensity; 2) Modifications of vehicular circulation on arterials, collectors and/or major local designated streets; 3) Increase in residential density; 4) Any extension of time limits beyond 20 percent; and 5) Any change in the master plan that is determined by the subdivision administrator to be substantial. In this instance, criteria #1 and #5 are at issue resulting in the need to have the master plan's proposed changes reviewed and the corresponding zone changes considered for approval by the Planning and Zoning Commission and City Council as applicable.

Per the Subdivision Code, the purpose of a master plan is to advance the goals and objectives of the city's comprehensive plan and to address a variety of environmental and social issues in an area being proposed for future development. It is also considered a conceptual tool reflecting the ideas and thoughts for future development and as such serves as a guide to help ensure consistency with policy that involves, but is not limited to land use, growth management, transportation, utilities, recreation, housing, economic development, environmental and community service-related planning.

Agenda Item #7.1.

Historically speaking, the original Sonoma Ranch East II Master Plan was created upon the annexation of ± 322 acres called Sonoma Ranch East II Annexation. The annexation plat, master plan, and initial zoning were all approved via their respective ordinances and resolution on 2/28/05. There have been several amendments to the master plan since its adoption ranging from a minor amendment that transferred a small portion of proposed right-of-way from the subject master plan boundary to the master plan that sits immediately west, to several major amendments that altered planning parcel boundaries, densities, intensities, land uses, and park locations. Relative to the land within the confines of the subject ± 122.46 acres, there have been 3 amendments that directly impact property within the amendment #5 boundary. All amendments are listed within this packet per Attachment "L". Those amendments with a double asterisk pertain to the land within the proposed amendment #5 boundary.

The Sonoma Ranch East II Master Plan Amendment #5 proposal involves approximately 122.46 undeveloped acres (excluding the CLC water tank) of the master plan's original ± 322 acres. The area is served by several key thoroughfares which include Mesa Grande Drive as a proposed minor arterial (100' R.O.W.), Calle Jitas, a minor arterial (100' R.O.W.), Sedona Hills Parkway, a major collector (85' R.O.W.), Sonora Springs Boulevard, a minor arterial (100' R.O.W.), and Pagosa Hills Avenue, a local roadway (50' R.O.W.). As proposed, development will occur in three primary phases (north to south and divided by Calle Jitas Boulevard and Sedona Hills Boulevard) and seeks to create general changes summarized below. Please note, greater detail on the proposed changes can also be found on Attachment L.

The conversion of ± 8.10-acres from multi-dwelling, medium density (20 du/ac max)/single-family high density (10 du/ac max) to single-family high density (12 du/ac max).

The conversion of ± 7.4-acres from single-family high density (10 du/ac max) to single-family high density (12 du/ac max).

The conversion of ± 67.91-acres from single-family high density (10 du/ac max) to single-family low density (4 du/ac max).

The conversion of ± 23.96-acres from mixed-use (various single-family high density, multi-dwelling medium density, office professional), commercial, and other non-residential designations to single-family low density (4 du/ac max).

The reconfiguration/relocation of a proposed 2.0-acre park site in existing single-family high density (10 du/ac max) parcels.

Reconfiguration of planning parcels generally; and finally,

The delineation/re-delineation of open space and flood control/open space.

With little exception as it relates to residential land use, a majority of the land use changes proposed in amendment #5, are intended to accommodate a single-family low-density/rural style of development consisting primarily of 56 one-acre lots on ± 91.48 acres. An additional ± 15.5 acres will exist as single-family high-density development and is expected to provide for approximately 61 smaller residential lots consistent with the approved land use/zoning currently in place pursuant to amendment #4 approvals. A comparison of the potential residential build-out between amendment #4 and #5 using min./max. tabular data on each master plan is reflected below.

	Low Housing Count Scenario (Units)	High Housing Count Scenario (Units)
Amendment #4	235-862	235-1,376
Amendment #5	230	552
Proposed Build-Out	117	117

In comparison of the two master plans for commercial land use, amendment #4 reflects a minimum acreage of ± 3.97-acres and a maximum (considering applicable development options involving 7.25 acres) of 11.22-acres. Amendment #5 proposes no office, or commercial use.

The Sonoma Ranch East II Master Plan is located in the Suburban Neighborhood Place Type per the Elevate Las Cruces Comprehensive Plan. This place type seeks to achieve low-to-moderate density residential land uses intermixed with areas of commercial development. Predominant land uses should include single-family and multi-family development, retail and office uses, and other ancillary uses like schools, parks, etc. Additionally, Elevate's Future Development Map identifies provisions for three potential Neighborhood Centers

Agenda Item #7.1.

in the area just north of Calle Jitas/Alameda Arroyo along Mesa Grande Drive, the area just east of Sedona Hills Parkway and Mesa Grande Drive, and finally, just south of Sonora Springs Boulevard along Mesa Grande Drive near the East Mesa Sports Complex. Although center locations may "float" or shift slightly from where they are shown on the map, the fact that said map depicts such a concentration of centers in the area seems to indicate that a center should be considered as part of the development fabric for the area. Development of neighborhood centers make sense when appropriate land use, utility infrastructure, transportation facilities, and related services are in place to support such a center. Per Elevate, neighborhood centers should include a mix of residential and non-residential land uses that provide nodes of community activity for surrounding residential neighborhoods. These activity centers are intended to provide local employment, shopping, and entertainment opportunities and provide a diverse mix of residential living options.

Residential uses in neighborhood centers are encouraged to achieve a gross density of 8-15 du/ac. for single-family and 15-30 du/ac. for multi-family uses.

The applicant, acting on his client's behalf, has submitted a letter citing reasons why a neighborhood center should not be considered in part or in whole anywhere within the amendment #5 boundaries. In essence, the letter states that better opportunities for this development concept can be or are being achieved through development taking place around the Northrise Drive/Sonoma Ranch Boulevard area and the Hwy 70/ Mesa Grande Drive area. They indicate that the latter area is designated as a town center per Elevate, which is correct. They further state that other areas near the sports complex would be better suited for the mixed-use concept the comprehensive plan seeks to achieve. Elevate, in consideration of neighborhood centers, seeks the distribution of this mixed-use style of development at an approximate 1-mile interval at intersections of arterials and other "major" thoroughfares. The concept takes into consideration existing commercial, office, and other like uses whether in recognized/developing town centers, or along mixed-use or commercial corridors. That said, neighborhood centers are intended to be neighborhood centric with emphasis on allowing pedestrian access via a 1/2-mile walk shed. This is in relative stark contrast to distances one would have to travel in order to go to the areas the justification letter cites particularly those areas well north of the subject property.

To effect change per the proposed major master plan amendment #5, a corresponding set of zone change requests have been submitted for consideration and recommendation to City Council. The zone change requests are many and can be found on Attachment "E" which is included in this packet. These requests are intended to directly support the proposed development sought through the amendment.

On April 16, 2025, the Development Review Committee (DRC) reviewed the proposed master plan amendment. The DRC voted unanimously to approve the master plan as presented. Please refer to Attachment "J" for the draft minutes of the subject DRC meeting.

In accordance to the Subdivision Code and Zoning Code, notice of the public hearing was mailed to all property owner within 500 feet of the subject property. Any input received regarding the subject cases will be discussed during staff presentation.

References:

Original Sonoma Ranch East II Master Plan - approved February 28, 2005
Sonoma Ranch East II Amendment #1 - approved August 25, 2009
Sonoma Ranch East II Amendment #2 - approved March 27, 2018
Sonoma Ranch East II Amendment #3 - approved September 24, 2018
Sonoma Ranch East II Amendment #4 - approved July 28, 2020

SUPPORT INFORMATION:

[Attachment "A" - Zoning Map](#)

[Attachment "B" - Aerial Map](#)

[Attachment "C" - Proposed Master Plan Amendment #5](#)

[Attachment "D" - Proposed Zone Change Map](#)

Agenda Item #7.1.

[Attachment "E"- Zone Change Requests](#)

[Attachement "F" - Zone Change Tract Index Map \(Legal Descriptions\)](#)

[Attachment "G" - Legal Descriptions](#)

[Attachment "H" - Justification Letter](#)

[Attachment I - Elevate LC Comprehensive Plan Goals, Policies, and Actions](#)

[Attachment "J" - DRC Draft Minutes 4-16-25](#)

[Attachment "K" - Findings of Fact](#)

[Attachment "L" – Master Plan Amendment History and New Proposal Detail](#)

[Attachment "M" - Existing Approved Master Plan Amendment 4](#)

PLAN(S):

Elevate Las Cruces

COMMITTEE/BOARD REVIEW:

P&Z


OPTIONS / ALTERNATIVES:

Master Plan Voting Options:


1. Vote "Yes"; this will approve the proposed master plan amendment known as Sonoma Ranch East II Amendment #5.
2. Vote "No"; this will deny the proposed master plan amendment.
3. Vote to "Amend"; This would allow the Commission to approve the amendment with stipulated conditions as deemed prudent.
4. Vote to "Table"; this will postpone consideration of the proposed amendment and should include Commission direction and applicable.

Zone Change Voting Options:

1. Vote "Yes"; this will recommend approval for the proposed zone changes that correspond with the master plan amendment known as Sonoma Ranch East II Amendment #5.
2. Vote "No"; this will recommend denial for the proposed zone changes.
3. Vote to "Amend"; this could modify the recommendation of approval of the proposed zone changes by adding conditions as the Planning and Zoning Commission deems appropriate.
4. Vote to "Table"; this will postpone consideration of the proposed zone changes pursuant to further direction from the Commission.



Note: Subject property is outlined in red.





Legend

Zoning District	C-3	PUD_
A-2	FC	PUD_
C-1	FC/OS-R	PUD_
C-2	H	PUD_
	PUD	PUD_
	PUD_A-1	R-1a
		R-1b




Note: Subject property is outlined in red.





NOTE: NO CHANGE IN THE HATCHED AREA SHOWN FROM PREVIOUS APPROVED MASTER PLAN.



ATTACHMENT "C" - Proposed Master Plan Amendment #5
SONOMA RANCH EAST II,
MASTER PLAN MAJOR AMENDMENT #5
LOCATED IN SECTION 34, TOWNSHIP 22 SOUTH, RANGE 2 EAST
N.M.P.M. OF THE U.S.G.L.O. SURVEYS
CITY OF LAS CRUCES, DOÑA ANA COUNTY, NEW MEXICO
MARCH 2025
122.46 AC. ±

MASTER PLAN NOTES:

PLANNING PARCELS WILL BE SUBDIVIDED INTO PHASES. EACH PHASE WILL BE FURTHER SUBDIVIDED OR COMBINED FOR CONSTRUCTION PURPOSES. PHASES WILL BE SHOWN ON THE PRELIMINARY PLATS.

THIS AMENDMENT ELIMINATES PLANNING PARCEL H9 FORMERLY P9 BECAUSE IT IS NOW IN THE EAST MASTER PLAN.

ACREAGE PROPOSED FOR OPEN SPACE, PRIVATE AND PUBLIC FACILITIES, AND RIGHTS-OF-WAY IS 47.51 ACRES. OF THIS AREA 26.39 ACRES ARE PROPOSED FOR RIGHTS-OF-WAY AND 21.12 ACRES ARE PROPOSED FOR OPEN SPACE AND PRIVATE AND PUBLIC FACILITIES.

ALL CURRENT CITY ORDINANCES WILL BE ADHERED TO IN THIS DEVELOPMENT.

TRAFFIC IMPACT STUDY TO BE DONE AT TIME OF LOT DEVELOPMENT

THE CHANGE OF THE LOCATION OF THE PARK IS BASED ON DISCUSSIONS WITH CLC PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT.

DRAINAGE

A DRAINAGE STUDY AND REPORT SHALL BE PREPARED FOR EACH PHASE OF DEVELOPMENT BY THE OWNER OF THAT PHASE OF DEVELOPMENT AT THE TIME OF PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT OF SAID PHASE OF DEVELOPMENT. THIS REPORT SHALL ALLOW THE HISTORIC DRAINAGE FLOW TO EXIT THE PHASE OF DEVELOPMENT AND ANY DRAINAGE ABOVE AND BEYOND THE HISTORIC DRAINAGE. FLOW MUST BE CONTAINED ON THIS PHASE OF DEVELOPMENT AS DESCRIBED IN THE CITY OF LAS CRUCES REQUIREMENTS FOR SUCH DRAINAGE STUDIES AND REPORTS.


OWNER/DEVELOPER

SIERRA NORTE DEVELOPMENT, INC.
3890 W. PICACHO AVENUE
LAS CRUCES NEW, MEXICO
PHONE: (575) 496-7115

**CITY OF LAS CRUCES
SUBDIVISION ADMINISTRATOR APPROVAL**

THIS MASTER PLAN HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE SUBDIVISION ADMINISTRATOR OF THE CITY OF LAS CRUCES. ALL THE REQUIREMENTS FOR APPROVAL IN THE ABOVE MASTER PLAN HAVE BEEN COMPLIED WITH TO THE SATISFACTION OF THE CITY OF LAS CRUCES. SUBJECT TO ANY AND ALL CONDITIONS REQUIRED BY THE PLANNING AUTHORITY FOR THE APPROVAL OF THIS PLAN.

SUBDIVISION ADMINISTRATOR _____ DATE _____



TABULAR DATA						
PLANNING PARCEL	PROPOSED LAND USE	ACREAGE	PLANNING DENSITY MIN-MAX	MIN. AVAILABLE UNITS	MAX. AVAILABLE UNITS	CHANGE
H 1	SF	20.08	2 - 6	40	120	BUILT OUT
H 2	AO	11.70				BUILT OUT
H 3	SF	7.54	2 - 6	15	46	BUILT OUT
H 4	SF	6.16	2 - 6	12	37	BUILT OUT
H 10	SF	8.38	2 - 6	16	50	BUILT OUT
H 11	SF	8.62	2 - 6	17	53	BUILT OUT
H 12	SF	7.61	2 - 6	15	46	BUILT OUT
H 13	SF	8.10	2 - 6	16	49	BUILT OUT
H 14	PARK	0.75				BUILT OUT
H 20	SF	10.55		21	63	BUILT OUT
H 21	SF	12.79	2 - 6	25	77	BUILT OUT
H 22	SF	13.21	2 - 6	26	79	BUILT OUT
H 23	SF	12.80	2 - 6	25	77	BUILT OUT
H 24	PARK	0.75				BUILT OUT
H 25	TANK SITE	1.12				
NOTE: SHADED AREA INDICATES AREA OF NO CHANGE						

TABULAR DATA						
PLANNING PARCEL	PROPOSED LAND USE	ACREAGE	PLANNING DENSITY MIN-MAX	MIN. ALLOWABLE UNITS	MAX. ALLOWABLE UNITS	CHANGE
A 1a	SF (HD)	15.48	9-12	158	210	PARCELS H 7 & H 8 COMBINED
A 2a	SF (LD)	40.20	1 - 4	40	160	PARCELS A 1 & H 19 COMBINED
A 3a	SF (LD)	35.64	1 - 4	38	152	PARCEL PART OF A 2, H 29, H 30 & ALL OF H 33 COMBINED
A 4a	SF (LD)	14.64	1 - 4	14	58	PARCEL PART OF H 29, H 32, A 2, N 1 AND ALL OF H 30 COMBINED
OS 1	OS-NC	0.76				PARCEL PART OF N 1
OS 2	OS-NC	4.61				PARCELS PART OF N 1, A 2 AND H 32 COMBINED
H 25	TANK SITE	1.12				NO CHANGE
H 31	FLOOD CONTROL OPEN SPACE-NC	1.82				NO CHANGE
AO 1	FLOOD CONTROL OPEN SPACE-NC	6.19				PARCELS PART OF H 29, H 30, A 2, & N 1 COMBINED
N 1	PARK	2.0				PARCELS PART OF H 7 AND H 8 COMBINED

Rev #

Date

Description

By

CRKD

#1	10-07-25	REVIEW #1 COMMENTS ADDRESSES	TB	MJ
#2	03-26-25	REVIEW #2 COMMENTS ADDRESSES	TB	MJ
#3	03-27-25	REVIEW #3 COMMENTS ADDRESSES	TB	MJ

Rev #

Date

Description

By

CRKD

#1	10-07-25	REVIEW #1 COMMENTS ADDRESSES	TB	MJ
#2	03-26-25	REVIEW #2 COMMENTS ADDRESSES	TB	MJ
#3	03-27-25	REVIEW #3 COMMENTS ADDRESSES	TB	MJ

SMA
SOUDER, MILLER
& ASSOCIATES
3500 Sedona Hills Parkway
Las Cruces, NM 88011
Phone: (575) 647-0799 Toll Free: (800) 647-0799
Engineering
Environmental
Surveying

SONOMA RANCH EAST II,
MASTER PLAN MAJOR AMENDMENT #5
SIERRA NORTE DEVELOPMENT, INC.
LAS CRUCES, NEW MEXICO

03-27-2025

THIS DRAWING IS INCOMPLETE AND NOT TO BE USED FOR CONSTRUCTION UNLESS IT IS STAMPED, SIGNED AND DATED



Designed: PJP
Drawn: TB
Checked: PJP

Date: MARCH 2025

Scale: As Shown

Project No: 9330882


Sheet: SHEET 1



ZONE MAP LEDGEND

	NO ZONING CHANGE
	EXISTING ZONING - R-1B PROPOSED ZONING - R-1B
	EXISTING ZONING - R-1B PROPOSED ZONING - R-1C
	PROPOSED ZONING - OS-NC (OPEN SPACE/NATURAL)
	PROPOSED ZONING - FC/OS-NC (FLOOD CONTROL, OPEN SPACE)
	PROPOSED ZONING OSR (OPEN SPACE RECREATION)

OWNER / DEVELOPER
SIERRA NORTE DEVELOPMENT, INC.
3590 W. PICACHO AVENUE
LAS CRUCES NEW, MEXICO
PHONE: (575) 496-7115



ZONING AREA SUMMARY					
ORIGINAL ZONE AREA	ORIGINAL ZONE DESIGNATION	AREA	PROPOSED ZONE AREA	PROPOSED ZONE DESIGNATION	AREA
H 7/H 8	R-1b, R-1b/R-3	9.73 AC.	A 1a	R-1B *	15.48 AC.
H7/H 8	R-1b, R-1b/R-3	10.07 AC.	PARK	OS / R	2.0 AC.
A 1	R-1b, R-1b/R-3	30.64 AC.	A 2a	R-1C	40.20 VAC.
H 19	C-3 / O-2 / R-1b	9.53 AC.			
H 25	FC	1.12 AC.	H 25	FC *	1.12 AC.
H 33	C-3/R1b/R3, R1b/R3, R1b	1.16 AC.	A 3a	R-1C	36.64 AC.
H 29	C-3, R-1b, R1b/R3, FC/OS-R	5.57 AC.	A 4a	R-1C	14.64 AC.
H 30	C-3, R-1b, R1b/R3, R-1b, FC/OS-R	7.37 AC.	A O1	FC / OS-NC	5.19 AC.
H 32	R-1b	2.39 AC.	OS 1	OS-NC	0.76 AC.
N 1	C-3/R1b/R3, FC/OS-R, R1b	4.80 AC.	OS 2	OS-NC	4.61 AC.
A 2	R-1b	41.95 AC.			
H 31	FC/OS-R	1.08 AC.	H 31	OS-NC/FC	1.82 AC.
TOTAL		125.41 AC.	TOTAL		122.46 AC.

* NO CHANGE FROM EXISTING

* NO CHANGE FROM EXISTING

Rev #	Date	Description	By	Ckcd
#1	01-07-25	REVIEW #1 COMMENTS ADDRESS	TB	MJ
#2	03-20-26	REVIEW #2 COMMENTS ADDRESS	TB	MJ
#3	03-27-26	REVIEW #3 COMMENTS ADDRESS	TB	MJ


SMA
*Engineering
Environmental
Surveying*

**SOUDER, MILLER
& ASSOCIATES**

3500 Sedona Hills Parkway
Las Cruces, NM 88011
Phone (575) 647-0799 Toll Free (800) 647-0799

SONOMA RANCH EAST II,
ZONING AMENDMENT

SIERRA NORTE DEVELOPMENT, INC.
LAS CRUCES, NEW MEXICO



THIS DRAWING IS INCOMPLETE
AND NOT TO BE USED FOR
CONSTRUCTION UNLESS IT IS
STAMPED, SIGNED AND DATED

Designed PJP	Drawn TB	Checked PJP
-----------------	-------------	----------------

Date: MARCH 2025

Scale: As Shown

Project No: 9330882

Sheet:

SHEET 2

ATTACHMENT “E” – Zone Change Requests

**Please note that the Official Zoning Atlas (pre-Realize ordinance) in use for these zone change requests, reflect incorrect zones for lands associated with Tracts 1 thru 6 and the south portion of Tract 9 as listed on the index map and below. The FC/OS-R zone reflected on the atlas should coincide with the approved N-1 parcel (Amendment #4) at the NE corner of Pagosa Hills and Sonora Springs. The R-1b zone should then be shown where the Zoning Atlas currently reflects the FC/OS-R designation. This has been confirmed via ordinances 2863 and 2875. As such, the requests below will use the ordinance based zones and not what is erroneously shown on the atlas for these tracts. Ultimately, the zone change effort per these requests will clean-up the discrepancy if ultimately approved by City Council.*

- **Tract 1 (OP1)**– A zone change for 0.76 acres, from FC (Flood Control)/OS-R (Open Space – Recreation) to OS-NC (Open Space – Natural Conservation).
- **Tract 2 (Part AO1)** – A zone change for 2.1 acres, from FC (Flood Control)/OS-R (Open Space – Recreation) to FC (Flood Control)/OS-NC (Open Space – Natural Conservation).
- **Tract 3 (Part A3a)** – A zone change for 0.59 acres from FC (Flood Control)/OS-R (Open Space – Recreation) to R-1c (Single-Family Low Density).
- **Tract 4 (Part A4a)** – A zone change for .036 acres from FC (Flood Control)/OS-R (Open Space – Recreation) to R-1c (Single-Family Low Density).
- **Tract 5 (Part OP2)** – A zone change for 0.97 acres from FC (Flood Control)/OS-R (Open Space – Recreation) to OS-NC (Open Space – Natural Conservation).
- **Tract 6 (Part OP2)** – A zone change for 1.52 acres from R-1b (Single-Family High Density) to OS-NC (Open Space – Natural Conservation).
- **Tract 7 (Part OP2)** – A zone change for 1.95 acres from C-3 (Commercial High Intensity) to OS-NC (Open Space – Natural Conservation).
- **Tract 8 (Part A4a)** – A zone change for 0.66 acres from C-3 (Commercial High Intensity) to R-1c (Single-Family Low Density).
- **Tract 9 (Part A4a)** – A zone change for 6.23 acres from R-1b (Single-Family High Density) to R-1c (Single-Family Low Density).
- **Tract 10 (Part AO1)** – A zone change for 3.0 acres from R-1b (Single-Family High Density) to FC (Flood Control)/OS-NC (Open Space – Natural Conservation).
- **Tract 11 (Part AO1)** – A zone change for 0.23 acres from R-1b (Single-Family High Density)/R-3 (Multi-Dwelling, medium density) to FC (Flood Control)/OS-NC (Open Space – Natural Conservation).
- **Tract 12 (Part A3a)** – A zone change for 0.02 acres from R-1b (Single-Family High Density)/R-3 (Multi-Dwelling, medium density) to R-1c (Single-Family Low Density).
- **Tract 13 (Part AO1)** – A zone change for 0.76 acres from R-1b (Single-Family High Density)/R-3 (Multi-Dwelling, Medium Density)/C-3 (Commercial High Intensity) to FC (Flood Control)/OS-NC (Open Space – Natural Conservation).
- **Tract 14 (Part A4a)** – A zone change for 6.34 acres from R-1b (Single-Family High Density)/R-3 (Multi-Dwelling, medium density) to R-1c (Single-Family Low Density).

Agenda Item #7.1.

- **Tract 15 (Part A4a)** – A zone change for 1.36 acres from R-1b (Single-Family High Density)/R-3 (Multi-Dwelling, Medium Density)/C-3 (Commercial High Intensity) to R-1c (Single-Family Low Density).
- **Tract 16 (Part A3a)** – A zone change for 4.31 acres from R-1b (Single-Family High Density)/R-3 (Multi-Dwelling, Medium Density)/C-3 (Commercial High Intensity) to R-1c (Single-Family Low Density).
- **Tract 17 (Part A3a)** – A zone change for 30.99 acres from R-1b (Single-Family High Density) to R-1c (Single-Family Low Density).
- **Tract 18 (Part A2a)** – A zone change for 9.32 acres from R-1b (Single-Family High Density)/R-3 (Multi-Dwelling, Medium Density) to R-1c (Single-Family Low Density).
- **Tract 19 (Part A2a)** – A zone change for 30.69 acres from R-1b (Single-Family High Density) to R-1c (Single-Family Low Density).
- **Tract 20 (Part A1a)** – A zone change for 8.10 acres from R-1b (Single-Family High Density)/R-3 (Multi-Dwelling, Medium Density) to R-1b (Single-Family High Density).
- **Tract 21 (Part N1)** – A zone change for 0.33 acres from R-1b (Single-Family High Density)/R-3 (Multi-Dwelling, Medium Density) to OS-R (Open Space – Recreation).
- **Tract 22 (Part N1)** – A zone change for 1.65 acres from R-1b (Single-Family High Density) to OS-R (Open Space – Recreation).
- **Tract 23 (Part A1a)** – A zone change for 7.4 acres from R-1b (Single-Family High Density) to R-1b (Single-Family High Density).
- **Tract 24 (H31)** – A zone change for 1.82 acres from FC (Flood Control)/OS-R (Open Space – Recreation) to FC (Flood Control)/OS-NC (Open Space – Natural Conservation).



AREA 1

0.76 AC.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence, S 18° 30' 55.74" W for a distance of 85.15 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 58° 51' 39.27" W for a distance of 71.13 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 01° 09' 19.05" W for a distance of 44.97 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 05° 14' 13.53" E for a distance of 42.43 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 04° 57' 21.89" E for a distance of 29.59 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 89° 20' 52.30" W for a distance of 40.70 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Said curve turning to the right through 91° 15' 51.41", having a radius of 25.00 feet, and whose long chord bears N 45° 39' 07.70" W for a distance of 35.74 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve.

Said curve turning to the left through an angle of 08° 29' 11.86", having a radius of 2098.16 feet, and whose long chord bears N 02° 25' 54.01" W for a distance of 310.49 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

Thence, S 72° 20' 06.48" E for a distance of 180.82 feet to a point on a line.

thence S 12° 54' 50.09" W a distance of 46.82 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

AREA 2

2.10 AC.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence, N 12° 44' 09.49" E for a distance of 28.26 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 04° 38' 12.38" E for a distance of 98.93 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 34° 46' 45.30" E for a distance of 43.72 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 90° 00' 00.00" E for a distance of 269.49 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 00° 14' 11.68" W for a distance of 46.42 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 58° 08' 22.49" W for a distance of 53.40 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 29° 47' 55.76" W for a distance of 166.92 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 45° 45' 03.59" W for a distance of 118.51 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 06° 59' 52.08" E for a distance of 24.49 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 00° 56' 51.79" E for a distance of 39.87 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 31° 20' 33.99" W for a distance of 54.40 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 37° 25' 16.39" W for a distance of 36.25 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Said curve turning to the left through an angle of 00° 01' 28.08", having a radius of 331227.63 feet, and whose long chord bears S 89° 50' 50.33" W for a distance of 141.45 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

Thence, N 04° 57' 21.89" W for a distance of 29.59 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 05° 14' 13.53" W for a distance of 42.43 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 01° 09' 19.05" E for a distance of 44.97 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 58° 51' 39.27" E for a distance of 71.13 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 18° 30' 55.74" E for a distance of 85.15 feet to a point on a line.

thence N 12° 54' 50.09" E a distance of 46.82 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

Agenda Item #7.1.

AREA 3

0.59 ac.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Said curve turning to the left through an angle of $12^{\circ} 21' 50.23''$, having a radius of 502.86 feet, and whose long chord bears $N 08^{\circ} 08' 33.41'' W$ for a distance of 108.30 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

Thence, $N 90^{\circ} 00' 00.00'' E$ for a distance of 226.80 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $S 34^{\circ} 46' 45.30'' W$ for a distance of 43.72 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $S 04^{\circ} 38' 12.38'' W$ for a distance of 98.93 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $S 12^{\circ} 44' 09.49'' W$ for a distance of 28.26 feet to a point on a line.

thence $N 72^{\circ} 20' 06.48'' W$ a distance of 180.82 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

AREA 4

0.36 ac.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence, $S 00^{\circ} 14' 11.68'' W$ for a distance of 213.62 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $N 72^{\circ} 20' 06.48'' W$ for a distance of 133.73 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $N 29^{\circ} 47' 55.76'' E$ for a distance of 166.92 feet to a point on a line.

thence $N 58^{\circ} 08' 22.49'' E$ a distance of 53.40 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

AREA 5

0.97 ac.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence, $S 00^{\circ} 14' 11.68'' W$ for a distance of 180.93 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Said curve turning to the right through an angle of $00^{\circ} 15' 59.13''$, having a radius of 55538.37 feet, and whose long chord bears $S 89^{\circ} 51' 58.08'' W$ for a distance of 258.25 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

Thence, $N 37^{\circ} 25' 16.39'' E$ for a distance of 36.25 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $N 31^{\circ} 20' 33.99'' E$ for a distance of 54.40 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $N 00^{\circ} 56' 51.79'' W$ for a distance of 39.87 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $N 06^{\circ} 59' 52.08'' W$ for a distance of 24.49 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $N 45^{\circ} 45' 03.59'' E$ for a distance of 118.51 feet to a point on a line.

thence $S 72^{\circ} 20' 06.48'' E$ a distance of 133.73 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

Agenda Item #7.1.

AREA 6

1.52 ac.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence, S 00° 14' 21.64" E for a distance of 167.52 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Said curve turning to the right through 18° 15' 22.31", having a radius of 1350.03 feet, and whose long chord bears S 80° 32' 27.38" W for a distance of 428.34 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve.

Said curve turning to the right through an angle of 00° 02' 27.49", having a radius of 55538.37 feet, and whose long chord bears S 89° 42' 44.76" W for a distance of 39.71 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

Thence, N 00° 14' 11.68" E for a distance of 180.93 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 72° 20' 06.48" E for a distance of 118.08 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 89° 40' 56.97" E for a distance of 89.03 feet to the beginning of a curve,

Said curve turning to the left through 40° 18' 04.77", having a radius of 400.00 feet, and whose long chord bears N 70° 10' 00.65" E for a distance of 275.59 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

Area 7

1.95 ac.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Said curve turning to the left through an angle of 05° 59' 43.40", having a radius of 1460.00 feet, and whose long chord bears S 04° 25' 16.40" E for a distance of 152.70 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

Thence, S 65° 23' 22.15" W for a distance of 306.30 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Said curve turning to the right through an angle of 06° 02' 18.46", having a radius of 1350.03 feet, and whose long chord bears S 68° 23' 36.99" W for a distance of 142.22 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

Thence, N 00° 14' 21.64" W for a distance of 167.52 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Said curve turning to the left through 09° 19' 41.99", having a radius of 400.00 feet, and whose long chord bears N 45° 21' 07.27" E for a distance of 65.05 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve.

Said curve turning to the right through 61° 24' 56.12", having a radius of 365.05 feet, and whose long chord bears N 71° 23' 44.34" E for a distance of 372.83 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

Area 8

0.66 ac.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Said curve turning to the left through 01° 17' 26.07", having a radius of 1485.12 feet, and whose long chord bears S 00° 46' 24.37" E for a distance of 33.45 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve.

Said curve turning to the left through 61° 24' 56.12", having a radius of 365.05 feet, and whose long chord bears S 71° 23' 44.34" W for a distance of 372.83 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve.

Said curve turning to the right through an angle of 09° 19' 41.99", having a radius of 400.00 feet, and whose long chord bears S 45° 21' 07.27" W for a distance of 65.05 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

Thence, N 00° 14' 21.64" W for a distance of 200.13 feet to a point on a line.

thence S 89° 42' 36.17" E a distance of 400.02 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

Agenda Item #7.1.

Area 9

6.23 ac.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence, S 00° 14' 21.64" E for a distance of 574.04 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 00° 14' 21.64" E for a distance of 200.13 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Said curve turning to the right through an angle of 40° 18' 04.77", having a radius of 400.00 feet, and whose long chord bears S 70° 10' 00.65" W for a distance of 275.59 feet.

Thence, N 89° 40' 56.97" W for a distance of 89.03 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 72° 20' 06.48" W for a distance of 118.08 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 00° 14' 11.68" E for a distance of 213.62 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 58° 08' 22.49" E for a distance of 70.83 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 14° 24' 48.76" E for a distance of 54.29 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 13° 27' 09.72" W for a distance of 112.53 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 44° 22' 00.19" E for a distance of 559.70 feet to a point on a line.

thence N 44° 22' 00.19" E a distance of 25.47 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

Area 10

3.00 ac.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence, N 34° 46' 45.30" E for a distance of 14.64 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 52° 06' 50.95" E for a distance of 139.08 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 40° 47' 16.49" E for a distance of 42.59 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 40° 56' 02.80" E for a distance of 51.13 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 43° 49' 33.22" E for a distance of 158.47 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 18° 25' 52.19" E for a distance of 26.33 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 34° 41' 08.86" E for a distance of 121.52 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 45° 25' 49.29" E for a distance of 37.26 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 38° 20' 31.95" E for a distance of 155.38 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 74° 51' 48.20" E for a distance of 83.74 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 38° 08' 15.35" E for a distance of 180.00 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 71° 28' 09.33" E for a distance of 27.26 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 71° 28' 09.33" E for a distance of 18.84 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 00° 14' 21.64" E for a distance of 162.33 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 44° 22' 00.19" W for a distance of 25.47 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 44° 22' 00.19" W for a distance of 559.70 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 13° 27' 09.72" E for a distance of 112.53 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 14° 24' 48.76" W for a distance of 54.29 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 58° 08' 22.49" W for a distance of 70.83 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 00° 14' 11.68" E for a distance of 46.42 feet to a point on a line.

thence N 90° 00' 00.00" W a distance of 269.49 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

Agenda Item #7.1.

Area 11

0.23 ac.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence, S 42° 56' 49.03" W for a distance of 136.75 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 00° 32' 18.47" W for a distance of 32.30 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 44° 22' 00.19" W for a distance of 5.03 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, N 00° 14' 21.64" W for a distance of 162.33 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, N 71° 28' 09.33" E for a distance of 52.07 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, N 30° 16' 56.69" E for a distance of 7.28 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, N 89° 45' 48.32" E for a distance of 74.84 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 22° 20' 45.40" W for a distance of 8.73 feet to a point on a line.
thence S 33° 00' 35.75" W a distance of 49.37 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

Area 12

0.02 ac

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence, N 00° 14' 21.64" W for a distance of 22.61 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, N 89° 45' 48.32" E for a distance of 53.14 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 30° 16' 56.69" W for a distance of 7.28 feet to a point on a line.
thence S 71° 28' 09.33" W a distance of 52.07 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

Area 13

0.76 ac.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence, S 57° 38' 15.93" W for a distance of 132.53 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 18° 56' 11.94" W for a distance of 34.89 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 11° 24' 30.07" W for a distance of 59.23 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 09° 31' 10.26" W for a distance of 97.47 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 32° 02' 30.37" W for a distance of 48.63 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 22° 20' 45.40" W for a distance of 125.14 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 89° 45' 48.32" W for a distance of 74.84 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, N 30° 16' 56.69" E for a distance of 141.31 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, N 16° 45' 02.49" E for a distance of 100.45 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, N 24° 45' 28.99" E for a distance of 155.55 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, N 41° 20' 57.48" E for a distance of 51.90 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, N 60° 10' 55.32" E for a distance of 71.74 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, N 00° 00' 00.00" E for a distance of 246.86 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, N 90° 00' 00.00" E for a distance of 36.20 feet to a point on a line.

Agenda Item #7.1.

thence S 00° 16' 04.41" E a distance of 265.58 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

Area 14

6.34 ac.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence, N 89° 45' 48.32" E for a distance of 226.42 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 00° 16' 04.41" E for a distance of 140.50 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Said curve turning to the left through an angle of 14° 11' 29.79", having a radius of 1480.00 feet, and whose long chord bears S 07° 23' 32.19" E for a distance of 365.65 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

Thence, S 00° 14' 21.64" E for a distance of 259.37 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 89° 42' 36.17" W for a distance of 400.02 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 00° 14' 21.64" W for a distance of 574.04 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 44° 22' 00.19" E for a distance of 5.03 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 00° 32' 18.47" E for a distance of 32.30 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 42° 56' 49.03" E for a distance of 136.75 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 33° 00' 35.75" E for a distance of 49.37 feet to a point on a line.

thence N 22° 20' 45.40" E a distance of 8.73 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

Area 15

1.36 ac.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence, S 00° 16' 04.41" E for a distance of 414.16 feet to a point on a line.

Saeas

Thence, N 22° 20' 45.40" E for a distance of 125.14 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 32° 02' 30.37" E for a distance of 48.63 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 09° 31' 10.26" E for a distance of 97.47 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 11° 24' 30.07" E for a distance of 59.23 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 18° 56' 11.94" E for a distance of 34.89 feet to a point on a line.

thence N 57° 38' 15.93" E a distance of 132.53 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

Area 16

4.31 ac.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence, N 00° 14' 21.64" W for a distance of 807.75 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Said curve turning to the left through an angle of 05° 05' 42.84", having a radius of 642.50 feet, and whose long chord bears S 83° 15' 56.04" E for a distance of 57.12 feet.

Thence, S 85° 48' 47.46" E for a distance of 298.21 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 00° 16' 04.41" E for a distance of 98.08 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 90° 00' 00.00" W for a distance of 36.20 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 00° 00' 00.00" W for a distance of 246.86 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 60° 10' 55.32" W for a distance of 71.74 feet to a point on a line.

Agenda Item #7.1.

Thence, S 41° 20' 57.48" W for a distance of 51.90 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 24° 45' 28.99" W for a distance of 155.55 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 16° 45' 02.49" W for a distance of 100.45 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 30° 16' 56.69" W for a distance of 141.31 feet to a point on a line.
thence S 89° 45' 48.32" W a distance of 53.14 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

Area 17

30.99 ac.

POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence, S 00° 14' 21.64" E for a distance of 808.48 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, N 90° 00' 00.00" W for a distance of 8.68 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 00° 00' 00.00" W for a distance of 21.09 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, N 90° 00' 00.00" E for a distance of 8.76 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 00° 14' 21.64" E for a distance of 0.79 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 71° 28' 09.33" W for a distance of 18.84 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 71° 28' 09.33" W for a distance of 27.26 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 38° 08' 15.35" W for a distance of 180.00 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 74° 51' 48.20" W for a distance of 83.74 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 38° 20' 31.95" W for a distance of 155.38 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 45° 25' 49.29" W for a distance of 37.26 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 34° 41' 08.86" W for a distance of 121.52 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 18° 25' 52.19" W for a distance of 26.33 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 43° 49' 33.22" W for a distance of 158.47 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 40° 56' 02.80" W for a distance of 51.13 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 40° 47' 16.49" W for a distance of 42.59 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 52° 06' 50.95" W for a distance of 139.08 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, S 34° 46' 45.30" W for a distance of 14.64 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, N 90° 00' 00.00" W for a distance of 226.80 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,
Said curve turning to the right through 00° 54' 31.64", having a radius of 1659.53 feet, and whose long chord bears N 17° 44' 50.60" W for a distance of 26.32 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve.
Said curve turning to the right through an angle of 16° 18' 31.31", having a radius of 990.79 feet, and whose long chord bears N 09° 08' 19.12" W for a distance of 281.07 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.
Thence, N 02° 40' 13.80" W for a distance of 140.52 feet to the beginning of a curve,
Said curve turning to the left through 07° 04' 14.45", having a radius of 6326.50 feet, and whose long chord bears N 06° 12' 21.02" W for a distance of 780.24 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve.
Said curve turning to the right through an angle of 21° 49' 45.20", having a radius of 516.38 feet, and whose long chord bears N 00° 26' 25.00" W for a distance of 195.55 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.
Thence, N 82° 15' 37.00" E for a distance of 276.60 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, N 82° 18' 37.29" E for a distance of 182.03 feet to a point on a line.
Thence, N 08° 10' 40.20" W for a distance of 234.73 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Agenda Item #7.1.

Said curve turning to the right through an angle of $11^{\circ} 01' 45.17''$, having a radius of 407.50 feet, and whose long chord bears $S 82^{\circ} 41' 36.02'' E$ for a distance of 78.32 feet.

Thence, $S 77^{\circ} 10' 43.44'' E$ for a distance of 517.74 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $S 77^{\circ} 10' 43.44'' E$ for a distance of 54.39 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Said curve turning to the left through $03^{\circ} 21' 54.81''$, having a radius of 642.50 feet, and whose long chord bears $S 79^{\circ} 02' 07.22'' E$ for a distance of 37.73 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

Area 18

9.32 ac.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence, $S 00^{\circ} 13' 07.00'' E$ for a distance of 225.23 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $S 00^{\circ} 13' 07.00'' E$ for a distance of 224.94 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $S 00^{\circ} 13' 07.00'' E$ for a distance of 255.32 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $S 00^{\circ} 13' 07.00'' E$ for a distance of 204.43 feet to the beginning of a curve,

Said curve turning to the right through an angle of $91^{\circ} 10' 32.60''$, having a radius of 25.00 feet, and whose long chord bears $S 45^{\circ} 22' 09.30'' W$ for a distance of 35.72 feet.

Thence, $N 89^{\circ} 02' 34.40'' W$ for a distance of 129.78 feet to the beginning of a curve,

Said curve turning to the right through $02^{\circ} 51' 46.31''$, having a radius of 957.50 feet, and whose long chord bears $N 87^{\circ} 36' 41.25'' W$ for a distance of 47.84 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve.

Said curve turning to the right through an angle of $08^{\circ} 40' 59.50''$, having a radius of 957.50 feet, and whose long chord bears $N 81^{\circ} 50' 18.35'' W$ for a distance of 144.97 feet.

Thence, $N 77^{\circ} 29' 48.60'' W$ for a distance of 26.09 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $N 02^{\circ} 15' 29.24'' E$ for a distance of 1165.01 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Said curve turning to the left through $00^{\circ} 33' 58.18''$, having a radius of 13973.23 feet, and whose long chord bears $S 83^{\circ} 36' 15.18'' E$ for a distance of 138.07 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve.

Said curve turning to the left through $00^{\circ} 40' 02.95''$, having a radius of 13973.23 feet, and whose long chord bears $S 84^{\circ} 13' 15.75'' E$ for a distance of 162.79 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve.

Said curve turning to the right through an angle of $84^{\circ} 20' 10.22''$, having a radius of 25.00 feet, and whose long chord bears $S 42^{\circ} 23' 12.12'' E$ for a distance of 33.57 feet.

thence $S 00^{\circ} 13' 07.00'' E$ a distance of 202.94 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

Area 19

30.69ac.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence, $N 77^{\circ} 29' 48.60'' W$ for a distance of 489.18 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Said curve turning to the left through $15^{\circ} 41' 23.95''$, having a radius of 1042.70 feet, and whose long chord bears $N 85^{\circ} 33' 44.01'' W$ for a distance of 284.64 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve.

Said curve turning to the right through an angle of $00^{\circ} 10' 18.33''$, having a radius of 103386.86 feet, and whose long chord bears $S 82^{\circ} 17' 18.85'' W$ for a distance of 309.93 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

Thence, $S 79^{\circ} 24' 46.47'' W$ for a distance of 24.64 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $N 15^{\circ} 05' 30.15'' W$ for a distance of 23.45 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Agenda Item #7.1.

Said curve turning to the right through an angle of $11^{\circ} 30' 47.74''$, having a radius of 917.96 feet, and whose long chord bears $N 07^{\circ} 44' 30.21'' W$ for a distance of 184.15 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

Thence, $N 00^{\circ} 03' 07.68'' E$ for a distance of 871.05 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Said curve turning to the right through an angle of $12^{\circ} 27' 54.03''$, having a radius of 470.00 feet, and whose long chord bears $N 05^{\circ} 56' 30.98'' E$ for a distance of 102.05 feet.

Thence, $N 12^{\circ} 10' 28.00'' E$ for a distance of 85.86 feet to the beginning of a curve,

Said curve turning to the right through $69^{\circ} 46' 24.12''$, having a radius of 25.00 feet, and whose long chord bears $N 47^{\circ} 03' 40.06'' E$ for a distance of 28.60 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve.

Said curve turning to the right through an angle of $01^{\circ} 15' 49.06''$, having a radius of 3939.52 feet, and whose long chord bears $S 76^{\circ} 37' 53.86'' E$ for a distance of 86.88 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

Thence, $S 75^{\circ} 21' 44.95'' E$ for a distance of 87.64 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Said curve turning to the right through $01^{\circ} 01' 14.99''$, having a radius of 3939.52 feet, and whose long chord bears $S 74^{\circ} 12' 53.07'' E$ for a distance of 70.19 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve.

Said curve turning to the left through an angle of $08^{\circ} 50' 12.58''$, having a radius of 1460.00 feet, and whose long chord bears $S 78^{\circ} 07' 21.86'' E$ for a distance of 224.96 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

Thence, $S 07^{\circ} 05' 02.89'' W$ for a distance of 0.13 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $S 83^{\circ} 19' 06.79'' E$ for a distance of 107.17 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $S 81^{\circ} 57' 17.39'' E$ for a distance of 561.23 feet to a point on a line.

thence $S 02^{\circ} 15' 29.24'' W$ a distance of 1165.01 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

Area 20

8.10 ac.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Said curve turning to the left through an angle of $05^{\circ} 42' 33.56''$, having a radius of 2768.61 feet, and whose long chord bears $S 02^{\circ} 39' 48.62'' W$ for a distance of 275.77 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

Thence, $S 00^{\circ} 29' 55.06'' E$ for a distance of 340.58 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $S 00^{\circ} 01' 41.06'' W$ for a distance of 505.30 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Said curve turning to the right through an angle of $96^{\circ} 40' 53.21''$, having a radius of 25.00 feet, and whose long chord bears $S 48^{\circ} 20' 26.60'' W$ for a distance of 37.36 feet.

Thence, $N 83^{\circ} 19' 06.79'' W$ for a distance of 266.73 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $N 00^{\circ} 03' 02.16'' E$ for a distance of 279.60 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Said curve turning to the left through $11^{\circ} 44' 52.62''$, having a radius of 2500.00 feet, and whose long chord bears $N 05^{\circ} 29' 33.38'' W$ for a distance of 511.70 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve.

Said curve turning to the left through an angle of $03^{\circ} 39' 41.08''$, having a radius of 2500.00 feet, and whose long chord bears $N 13^{\circ} 32' 05.54'' W$ for a distance of 159.73 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

Thence, $N 12^{\circ} 26' 58.08'' W$ for a distance of 82.38 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $N 86^{\circ} 07' 31.17'' E$ for a distance of 163.86 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Said curve turning to the right through an angle of $09^{\circ} 22' 47.34''$, having a radius of 301.64 feet, and whose long chord bears $N 05^{\circ} 40' 54.01'' W$ for a distance of 49.33 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

Agenda Item #7.1.

Thence, N 00° 11' 28.95" W for a distance of 29.46 feet to a point on a line.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence, S 00° 11' 28.95" E for a distance of 350.40 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 89° 48' 31.05" W for a distance of 159.21 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 25° 04' 50.44" W for a distance of 274.41 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 63° 08' 55.40" E for a distance of 239.37 feet to a point on a line.

thence S 84° 37' 34.43" E a distance of 61.07 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING;

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Said curve turning to the right through 01° 31' 21.99", having a radius of 1296.39 feet, and whose long chord bears S 14° 10' 44.83" W for a distance of 34.45 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve.

Said curve turning to the left through 03° 00' 02.28", having a radius of 2768.61 feet, and whose long chord bears S 13° 26' 24.68" W for a distance of 144.98 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve.

Said curve turning to the left through an angle of 06° 25' 18.15", having a radius of 2768.61 feet, and whose long chord bears S 08° 43' 44.47" W for a distance of 310.14 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

Thence, S 89° 48' 31.05" W for a distance of 248.30 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 00° 11' 28.95" W for a distance of 350.40 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 84° 37' 34.43" W for a distance of 61.07 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 63° 08' 55.40" W for a distance of 239.37 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 25° 04' 50.44" W for a distance of 120.00 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 63° 05' 46.40" W for a distance of 25.30 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 25° 43' 30.79" W for a distance of 48.65 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 28° 49' 19.24" W for a distance of 123.19 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 28° 36' 41.14" E for a distance of 80.01 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 40° 05' 52.68" E for a distance of 64.30 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 59° 39' 28.07" E for a distance of 78.78 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 89° 41' 49.89" E for a distance of 619.57 feet to a point on a line.

thence S 00° 06' 19.88" W a distance of 171.16 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING;thence N 89° 48' 31.05" E a distance of 248.30 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

Area 21

0.33 ac.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence, N 12° 26' 58.08" W for a distance of 91.16 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 89° 46' 41.97" E for a distance of 18.95 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 89° 48' 31.05" E for a distance of 159.21 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 00° 11' 28.95" E for a distance of 29.46 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Said curve turning to the left through an angle of 09° 22' 47.34", having a radius of 301.64 feet, and whose long chord bears S 05° 40' 54.01" E for a distance of 49.33 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

thence S 86° 07' 31.17" W a distance of 163.86 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

AREA 22

1.65 ac.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence, S 00° 11' 28.95" E for a distance of 350.40 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 89° 48' 31.05" W for a distance of 159.21 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 25° 04' 50.44" W for a distance of 274.41 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 63° 08' 55.40" E for a distance of 239.37 feet to a point on a line.

thence S 84° 37' 34.43" E a distance of 61.07 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

Agenda Item #7.1.

Area 23

7.4 ac.

From the POINT OF BEGINNING; Said curve turning to the right through $01^{\circ} 31' 21.99''$, having a radius of 1296.39 feet, and whose long chord bears $S 14^{\circ} 10' 44.83'' W$ for a distance of 34.45 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve.

Said curve turning to the left through $03^{\circ} 00' 02.28''$, having a radius of 2768.61 feet, and whose long chord bears $S 13^{\circ} 26' 24.68'' W$ for a distance of 144.98 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve.

Said curve turning to the left through an angle of $06^{\circ} 25' 18.15''$, having a radius of 2768.61 feet, and whose long chord bears $S 08^{\circ} 43' 44.47'' W$ for a distance of 310.14 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

Thence, $S 89^{\circ} 48' 31.05'' W$ for a distance of 248.30 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $N 00^{\circ} 11' 28.95'' W$ for a distance of 350.40 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $N 84^{\circ} 37' 34.43'' W$ for a distance of 61.07 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $S 63^{\circ} 08' 55.40'' W$ for a distance of 239.37 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $N 25^{\circ} 04' 50.44'' W$ for a distance of 120.00 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $S 63^{\circ} 05' 46.40'' W$ for a distance of 25.30 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $N 25^{\circ} 43' 30.79'' W$ for a distance of 48.65 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $N 28^{\circ} 49' 19.24'' W$ for a distance of 123.19 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $N 28^{\circ} 36' 41.14'' E$ for a distance of 80.01 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $N 40^{\circ} 05' 52.68'' E$ for a distance of 64.30 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $N 59^{\circ} 39' 28.07'' E$ for a distance of 78.78 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, $S 89^{\circ} 41' 49.89'' E$ for a distance of 619.57 feet to a point on a line.

thence $S 00^{\circ} 06' 19.88'' W$ a distance of 171.16 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

Area 24

1.82 ac

POINT OF BEGINNING; Thence, $N 89^{\circ} 54' 10.31'' W$ for a distance of 793.85 feet to the beginning of a non-tangential curve,

Said curve turning to the left through an angle of $20^{\circ} 55' 24.36''$, having a radius of 1449.97 feet, and whose long chord bears $N 75^{\circ} 50' 11.85'' E$ for a distance of 526.57 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

Thence, $N 65^{\circ} 23' 22.15'' E$ for a distance of 307.47 feet to a point on a line.

thence $S 00^{\circ} 49' 55.11'' E$ a distance of 258.26 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING

Agenda Item #7.1.

Area 25

1.00ac.

POINT OF BEGINNING: Thence, N 08° 12' 04.22" W for a distance of 239.02 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, N 81° 39' 28.16" E for a distance of 110.39 feet to the beginning of a curve,

Said curve turning to the right through an angle of 10° 08' 08.78", having a radius of 407.50 feet, and whose long chord bears N 86° 43' 27.00" E for a distance of 71.99 feet to a point of intersection with a non-tangential line.

Thence, S 08° 10' 40.20" E for a distance of 234.73 feet to a point on a line.

Thence, S 84° 37' 12.40" W for a distance of 3.86 feet to a point on a line.

thence S 82° 15' 37.00" W a distance of 178.17 feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING



Souder, Miller & Associates ♦ 3500 Sedona Hills Parkway ♦ Las Cruces, NM 88011
(575) 647-0799 ♦ (800) 647-0799 ♦ fax (575) 647-0680

March 26, 2025

#P9330882

Mr. Vince Banagas
City of Las Cruces Planning Department
P. O. Box 20000
Las Cruces, New Mexico 88011

RE: **Sonoma Ranch East II Master Plan Amendment # 5 – Zone Change Justification Letter**
Sierra Norte Holdings, Las Cruces, New Mexico

Dear Mr. Banagas;

On behalf of Sierra Norte Holdings, submitted herewith is the City of Las Cruces Development Master Plan Amendment #5 response to the City of Las Cruces suggested zoning and land uses.

The recently adopted zoning map in Realize Las Cruces identifies a significant Town Center designation, along with other commercial zoning opportunities, near the intersection of Mesa Grande Drive and Highway 70. Additionally, nearby intersections—Mesa Grande Drive at Northrise Drive (in close proximity to the high school), Sonoma Springs Avenue (adjacent to the sports complex), and Lohman Avenue (a key commercial corridor)—are better suited and more strategically located for future commercial and multi-family development within this corridor.

Given the concentration of commercial zoning in more viable and strategically located areas nearby, we believe that retaining the current commercial designation on these parcels would lead to an oversupply of commercially zoned land and increase the likelihood of long-term vacancy or blight.

For these reasons, we respectfully request the rezoning of these smaller commercial areas to residential, to better reflect the highest and best use of the land for current development.

Should you have any questions or require any further information, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,

MILLER ENGINEERS, INC. d/b/a
SOUDER, MILLER & ASSOCIATES

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Paul Pompeo', is written over a horizontal line.

Paul Pompeo, P.E.
Civil Technical Sector Director
paul.pompeo@soudermiller.com

Amendment "I" – Elevate LC Comprehensive Plan Goals, Policies, and Actions

The following goals, policies, and actions from Elevate Las Cruces are relevant to the proposed Zone Change:

Community Environment:

- Goal CE-1: Balanced Growth – Encourage efficient land use development patterns that accommodate projected growth in a sustainable manner.
- Goal CE-3: Centers and Corridors – Support community growth through concentrated development at activity centers and along key corridors.
 - Policy CE 3.1 – Promote the Development of mixed-use centers to support surrounding neighborhoods and serve as focal points for community life.
 - Action CE-3.1.3 – Distribute neighborhood centers throughout urban and suburban neighborhood place types at or near the intersection of two (2) major thoroughfares or at locations where a multi-use trail corridor intersects with a major thoroughfare.
 - Action CE-3.1.5 – Apply urban street design standards that promote circulation and connectivity to thoroughfare segments extending roughly ¼ mile from the central point of projected neighborhood and town centers.
 - Action CE-3.1.6 – Apply town center and neighborhood center zoning designations to eligible property through zoning map amendments in conjunction with the land development process.
- Goal CE-4: Complete Neighborhoods – Develop mixed-use Neighborhoods that incorporate a wide range of recreational, commercial, employment, and civic uses.
 - Policy CE 4.1 – Encourage a variety of housing types into new and redeveloping neighborhoods to provide options for all ages and incomes throughout the city.
 - Action CE 4.1.1 – Promote a diversity of residential building types, lot sizes, density ranges, and architectural styles in new neighborhoods.
 - Action CE 4.1.2 – Promote transitions between residential development types at intersecting streets and alleys.
 - Action CE 4.1.3 – Encourage single-family attached and multi-family housing options in transitional areas between single-family detached housing and neighborhood centers, corner commercial sites, and civic spaces.
 - Action CE 4.1.4 – Promote mixed use buildings located in downtown, town centers, neighborhood centers, and mixed-use corridors.

- Policy CE 4.2 – Incorporate employment and shopping nodes into new and redeveloping neighborhoods to provide residents with convenient access to services.
 - Action CE 4.2.2 – Augment the development of neighborhood centers with small-scale retail or office establishments and healthy food access at intervening intersections of major thoroughfares or multi-use trails.
- Policy CE-4.3 – Develop street networks that provide connectivity within and between residential areas and supporting centers of activity.
- Policy CE-4.4 – Integrate parks, schools, and other civic space into neighborhoods.
- Goal CE-5: Building and Site Design – Establish standards to integrate new development with surrounding built and natural features while mitigating long-term natural resources and climate impacts.
 - Policy CE-5.1 – Promote building form and scale that complements surrounding neighborhoods and creates strong linkages between the development site, the street, and other public grounds.
- Goal CE-13: Natural Features and Habitat
 - Policy CE-13.1 – Protect waterways and natural drainage corridors from development encroachment.

Community Prosperity:

- Goal CP-7: Attainable Housing – Provide affordable, clean, and safe housing options for all residents regardless of income.
- Goal CP-9: Housing Diversity – Provide a diverse range of housing options to accommodate residents at all stages in life.
 - Policy CP-9.2 – Promote denser housing options in the urban neighborhood, downtown, town centers, neighborhood centers, and mixed-use corridors identified in the future development map.

Community Livability:

- Goal CL-2: Neighborhood Conservation - Strengthen neighborhood condition through improvements to the built-environment.
 - Policy CL-2.2 – Encourage redevelopment of vacant properties within neighborhoods.
- Goal CL-3: Accessible Parkland – Provide convenient access to a variety of park and open space lands.



DEVELOPMENT REVIEW COMMITTEE (DRC)

Following are the minutes from the City of Las Cruces Development Review Committee Meeting held Wednesday, April 16, 2025, at 9:00 a.m. in Room 1158.

DRC PRESENT: David Weir, Deputy Director Community Planning
Rocio Nasir, Senior Engineer, Utilities
Cathy Mathews, Landscape Architect, Parks & Rec.
Tony Trevino, Deputy Director, Public Works
Mike Kinney, Plan Review Engineer, Com. Dev.
Kyle Metzgar, MPO
Michael Danner, Fire Investigator LCFD
Steven Bingham, Director, Parks & Rec

STAFF PRESENT: Adam Ochoa, Senior Planner
John Castillo, Planner, Community Development
Vincent Banegas, Interim Planner
David Sedillo, Public Works

OTHER PRESENT: Steve Peale
Michael Huerta, Moy Surveying
Anton Magallanez, Moy Surveying
John Moscato, Sierra Norte Development
Paul Pompeo, Souder Miller

1. CALL TO ORDER (9:00 a.m.)

Weir: Okay. Good morning again. We'll go ahead and start the DRC meeting of April 16th.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES -

2.1 April 2, 2025

Weir: The first item on the agenda is approval of minutes. We have minutes from April 2, 2025. If folks have had a chance to review those, if they have any amendments or changes they'd like to make. Not seeing any. Do I have a recommendation to approve?

Nasir: So moved

Weir: And then do I have a second?

Metzgar: Second.

Weir: Okay. We have a motion and a second. All those in favor say "aye"

MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.

Weir: All those opposed. Okay, minutes approved by acclamation.

3. NEW BUSINESS

3.1 Case No. 25CS0500004: Payan Subdivision No. 1

- A request for approval of a non-administrative replat, known as Payan Subdivision No. 1.
- The proposed subdivision currently encompasses approximately 0.45 acres, is zoned NH-3S (Neighborhood-3 Suburban) and located at 6090 Payan Road.
- The subdivision proposes to subdivide one (1) existing residential lot into four (4) new lots that are approximately 0.109 acres in size.
- Submitted by Borderland Engineers and Surveyors LLC, Representative.

Weir: That'll move us down to new business. The first case is 3.1, Payan Subdivision No. 1. Is the applicant here?

Peale: Yes, sir, Steve Peale for Borderland Surveyors.

Weir: Okay. Community Development, do you have a presentation or overview of what's being requested?

Ochoa: Yes sir. Before you have, and on the screen here is Payan Subdivision No. 1. It's a request for approval of non-administrative replat, which encompasses approximately about 0.45 acres in size and is zoned NH-3S under Realize Las Cruces. And is located at 1690 Payan Road. This subdivision was submitted at the time that Realize was in effect, so basically these lots now follow what Realize allows. So this is one of the, well basically the first subdivision we have that's following Realize, so thank you Steve. That's pretty awesome.

Peale: You're more than welcome.

Ochoa: So the subdivision proposes to subdivide the existing about 0.45 acre lot into four new residential lots that all encompass approximately 1.09 acres in size. And this was submitted by Borderline Engineers and Surveyors. This did go through a couple reviews. First reviews were just your standard existing zoning of REM, but under Realize, they decided to go under Realize and now follow these standards. So that review went as well and all

1 reviewing parties did recommend approval for the proposed replat. And
2 there are no outstanding comments on this.
3
4 Weir: Mr. Peale. Do you have anything you'd like to add?
5
6 Peale: No.
7
8 Weir: Okay. Departments, any comments?
9
10 Nasir: It is on the plat that the subdivider or the developer will be responsible to
11 extend service lines on this because it was subdivided to a smaller portion
12 and this street is already developed. It had already the service lines for the
13 amount of lots that were there before, but now it's going to be for I think two
14 more or one more, I don't remember which one it is.
15
16 Weir: And so is there capacity for that or it's just something that will come with the
17 building?
18
19 Nasir: No, it will be the capacity. We did that review. I just wanted to note it and
20 that is also on the plat.
21
22 Weir: Okay. So there's no need for condition or anything?
23
24 Nasir: No, nothing. I just wanted to note it on the minutes. That's it.
25
26 Weir: Okay. Public Works here, okay for the plat. Community Development,
27 Adam. Fire Department?
28
29 Danner: No, we're good.
30
31 Weir: Okay. Parks and Rec.
32
33 Mathews: I'll defer to my director since I don't know Realize all that well.
34
35 Weir: Okay. I assume it would be the impact fees. MPO, anything?
36
37 Metzgar: Nothing.
38
39 Weir: Okay. Well, if that's the case, CD recommended approval or
40 recommendation of approval from the DRC. Do I have a motion to that
41 effect?
42
43 Trevino: I'd like to make a motion to approve.
44
45 Weir: Okay. Do I have a second?
46

Nasir: Second.

Weir: So I'll just go by the departments. Public Works.

Trevino: Yes.

Weir: Community Development. Yes. Utilities.

Nasir: Yes.

Weir: Fire Department.

Danner: Yes.

Weir: And Parks and Rec.

Mathews: Aye.

Weir: Okay. So case one is recommendation of approval that will be going to the P&Z next month, Adam.

Ochoa: That will be correct in the May Planning and Zoning Commission meeting.

Weir: Okay.

3.2 Case No. 24CS0500131: Sonoma Ranch East II Master Plan Amendment #5

- A request for approval of a Major Amendment, known as Sonoma Ranch East II Master Plan Amendment #5.
- The master plan boundary involves approximately 143 acres and is currently vacant (except for CLC water tank) and zoned with split R-1b/R-3 (Single-Family High Density/Multi-Dwelling Medium Density), C-3/R-1b/R-3 (Commercial High Intensity/Single-Family High Density/Multi-Dwelling Medium Density), and FC/OS-R (Flood Control/Open Space-Recreational) zoning in addition to straight C-3 (Commercial High Intensity), C-1 (Commercial Low Intensity), R-1b (Single-Family Medium Density), and FC (Flood control) zoning.
- Key amendments proposed include the reconfiguration of planning parcels, elimination of all commercial uses, and the reduction of residential land use densities. Other changes involve the relocation of park land, delineation of arroyo boundaries, and an increase in acreage of open space and its' redesignation to natural/conservation.
- Submitted by Souder Miller and Associates on behalf of Sierra Norte Development Inc.

- 1 Weir: Okay, the second item is case 3.2, Sonoma Ranch East Master Plan,
2 Amendment #5. Are there representatives for the applicant?
3
- 4 PMP Yes. Paul Pompeo with Souder Miller Associates and the developer is
5 present as well.
6
- 7 Weir: Okay. CD, do you have an overview or a presentation and
8 recommendation?
9
- 10 Banegas: I do, Mr. Chairman. Sonoma Ranch East II Master Plan Amendment #5 is
11 shown up on the screen there. It seeks to develop roughly 143 acres of the
12 original 322 acres that constituted the original boundary. The areas that
13 you see in gray, shaded in gray, are developed. The areas that are not
14 shaded are those subject to amendment number five.
15
- 16 The master plan itself, pursuant to the information on the master plan
17 information, seeks to develop in a phased approach from north to south. So
18 your areas for instance, A1A and N1, those would be in your phase 1, A2A
19 in the middle, phase 2, etc. And then further south, phase 3. They will, if
20 necessary, come back and modify some of the phasing or sub-phasing in
21 order to accommodate the development that they seek. Some of the issues
22 that have been addressed throughout the review process is land use-
23 related, elimination of commercial use and zoning. There is an associated
24 zoning element that will be combined with this when it goes forward. And
25 there is a reduction, ultimate reduction, in housing unit or potential for
26 housing unit development.
27
- 28 All of the reviewing parties have approved the master plan amendment.
29 And even though there are some issues that planning sees with it, those
30 are likely to be hammered out at the time of Planning and Zoning
31 Commission consideration. So staff would recommend approval, given the
32 fact that we need to move it forward.
33
- 34 Weir: Mr. Banegas. So this is just the master plan, the rezoning would be a
35 separate application?
36
- 37 Banegas: That's correct.
38
- 39 Weir: Separate from this. Okay. Mr. Pompeo, anything you'd like to add?
40
- 41 Pompeo: Thank you, Mr. Chair. Just a couple of key points on this. One is that the
42 City undertook the creation of a FEMA-designated flood zone on this
43 property in conjunction with the major arroyo to the south. So that area has
44 been included in this and taken out and included as a flood tract. Also on
45 the original master plan there was a park tract that was located at the
46 bottom, the lower left-hand corner of this property, which is now in a flood

1 zone. So that's been removed and relocated up into what's going to be
2 known as phase 10B, which is on the upper portion of the screen. And then
3 because of the topography, we've created additional open space on the
4 southern edge of the property adjacent to a major arroyo. Then with that
5 we are removing some of the commercial elements, and the goal is to
6 develop the remainder of the property with an estate lot-type product that's
7 about one acre minimum lot size. So that's kind of a synopsis of what has
8 facilitated this change to the master plan.
9

10 Weir: Okay. I'll just go through the departments and see if there's any comments
11 that they may have. Public Works. Is there anything you'd like to add or
12 comment on this proposal?
13

14 Trevino: No, we have no comments at this time. Just based on those drainage tracts
15 that are there, there's no direction of ownership of those on this plat. It just
16 kind of calls out those tracts for now, so we're good for now.
17

18 Weir: Okay. Utilities Department.
19

20 Nasir: No.
21

22 Weir: Okay. Parks and Recreation.
23

24 Mathews: I don't know about any comments, but just some of my thoughts. The East
25 Mesa Public Recreation Complex/Sports Complex is just to the south of that
26 road, and the plan of development for that 350 acres includes sort of
27 reserving that area around the arroyo as it cuts through that property as
28 habitat/restoration. And I know earlier there had been discussion about that
29 open space becoming a park, but it seemed unsuitable at that time, though
30 across the street is this habitat area. Those are just some of my thoughts
31 with regard to this. No objections?
32
33

34 Weir: I'm sorry. Fire Department.
35

36 Danner: No comment.
37

38 Weir: MPO.
39

40 Metzgar: No comment.
41

42 Weir: Okay.
43

44 Banegas: Dave, if I could.
45

46 Weir: Go ahead.

1
2 Banegas: In response to the open space comment, I just want to indicate that at the
3 very south end of the proposal there are two, actually three planning
4 parcels, OS-1, OS-2, and H-31. They are identified as open space and
5 they'll, as intended, be natural conservation in terms of their functionality to
6 the bigger picture there. H-31 will have flood control designation attached
7 to it in addition to the open space. So it kind of speaks to a little bit about
8 what you were saying.
9
10 Mathews: Yes.
11
12 Weir: Okay.
13
14 Bingham: Cathy, are you comfortable with that? I mean, open space in itself isn't
15 necessarily park space.
16
17 Mathews: Right. Yes, I'm comfortable with it. I mean it's just as we go forward there'll
18 be questions about maintenance and recreation facilities on Sonora Springs
19 and some questions like that relating to the property itself.
20
21 Weir: Well, this is a master plan, so it's just talking conceptually of what's being
22 done and as we get into the planning and actually drawing permit more of
23 the details and standards, development standards will have to be met.
24
25 Mathews: Yes. I understand. Thank you.
26
27 Weir: Okay. If that's the case, I take it, Vince, there is a recommendation for
28 approval, no conditions.
29
30 Banegas: No conditions.
31
32 Weir: Okay.
33
34 Banegas: So that we can move it forward.
35
36 Weir: I would entertain a motion to make a recommendation of approval of the
37 master plan to the Planning and Zoning Commission.
38
39 Mathews: So moved.
40
41 Trevino: Second.
42
43 Weir: Okay. I have a motion and second, so I'll go ahead and just do a roll call
44 vote. Public Works.
45
46 Trevino: Yes.

1
2 Weir: Community Development, yes. Utilities.

3
4 Nasir: Yes.

5
6 Weir: Fire Department.

7
8 Danner: Yes.

9
10 Weir: And Parks and Recreation.

11
12 Mathews: Yes.

13
14 Weir: Okay, so we have a positive recommendation. And Adam I'm assuming
15 that also will go on to the May Planning and Zoning Commission.

16
17 Ochoa: Yes. We are pushing that for the May meeting.

18
19 **3.3 Case No. 24CS0500033: Huerta Tracts**

- 20 • A request for approval of a non-administrative replat, known as Huerta
21 Tracts
22 • The proposed subdivision currently encompasses 0.437 + acres, is
23 zoned R-2 (Multi-Dwelling Low Density) within the North Mesquite
24 Overlay Zone and located at 615 Pinon Street.
25 • The subdivision proposes to subdivide one (1) existing residential lot into
26 two (2) new lots that are 0.174 ± acres and 0.263 ± acres in size.
27 • Submitted by Moy Surveying, Representative.

28
29 Weir: That brings us to the third case, 3.3, Huerta Tracts. Is the applicant
30 present?

31
32 Magallanez: Yes.

33
34 Weir: Okay. John.

35
36 Castillo: Good morning, everyone. Today we have a request for approval of a non-
37 administrative replat known as Huerta Tracts. This is going to be a property
38 that encompasses 0.437 acres. It's currently zoned R-2, which is our
39 medium to low density, and it's within the North Mesquite Overlay Zone.
40 And it's located at 615 Pinon Street. They're looking to subdivide the one
41 existing lot into two residential lots that are going to be approximately 0.174
42 acres and 0.263 acres in size. This was submitted by Moy Surveying as
43 their representative, who's also here.

44
45 Weir: Okay. And it meets all requirements. You're recommendation would be ...
46

Agenda Item #7.1.

- 1 Castillo: My recommendation would be approval.
2
3 Weir: Okay. Moy Surveying. Anything to add?
4
5 Magallanez: Just, yes, we know that that lot has a little interesting geometry. There's
6 just a shop back there that we're hoping to stick with the parcel obviously to
7 the west, but that's the reason for the geometry of that lot.
8
9 Weir: Okay. Reviewing departments. Any comments or?
10
11 Trevino: Just a question with that (*inaudible*). Does it meet the setbacks to know
12 that middle one would be the, what's the setback now?
13
14 Castillo: Yes, there was an improvement survey provided as part of the review
15 process, and the existing shop does meet the setbacks.
16
17 Weir: Okay. Any other comments from anybody? Okay. If that's the case, I would
18 entertain a motion to make a recommendation of approval of the replat.
19
20 Danner: So moved.
21
22 Weir: Do I have a second?
23
24 Nasir: Second.
25
26 Weir: Okay. I'll go ahead again and do the roll call. Public Works Department.
27
28 Trevino: Yes.
29
30 Weir: Community Development Department will vote yes. Utilities Department.
31
32 Nasir: Yes.
33
34 Weir: Fire Department.
35
36 Danner: Yes.
37
38 Weir: Parks and Recreation.
39
40 Mathews: Yes.
41
42 Weir: Okay. So we have another approval. And again, I'm assuming May.
43
44 Ochoa: Yes, that'll be going up to the May meeting P&Z as well. That's correct.
45

46 4. DISCUSSION ITEMS

1
2
3 Weir: That takes care of all of our business items today. Are there any discussion
4 items? We don't have any on the agenda, but is there anything that the
5 committee would like to discuss? I'm not hearing anything.
6

7 **5. ADJOURNMENT (09:16 a.m.)**
8

9 Weir: That takes us to adjournment. Do I have a motion to adjourn?

10
11 Nasir: So moved.

12
13 Weir: Okay. Do I have a second?

14
15 Trevino: Second.

16
17 Weir: All those in favor?

18
19 MOTION PASSES UNANIMOUSLY.
20

21 Weir: All those opposed/ Meeting is adjourned at 9.16.
22
23
24
25
26

27 _____
Chairperson

Attachment “K” – Findings of Fact

Findings of Fact

- The Sonoma Ranch East II Annexation, which served as the basis for the Sonoma Ranch East II Master Plan, was originally approved on February 28, 2005.
- The master plan has technically been amended six times involving both minor and major amendments (first was considered a minor amendment tracked differently from the rest).
- The amendments have altered planning parcel boundaries, densities, intensities, and land uses particularly within the boundaries herein considered for amendment #5.
- The current amendment #5 proposal, consisting of ± 122.46 acres, seeks to lower residential densities in ± 91.48 acres (approximately 75%) of the subject amendment area in question. Residential land use will shift from single-family high density defined singly, as a development option within a planning parcel or when such a parcel allows a development option for multi-dwelling, medium density to single-family low density.
- Of the remaining 25% of the amendment area, the proposal is to retain ± 15.5 acres as presently defined (single-family high density either singly or as a development option). A slight maximum density increase is proposed in this area.
- Via this amendment, the intent is to amend the master plan and change the zoning of subject property to accommodate a development consisting of ± 56 1-acre lots and ± 61 much smaller lots having a minimum lot size of 3500 square feet in area.
- Other than single-family low density, single-family high density, open space – recreation, open space – natural conservation, and flood control are the only land uses proposed in amendment #5.
- Although Elevate through its' Future Development Map reflects the potential for a neighborhood center in the immediate area, no such center is being proposed. A letter justifying this decision has been submitted for consideration.
- The subject property falls within the Suburban place type. This place type is characterized by low to moderate density residential land uses intermixed with areas of commercial development.
- The amendment/zone change requests may be considered somewhat consistent with the stated place type characteristics in regard to the two density types proposed and provisions for natural and developed public space. However, others characteristics are not supported.
- The proposed master plan amendment/zone change request is supported to a degree by the Elevate Las Cruces Comprehensive Plan and are found to meet the purpose and intent of the 2001 Zoning Code, as amended (2001 Zoning Code, Section 38-2).

ATTACHMENT "L" – Master Plan Amendment History and New Proposal Detail

Past and Proposed Amendments to the Sonoma Ranch East II Master Plan

(Amendments with a double ** pertain to the amendment #5 boundary)

Amendment #1A - **1)** planning parcel H9 (proposed right-of-way) not part of amendment #5 area is moved to Sonoma Ranch East master plan.

Amendment #1** - **1)** label switch for planning parcels P7 and P8, slight 1 du/ac density decrease (12 to 11) for 10.03 acres, and low end of density range removed on both planning parcels; **2)** four planning parcels and a small portion of another were consolidated to create a 32.41 acre planning parcel - A1 (single-family medium-density - 10 du/ac max) with centralized 1.42 ac park, net loss of .56 acres of park, low end of density range removed, 2 du/ac and low end of density range removed on 10.22 acre piece; **3)** four planning parcels and parts of two others consolidated to create A2 parcel (single-family high density residential use at 10 du/ac max.), loss of 4.2 acres of park surrounding water tank, loss of 1.73 acres of Quasi-public use, low end density ranges removed, loss of 2 du/ac density on 11.9 acres, potential loss (mixed use) of 30 du/ac density on 1 acre; **4)** boundary adjustment for H29 and H30; and **5)** 5.69 acre N1 parcel created for flood control/ open space - recreation.

Amendment #2** - **1)** H7 parcel not part of the amendment package but reflects a reduction of 1 du/ac to bring the parcel to a 10 du/ac max. This density carries forth to amendments 3 and 4; **2)** N1 parcel is moved to southeast corner of A2 parcel; **3)** H8 parcel converted from multi-dwelling, medium density (15 du/ac)/commercial medium intensity/office professional & limited retail to single-family medium density (6 du/ac max.); **4)** H19 and H29 parcels converted from multi-dwelling, medium density (15 du/ac max)/commercial high intensity to single-family medium density (6 du/ac); **5)** H30 has ± 3.0 acres split off to create H32 parcel. Remnant H30 parcel converted from multi-dwelling high density and limited retail and office (30 du/ac max.)/commercial high intensity to single-family medium density (6 du/ac max.); **6)** new H32 parcel established with commercial medium intensity use; and **7)** new H33 parcel with commercial light intensity use.

Amendment #3** - **1)** H8 parcel converted from single-family medium density (6 du/ac max.) to single-family high density (10 du/ac max.)/multi-dwelling medium density (20 du/ac max.); **2)** H19 converted from single-family medium density (6 du/ac) to single-family high density (10 du/ac max.)/multi-dwelling medium density (20 du/ac max); **3)** H29 converted from single-family medium density (6 du/ac max) to single-family high density (10 du/ac max.)/multi-dwelling medium density (20 du/ac max)/commercial high intensity; **4)** H30 changed from multi-dwelling high density and limited retail and office (30 du/ac max.)/commercial high intensity to single-family high density (10 du/ac max.)/multi-dwelling medium density (20 du/ac max); and moved N1 parcel back to SW corner of A2 parcel.

Amendment #4 - **1)** H1 parcel not part of amendment #5 area. Changed density from 6 du/ac to 8 du/ac.

Proposed Amendment #5

H7 and H8 parcel are being combined resulting in a new A1a parcel identified as single-family high density (12 du/ac max). A separate and new N1 parcel (2.0 ac park) is shown on the west side of the A1a parcel. This change results in:

- Net single-family high density residential density gain of 2 du/ac for 15.5 acres (H7 and H8).
- The conversion of $\pm$ 8.10-acres from multi-dwelling, medium density (20 du/ac max)/single-family high density (10 du/ac max) to single-family high density (12 du/ac max).
- Provision of a park resulting from the relocation of park land previously approved within the A1 parcel and that from the previously identified N1 parcel. In that park/recreation acreage was not identified for the old N1 parcel, staff cannot ascertain whether the 2.0-acre park size represents a net loss/gain of park acreage or whether it remains equal to what was previously proposed.

Planning parcels A1 (including proposed .42-acre park) and H19 are being combined to form new A2a parcel assigned a single-family low density land use (4 du/ac) designation. This change results in:

- conversion of $\pm$ 30.69 acres from single-family high density (10 du/ac max) to single-family low density (4 du/ac max.).
- conversion of $\pm$ 9.32 acres from single-family high density (10 du/ac max)/multi-dwelling, medium density (20 du/ac) to single-family low density (4 du/ac max.).
- The relocation of a .42-acre park site to proposed N1 parcel.

Parts of planning parcels A2, H29, H30, N1, and all of H33 are being combined to form a new $\pm$ 36.64-acre A3a parcel with a single-family low density residential designation (4 du/ac). This change results in:

- conversion of $\pm$ 30.99 acres from single-family high-density (10 du/ac) to single-family low density (4 du/ac max).
- conversion of $\pm$ 4.31-acres from multi-dwelling, medium density (20 du/ac max)/single-family high density (10 du/ac max)/commercial high intensity to single-family low density (4 du/ac max).
- The conversion of $\pm$.59-acres from open space - recreation/flood control to single-family low density (4 du/ac max). Reconfiguration of park and flood control proposed resulting in this modification.
- The conversion of a $\pm$ 1.0 acre park (due to relocation) to single-family low density 4 du/ac max.

Parts of planning parcels A2, H29, H30, and N1 are combined to form a new $\pm$ 6.09-acre AO1 parcel with a flood control/open space-natural conservation designation. This necessary change results in:

- conversion of $\pm$ 0.76-acres from multi-dwelling, medium density (20 du/ac max)/single-family high density (10 du/ac)/commercial high intensity to flood control/open space-natural conservation.

Agenda Item #7.1.

- conversion of ± 0.23-acres from single-family high density (10 du/ac max)/multi-dwelling, medium density (20 du/ac max) to flood control/open space-natural conservation.
- conversion of ± 3.0-acres from single-family high density (10 du/ac max) to flood control/open space-natural conservation.

Parts of planning parcels A2, H29, H30, H32 and N1 are being combined to form a new ± 12.7-acre A4a parcel with a single-family low density residential designation (4 du/ac). This change results in:


- conversion of ± 6.23 acres from single-family high-density (10 du/ac max) to single-family low density (4 du/ac max).
- conversion of ± 1.95-acres from commercial high intensity to single-family low density (4 du/ac max).
- conversion of ± 6.34-acres from single-family high density (10 du/ac)/multi-dwelling, medium density (20 du/ac max) to single-family low density (4 du/ac max).
- conversion of ± 0.36-acres from flood control/open space-recreation to single-family low density (4 du/ac max). Reconfiguration of park and flood control proposed results in this modification.

Parts of planning parcels A2, H32, and N1 are being combined to form a new ± 4.61-acre OS2 parcel with an open space-natural conservation designation. This change results in:

- conversion of ± 1.95-acres from commercial high intensity to open space-natural conservation.
- conversion of ± 1.52-acres from single-family high density (10 du/ac max) to open space-natural conservation.
- conversion of ± 0.97-acres from flood control/open space recreation to open space-natural conservation.

Part of planning parcel N1 will become a new ± 0.76-acre OS1 parcel with an open space-natural conservation designation. This change results in:

- conversion of ± 0.76-acres from flood control/open space recreation to open space-natural conservation.





Type of Action:
Planning & Zoning
Staff Report

Planning & Zoning Commission Staff Report

District:	☒ 1 ☒ 2 ☒ 3 ☐ 4 ☐ 5 ☐ 6 ☐ N/A						
Adopted:							
Drafter:	Blairre Flores			Department:	Economic Development		
Program:	Business Development			Line of Business:	Business Development		
Title:	DISCUSS THE EL PASEO AND S SOLANO METROPOLITAN REDEVELOPMENT AREA PLAN.						

PURPOSE(S) OF ACTION:
Discuss the El Paseo and S Solano MRA Plan.

BACKGROUND / KEY ISSUES / CONTRIBUTING FACTORS:
A Metropolitan Redevelopment Area (MRA) is a designated district within a city identified as physically or economically blighted and in need of revitalization. Established under the New Mexico Metropolitan Redevelopment Code, MRAs allow municipalities to focus planning efforts and resources to stimulate economic growth, attract private investment, improve infrastructure, and enhance quality of life for residents. Once an area is officially designated as an MRA by the City Council, a redevelopment plan is created to guide improvements and outline key catalytic projects. These plans are developed with community input and often aim to support small businesses, encourage mixed-use development, and promote equitable, long-term growth.

The El Paseo and S. Solano area was identified as a potential MRA in the Metropolitan Redevelopment Designation Report completed by Groundwork Studio in March 2023. Sites Southwest was selected as the planning consultant for the project in February 2024.

Throughout the planning process, there has been a strong emphasis on public engagement to reflect the community’s vision—especially from those who live, work, and visit the area regularly. Sites Southwest and the City began meeting with public focus groups in April 2024, followed by a three-day workshop in September 2024, which also included hands-on planning activities with students from Las Montañas High School. Additionally, City departments and staff from across the organization have contributed their time and expertise to help shape this plan.

SUPPORT INFORMATION:
[CLC-MRA-Report_4-21-25_sm](#)

PLAN(S):
Department Strategic Business Plan, Elevate Las Cruces

COMMITTEE/BOARD REVIEW:
P&Z

El Paseo and South Solano MRA PLAN

2025



> Prepared for:



April 14, 2025

Agenda Item #8.1.

Table of CONTENTS

01	INTRODUCTION.....	001
02	COMMUNITY PROFILE.....	016
03	MARKET ANALYSIS.....	062
04	ILLUSTRATIVE VISION.....	082
05	STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATIONS.....	090
06	FINANCING AND IMPLEMENTATION.....	128

INTRODUCTION



Agenda Item #8.1.


The El Paseo and S Solano Metropolitan Redevelopment Area (MRA) Plan represents a pivotal opportunity to transform this section of Las Cruces into a thriving, pedestrian-friendly community with a renewed focus on sustainable development and equitable growth. Our goals extend beyond revitalizing commercial and retail areas; they encompass a holistic approach to urban renewal that prioritizes infrastructure improvement, environmental sustainability, social equity, historic preservation, and public safety and health.

By reimagining both areas as vibrant hubs of economic and community activity, with expanded commercial and retail spaces, enhanced recreational amenities for families, and housing options that address the city's pressing need for additional affordable and

workforce housing, we aim to create a model of revitalization that fosters inclusivity, preserves our cultural heritage, and ensures the well-being of all residents.

Through collaborative efforts and innovative strategies with the community, organizational partners, and city staff, we aspire to build not just a neighborhood but return the infill area into a resilient and thriving community that serves as a beacon of progress and opportunity for generations to come.

Boundary of the Study Area



By focusing on these key goals, the Metropolitan Redevelopment Area Plan aims to create a comprehensive plan that addresses the diverse needs of the community while fostering sustainable growth and development.

Plan Goals



Economic Revitalization and Housing

- > Transform areas into a vibrant, pedestrian-friendly community.
- > Revitalize commercial and retail areas to attract businesses and visitors.
- > Introduce new recreational opportunities for families to enhance community engagement.
- > Work with City, State, Federal and private partners to develop affordable and workforce housing options, including new projects, revitalization, and hotel conversions.



Infrastructure Improvement

- > Enhance infrastructure to support sustainable development and facilitate connectivity and walkability.
- > Improve transportation networks to promote accessibility and mobility within the area.



Public Safety and Health

- > Implement measures to enhance public safety and create a secure environment.
- > Improve access to healthcare services and amenities to support residents' well-being.
- > Improve access and options for childcare providers.



Social Equity

- > Ensure inclusivity in redevelopment efforts to address social disparities.
- > Promote affordable housing options to accommodate diverse socioeconomic backgrounds.



Environmental Sustainability

- > Prioritize sustainable development practices to minimize environmental impact.
- > Implement green initiatives to enhance the area's resilience and reduce carbon footprint.



Historic Preservation

- > Preserve cultural heritage and historical landmarks in both MRA areas.
- > Integrate historical elements into redevelopment plans to honor the area's heritage.

Planning Framework

The El Paseo and S Solano MRA Plan is not the first planning effort in this part of the city. There are several planning efforts that the City and community have undertaken in recent years that provide critical guidance and recommendations for the plan. These are summarized in the following pages.

Citywide Policy


Elevate Las Cruces, 2020

Purpose

This comprehensive plan is Las Cruces's unified policy guide and action plan for enhancing the community's long-term prosperity and happiness by building a city that is environmentally, fiscally, and socially sustainable. It provides a framework for policy and action for the next 25 years. It includes a vision framework, a physical framework, and a policy framework.

Recommendations


- > Future Development Program – Elevate Las Cruces recommends a place-based approach to future development. This framework includes Place Classifications, Place Types, and Areas of Special Consideration.
- > Future Development Map – The MRA area is primarily identified as Urban Neighborhood, with a small area identified as Suburban Neighborhood south of Las Cruces High School. There is also a segment of Regional Commercial along south Main Street, and the southernmost section of Downtown on the north side of the MRA. Lastly, there are small Open Space Reserve areas designated around drainage pathways and parks.



Agenda Item #8.1.

- > Future Place Type Overlays – Within the Place Type Overlays map, there are several key roads classified as Mixed-Use Corridors, including El Paseo Rd. and S Solano Dr. Additionally, major roads that border the MRA area are also identified as Mixed-Use Corridors: University Avenue, E Lohman Avenue, and S Main Street. Finally, this plan identifies three Neighborhood Centers within the MRA Area: at the intersection of S Solano and E. Lohman Ave., the intersection of S Solano Drive and Missouri Avenue, and the intersection of S Main Street and E Idaho Avenue.
- > Future Thoroughfare Program – The goal of the Future Thoroughfare Program is to provide recommendations for a network of streets that effectively balance mobility and accessibility with variable design features to complement a wide range of development types. It determines the location and character of future thoroughfares and considers improvements or expansions to existing streets and the location of future roadways based on projected growth patterns.
 - Multi-Modal Street Systems that consider motor vehicles, public transit, cyclists, and pedestrians as a key aspect of this Program.

Future Place Type Overlays Map



Future Thoroughfare Program Map



- > Policy Framework – The Policy Framework includes goals, policies, and actions that will leverage the City’s intended physical transformation into a program that seeks to enhance the daily lives and long-term prospects for community members. It is organized around the following themes:
 - Environmental Sustainability
 - Fiscal Sustainability
 - Social Equity

Relevance to MRA

Elevate Las Cruces cites redevelopment as a strategy to advance several plan goals. The MRA Plan will align with the principles determined in Elevate Las Cruces to do this. The projects in the MRA Plan will address the site conditions while contributing to larger city benefits.

Solano Drive: Enhanced Mixed-Use Corridor Example

ENHANCED MIXED-USE CORRIDORS: SOLANO DRIVE

Solano Drive is a commercial corridor east of Downtown Las Cruces. The corridor supports a wide variety of uses including retail, services and office buildings. The form of development along Solano Drive is suburban, with buildings set back from the street, expansive surface parking areas, and wide travel lanes for motor vehicles. During a multi-day design workshop Solano Drive was re-envisioned as a Mixed-use Corridor - transforming the four-lane road into a two-lane road, with a turning lane at intersections and bicycle lanes.

According to the NMDOT traffic count data, Solano Drive at the Idaho Avenue intersection has decreased from 16,899 in 2012 to 14,517 in 2017. The long-term transportation plan of Las Cruces shows buffered bike lanes on both sides of Solano Drive and separated bike lanes on Idaho Avenue. This can significantly improve the safety of this corridor for cyclists and at the same time creates a more pedestrian friendly environment.



S. Solano Drive at Idaho Avenue (above).



Design concept (above).

Solano Drive Example taken from Elevate Las Cruces.

Realize Las Cruces, 2025

Purpose

Realize Las Cruces is the City's Development Code update project. It includes amendments to existing zoning regulations, zoning map, subdivision regulations, sign regulations, and design standards. A goal for this update is to streamline and simplify development procedures. The code was adopted in February 2025.


Recommendations

Notable changes were made throughout the document to update and streamline the Code:

- > Reorganize and consolidate several chapters (Design Standards, Drainage and Flood Control, Signs, Subdivisions, Zoning, Outdoor Lighting, Historic Preservation) into a unified Development Code
- > Create a separate Technical Manual for design criteria and technical details
- > Add cross-reference functionality
- > Add illustrations, tables and flow charts
- > All electronic submittals of all applications except Final Plats

There are notable recommended changes to zoning regulations, including the introduction of Character-Based Districts and Character Areas. The purpose of this approach is to implement the Elevate Las Cruces Future Development Map's Place Types, and to allow regulatory tools that allows flexibility and promote context-sensitive development.

The new zoning map includes two place type overlays – Town Center Overlays and Mixed-Use Corridor Overlays – whose purpose is to allow a broader range of development options, with an emphasis on walkable, coordinated mixed-use development. This is explored further in the Existing Conditions chapter.



Active Transportation Plan, 2018

Purpose

This plan provides a vision and framework to make Las Cruces more livable for all residents and visitors, by providing recommended programs, policies, and infrastructure that support active transportation throughout the city.

Recommendations

This plan outlines a future active transportation plan that includes additions to bicycle facilities, pedestrian focus areas and specific approaches for pedestrian safety around school zones and transit corridors, naming El Paseo as an example here.

- > Key Considerations for Transit Corridors:
 - Large, expansive parking lots and frequent driveway access points reduce comfort and introduce excessive conflict points for pedestrians traveling to the

front doors of businesses. The City of Las Cruces should consider reducing or eliminating parking minimums to avoid the construction of large and under-utilized surface parking lots.

- RoadRUNNER Transit and South Central Regional Transit District operate some out-and-back routes that serve bus stops on both sides of the street. Transit riders often board the bus at a stop on one side of the street and alight at the stop on the opposite side of the street, resulting in frequent crossings at bus stops which can be unsafe without adequate crosswalk infrastructure. Las Cruces should prioritize connecting sidewalks to bus stops and providing mid-block crossings where needed at transit stops. In situations where mid-block crosswalks aren't warranted, nearby intersections should be upgraded to include high-visibility crosswalks, pedestrian signals, and median refuge islands.
- Pedestrian walk phases that coincide with motor vehicle turns create conflicts at intersections. While pedestrians in a crosswalk legally have the right of way, motorists often aren't looking for pedestrians and sometimes complete turns at high speeds to avoid collisions with other motorists. Higher numbers of motorists and pedestrians can be expected along transit corridors, which can increase the number of potential conflicts at intersections. Where appropriate, reducing curb radii to manage turning speeds, installing signage to restrict right turns on red or require yielding to pedestrians, and adjusting traffic signal timings can improve safety for motorists, transit users, and pedestrians at intersections.

> Recommendations for the El Paseo/Idaho Avenue Intersection:

- Potential changes could include median refuge islands, access management including curb-cut consolidation, the reduction of curb radii and/or removal of the existing slip lanes, sidewalk widening, high-visibility crosswalks, and transit shelter upgrades (such as cover). Any changes should be considered in detail by the Public Works Department, in concert with the community and surrounding businesses.

Infill Policy Plan (Infill Development Overlay District), 1998

Purpose

The Infill Policy Plan is intended to provide guidelines and incentives for the development of vacant and possibly underutilized parcels or those parcels that are ready for redevelopment within Las Cruces urban core area, regardless of the property's zoning. The plan was prepared by first conducting a windshield survey of all parcels within the study area by the City Planning Department in 1996. The infill study area was defined by using major highways and roads in the area. The previous land use inventory for the area was used as a basis to determine development activities. The Plan defined the infill study area to be bounded by Interstate 25 (I-25) on the east, University Avenue (NM 101) on the south, Valley Drive (NM 185/188) on the west, and Hoaglan Road, North Alameda Boulevard, Three Crosses Avenue, and North Main Street (U. S. Highway 70) on the north. Its main purpose is therefore to analyze vacant or underdeveloped parcels within the Infill Study Area and specifically address issues of providing incentives and guidelines for those parcels' development.

Agenda Item #8.1.

Encourage infill development on vacant parcels in the central part of Las Cruces by streamlining the review process for development or redevelopment. Possible benefits of development within the IDO include:

- > Expedited permit review
- > Flexibility in design
- > Flexibility in use
- > No building permit fees (does not include impact fees)
- > No submittal fees

Recommendations

- > Encouraging infill development to possibly increase property taxes and new utility usage which may provide long term benefit to the city.
- > The plan recommends focus should be placed on addressing nonconforming and smaller lots and those older neighborhoods which have a higher frequency of smaller, individual vacant lots.
- > Planning process should look into larger lots in the infill study area and the possible future expansion
- > Possible expansion of infill study area's boundaries to add up the number of vacant lots when those identified decreases to prevent allowing them to remain vacant land as growth occurs outside the infill study area
- > A balance between any incentives provided and the compatibility of any infill development to the existing uses and neighborhoods.


Relevance to MRA

- > The Infill Policy Plan defines the infill study area in the city and recommends what infilling and redevelopment in the city should consider.
- > The methodology adopted in preparing the plan analyzed the then existing land use inventory to better inform its recommendations in the infill policy plan.
- > The plan's goals, objectives and the

recommended actions/strategies are aligned to those of the Comprehensive Plan for the city to avoid issues of non-conforming and conflicting development/land uses.

- > The plan's infill study area captures both the city's recognized State and National Historic Districts which would be relevant for any development or redevelopment considerations.
- > The plan also identifies other issues that need to be considered in infilling or redevelopment in the city.
- > Assessment of property taxes collected and how the value of properties are likely to be increased after infilling or redevelopment especially in the defined Infill Study Area.
- > The plan outlines required efforts and implementation strategies
- > It contains infill development processes

Infill Policy Plan



Complete Streets Ordinance, 2022

Purpose

The Ordinance (Ordinance 2993; Council Bill 22-015) sought to add sections 32-41 through 32-47, Complete Streets to the Las Cruces Municipal Code (LCMC). The complete streets ordinance is applied to all roadways and or segments on City right-of-way which meets one or more of those that are;

- > Located within Las Cruces and are listed on the elevate Las Cruces Map1.4, Future Thoroughfare Map, and owned and maintained by the City of Las Cruces,
- > Designated collectors or above and are being designed and constructed by private developers as part of subdivision or site plan development and will become part of the City's owned and maintained street network.

The ordinance provides directions on and required standards for the design, construction and maintenance of transportation infrastructure such as streets, sidewalks, bike lanes etc.

Relevance to MRA

The ordinance provides crucial information on standards the design, construction, and maintenance of infrastructure should consider. It contains principles that apply to all projects that alter or otherwise affect streets that are in its jurisdiction (City of Las Cruces). The ordinance also provides compliance requirements for all departments that pursue projects that affect or alter streets in Las Cruces. It provides Capital Improvement Project criteria contained in Project Prioritization Matrix that all departments pursuing projects that affect or alter streets in Las Cruces should comply with.

Area Specific Plans

El Paseo Corridor Blueprint, 2012

Purpose

A Planning Blueprint is a policy guide for a defined area, documenting the needs and issues of an area and making recommendations to address them. This plan is used to inform Las Cruces' City Council, Planning and Zoning Commission, and staff of development priorities and generate support for the implementation of projects. The blueprint is aligned with the city-wide goals laid out in Elevate Las Cruces, the city's comprehensive plan.

The El Paseo Corridor Blueprint specifically analyzed El Paseo Road from Main Street to University Avenue, a 1.7-mile corridor connecting Downtown Las Cruces to New Mexico State University. This plan highlights El Paseo as a vital connection across two major activity sites with the potential to be redeveloped into a site itself.

Recommendations

- > Redevelop El Paseo Road as a safer and more user-friendly corridor; prioritize equitable design to ensure pedestrians, bicyclists, transit-users, automobile users and people with varying abilities have equal opportunity in accessing uses along the corridor.
- > Allow diverse land uses and housing types to locate in proximity to each other in order to achieve a walkable, inclusive and economically viable area.
- > Allow flexibility in land and building uses such that development can respond to economic and ownership changes.
- > Improve the aesthetic appeal of the corridor; foster a "sense of place" or community identity along the corridor.
- > Encourage climate-responsive and environmentally sustainable development practices, such as traditional building forms, green building techniques and the use of green infrastructure along the corridor.

Agenda Item #8.1.

- > Support active living and healthy community design through appropriate guidelines and regulations for the planning area

Actions

- > Develop and adopt a form-based code for the El Paseo planning area. (Goals 1- 6)
- > Design El Paseo Road as a Complete Street. (Goals 1 & 6)
- > Implement the Road Safety Assessment (RSA) recommendations for El Paseo Road as appropriate within the context of the Complete Street concept. (Goals 1 & 6)
- > Incorporate the Institute of Transportation Engineers' recommended practices in Designing Walkable Urban Thoroughfares: A Context Sensitive Approach, as they pertain to the overarching vision for the plan area. (Goals 1, 2, 4 & 6)
- > Advance the City's transit plan and affordable housing strategies by encouraging higher density housing along and around the corridor. (Goals 1, 2, 4, 5 & 6)
- > Adopt policies that require, or at minimum encourage, affordable housing units to be integrated with other housing options within the same development. (Goal 2)
- > Develop standards to guide the evolution of destination-oriented and/or transit-oriented developments with distinctive, human-scaled urban environments and civic spaces. (Goals 1-6)
- > Adopt urban design and architectural standards that can improve the aesthetics and user-appeal of the streetscape. (Goals 4 & 6)
- > Adopt standards to incorporate green development and building techniques. (Goals 4, 5 & 6)
- > Investigate options to incentivize redevelopment such as investing in infrastructure improvements, pilot/catalyst projects, public-private partnerships; shared parking provisions, density bonus allowances; streamlining the application process, and organizing design competitions and charrettes. (Goals 1- 6)

Relevance to MRA

This blueprint plan is a concentrated study on one of the key north-south corridors in the MRA – El Paseo. The blueprint identifies the potential for El Paseo to become a multi-modal corridor that supports all walks of life through infrastructure and policy changes. The revitalized corridor is designed to be safe and accessible for pedestrians, cyclists, and people with varying mobility needs. The physical environment is improved to be climate responsive, environmentally sustainable, and promote active lifestyles. Flexible, multi-use zoning encourages affordable housing development and bolsters the local economy by attracting small businesses.

El Paseo-S Solano Corridors Committee, 2022

Purpose

An Ad Hoc Committee was organized in December 2021 to assess redevelopment strategies that could revitalize the El Paseo and S Solano corridors. For eight months, stakeholders and city staff convened to review city policy related to physical improvements along the El Paseo and S Solano Corridors. The stated purpose of this working group reads as follows:

- > "The southern infill neighborhoods envision and strive to be a place of economic stability, wealth creation, and healthy living. They are attractive, peaceful places where people feel welcome, safe, and comfortable and are hot spots for dwelling choice, local shopping, eateries, entrepreneurship, and businesses... The southern infill corridors (El Paseo, South Solano) are seen as and continue to transform into thriving transit-oriented areas where businesses, residents, visitors and the City work together to create and maintain a place of sustainability, one that is pedestrian and bicycle friendly, and addresses the needs of all citizens."

Recommendations

- > Community Development Department: responsible for overseeing and managing redevelopment processes
- > City MRA Evaluation Program: formalizing and operationalizing the MRA process including designation, funding, and execution
- > Fiscal Resources: city budget for redevelopment, support for LIHTC application, support for federal grant funding, capital improvement program money
- > Virtual Technical Assistance and Resource Program: toolbox of MRA and redevelopment graphic information
- > Building Technical Assistance Studio Team: technical assistance related to building, construction, and development
- > Business Technical Assistance Team: technical assistance related to business relocation, growth, and success
- > Neighborhood Technical Assistance Team: technical assistance related to marketing, project funding, and civic involvement
- > Paseos Verdes Affordable Housing & Multi-Use Redevelopment
- > Official Boundary Designation of El Paseo – S Solano Redevelopment Area

MRA Designation Report, 2023

Purpose

The purpose of the MRA Designation Report is to demonstrate the physical and socioeconomic conditions within the boundaries of the MRA inhibiting economic development, investment, and prosperity. This report precedes the MRA Plan as a prerequisite of the Metropolitan Redevelopment Area process by proving the condition of this area to require public intervention to spur redevelopment.

The report identified several physical factors that inhibit investment including vacant and underutilized buildings, deteriorated commercial and residential buildings, deterioration of public infrastructure and streetscapes, missing sidewalks, and brownfield asbestos

contamination. The report also notes crime as a deterrent for business owners interested in vacant commercial properties.

Recommendations

- > “Realistic implementation strategies, considering the longstanding nature and complexity of the needs that have been demonstrated in this report”
- > “With the powers made available to municipalities by the New Mexico Metropolitan Redevelopment Code, the City of Las Cruces will be working with the private and non-profit sectors to **create opportunities to preserve existing businesses in these areas, expand business and job opportunities, expand and revitalize the housing stock, as well as implement much needed improvements to the public realm.** Authentic and effective community processes will ensure that the revitalization strategies and catalytic projects that the City pursues are place-based and reflect the unique history, identity, culture, and vision of the community”

Relevance to MRA

- > This document offers a thorough analysis of the physical and socioeconomic conditions that will influence the recommendations and projects laid out in the following MRA Plan.
- > Notably, the auto-oriented design of the area is hostile to pedestrians and will need to be redesigned to promote safety and multi-modal access that is necessary here. The corridor connects two high-traffic areas, Downtown and NMSU, but experiences disproportionately higher commercial vacancies than throughout the rest of the city. There is an opportunity to provide more amenities that cater to the younger and working-age population that lives here. There are many households with specific needs relevant to the conditions present in the MRA, including people with disabilities, people without access to a car, and a significant bilingual population. Most of the

housing units are occupied by renters, 74 percent, and are cost-burdened by housing-related expenses. Despite educational attainment and high participation in the workforce, this area also has a lower average household income than the rest of the city.

Current Projects

Lift Up Las Cruces

Lift Up Las Cruces is a multi-department effort of the City of Las Cruces to improve neighborhoods one at a time. The annual program, which began in 2022, works with the residents to identify their needs and concerns within their neighborhood. The City then allocates our limited resources in this concentrated area to make a larger impact in that neighborhood through actionable projects. Some examples of these projects are road improvements, bus shelters, neighborhood clean-up initiatives, or City-sponsored events.

In 2024, the focus neighborhood encompassed the northern section of the MRA area, bound by E Amador Avenue to the North, Campo Street and

El Paseo Road to the west, Idaho Avenue to the south, and S Solano Drive to the west.

A block party was held on May 18 at Valley View Park to celebrate the work that is beginning here. A survey was also conducted and solicited feedback from residents; 112 surveys were completed. Local investments and projects include:

- > Neighborhood cleanup events
- > Street light upgrades
- > Repave Almendra and Alamo Streets
- > Public Art at the Munson Center
- > Multi-purpose room addition at the Munson Center
- > Security Services
- > Playground, shade structure and site furnishings at Valley View Park



Lift Up Las Cruces Event.



Valley View Park.

Planning Process

Project Timeline

	Phase 1	Phase 2	Phase 3
	 Listen and Understand	 Explore	 Roadmap
	Project Initiation and Investigation	Plan Development and Refinement	Plan Review and Finalization
Tasks	• Team Site Visits • Stakeholder Conversations • Community Survey • Community Workshop	• Illustrative Framework • Identify Catalytic Projects • Leadership Engagement • Feedback at Community Events	• Share Draft Materials for Review • Edit Draft Materials • Present in Public Hearings
Deliverables	• Existing Conditions Memo	• Draft Plan • Draft Catalytic Projects	• Final Plan
	APRIL – SEPTEMBER 2024	SEPTEMBER 2024 – JANUARY 2025	JANUARY – JUNE 2025

Public Engagement Activities

Listening Sessions & Stakeholder Meetings

The team met with key stakeholders through individual phone/video calls, in-person and virtual meetings and focus groups during the planning process. We want to thank each and every person who gave their time to this planning process. These meetings included:

- Representatives from City of Las Cruces Departments (Planning, Parks & Recreation, Public Works, Utilities, Transit, Historic Preservation)
- Elected officials
- Local growers
- Administrators from Las Cruces Public School District
- Staff from Las Cruces Police Department
- Staff from the Elephant Butte Irrigation District
- Staff from New Mexico State University
- Staff from the local Economic Development District
- Las Cruces Homebuilders Association
- Historic Preservation advocates

During the first Site Visit the team met with key representatives from the following groups:

- Local Business Representatives
- Developers/Realtors
- Public Institutions/Nonprofits/Social Services
- Neighborhoods/Historic/Cultural Organizations

Community Design Workshop

The MRA Team hosted a 3-day community workshop at Real Life Church, located within the MRA. These meetings were open to the public and promoted across Las Cruces, and targeted towards MRA area residents, employees, and business owners. Details about this Workshop are provided in the Illustrative Vision chapter.

Survey

Between October 2024 and January 2025, the El Paseo & S Solano MRA team conducted a public opinion survey to better understand the community preferences surrounding redevelopment in the El Paseo & S Solano MRA – 2,055 people responded. Key takeaways and priorities from the Survey are provided in the Illustrative Vision chapter.


COMMUNITY PROFILE



Area History

The El Paseo and S Solano corridors and the neighborhoods they pass through comprise the southern part of the core of Las Cruces, connecting downtown to the University. Just south of the original townsite, neighborhoods and commercial grew along these streets through the mid-century. El Paseo, and Wyatt Drive, in particular, became the commercial heart of the city in the 1980s and 90s, with a movie theater, many stores, and restaurants attracting residents throughout the day and on weekends. "Cruising" El Paseo became a popular pastime for community members that many residents today remember fondly. S Solano held smaller, mostly local businesses between East Lohman and Missouri Avenue, serving the nearby neighborhoods.

Today, these corridors retain some of these commercial traits, but many entertainment options and large businesses have shifted to the periphery of the city, leaving several vacant storefronts in their wake. Many service-oriented offices and businesses remain, including healthcare, automotive supply and repair, and fitness centers. There are several fast food options that make it popular for high school and college students.



Community Assets

Las Cruces is located in southern New Mexico, roughly 200 miles south of Albuquerque. Close to the US-Mexico border, Las Cruces is less than 50 miles north of El Paso, TX, and Ciudad Juárez, Mexico. The arid desert climate draws in visitors looking for southwestern desert heat and dry air and is favorable for retirees and seniors. Dramatic mountain views, especially from the Organ Mountain Range, line most of the horizon. The Rio Grande runs just west of the town and is easily accessed by numerous public parks along the riverbank. Large flat agricultural fields are met by gentle hills leading to the surrounding mountains.

The MRA is immediately north of New Mexico State University, the state’s land-grant university, and benefits from the proximity to this institution. In 2023, 14,779 students were enrolled at the main Las Cruces campus. The same year, the university employed nearly 3,500 people, including 1,090 faculty and 2,394 staff. There is rental housing in the MRA that supports students and staff at the University.

Downtown Las Cruces lies immediately north of the MRA and provides a key connection to the historic heart of the community. The City has made investments in the downtown core to improve infrastructure and pedestrian facilities, as well as support local businesses and arts and cultural investments.



Physical Conditions


To better understand the issues and opportunities within the nearly 1,200 acres that comprise the MRA, the team has taken time to thoroughly review the physical conditions and future planning for this space, looking at:

- Current zoning
- Parks and open space
- Utilities
- Transportation and connectivity
- Land uses
- Public ownership
- Building and sidewalk conditions
- Area assets

Ultimately, this information has provided guidance for what we are calling “Character Areas” which are smaller zones within the MRA that have common attributes and challenges.



Zoning Assessment



Zoning Districts

- Neighborhood 1 (NH-1)
- Neighborhood 2 (NH-2)
- Neighborhood 3 (NH-3)
- Commercial Retail (CR)
- Light Industrial (LI)
- Heavy Industrial (HI)
- Open Space 1 (OS-1)
- Open Space 2 (OS-2)
- Planned Unit Development (PUD)
- Holding (H)

Characters

- Urban Character (U)
- Rural Character (R)
- No Character Defined
- * Everything else is Suburban Character (S)

Overlays

- Mixed-Use (MX)
- Town Center (TC)
- Neighborhood Center (NC) * None currently added *
- Airport Overlay District

Agenda Item #8.1.

The Las Cruces City Council recently adopted Realize Las Cruces, the new land development code for the city that implements its comprehensive plan – Elevate Las Cruces. Some of the salient elements of land development code and associated zoning are summarized in this section.

- The entire MRA is in the Urban Character designation.
- Most of the commercial corridors are zoned CR Commercial Retail with a few parcels between Idaho and Montana just east of the drainage channel are zoned Neighborhood 3
- The residential blocks between El Paseo and S Solano north of Boutz are generally zoned Neighborhood 2 and the newer neighborhoods of Boutz are zoned Neighborhood 1.
- The parcels fronting along Main Street, El Paseo and S Solano are also in the Mixed Use Corridor Overlay.

Zone	NH-1 Urban	NH-2 Urban	NH-3 Urban
Illustration			
Residential Density Max.	40 DUA	50 DUA	60 DUA
Lot width (min.)	20'	20'	20'
Front Setback	15' (arterials and collectors) 12' (local streets)	15' (arterials and collectors) 12' (local streets)	15' (arterials and collectors) 12' (local streets)
Building height	70' max	70'	70'
Building Design	• Buildings 3+ stories shall be built with tri-partite architecture (base, middle, and top) • Glazing standards (min. 50% of front facade) for ground floor commercial and mixed use buildings		
Uses (highlights)	By right: Multi-family, townhouse, single family uses Accessory dwellings and structures Some public and civic uses	Uses allowed in NH-1 Plus: Art studio, banks Local grocery Personal Services Retail store (not regional) Shopping center Animal services (indoor) Plant nursery	Uses allowed in NH-1 and NH-2 plus: Offices Urgent care Hotels Indoor and outdoor entertainment Convenience stores, gas station Regional grocery, Retail store (all scales) Shopping Center Food truck park Bar, café, restaurant, etc. Auto sales and rental Auto repair (minor) Artisan manufacturing
Parking	Off-street parking is not required in the Urban Character Area		

Agenda Item #8.1.

Urban Character Area

The Urban Character Area is the area that is designated for the highest intensity with the goal of facilitating redevelopment within already developed areas of the city. The El Paseo and S Solano areas are entirely within the Urban Character area. The Neighborhood 1, 2, and 3 zones within the Urban Character area are all distinct from the same zones in the Suburban and Rural Character areas.

The Table on the previous page provides the development standards for the NH-1, 2, and 3 zones within the Urban Character areas.

Mixed Use Corridor Overlay (MXCO)

The El Paseo and S Solano corridors are also within the Mixed Use Corridor Overlay which allows multi-family uses by right up to a maximum of 60 DUA. Off-street parking requirements are not applicable in the MXCO.


CR Commercial Retail District

The CR, Commercial/Retail District provides a wide range of commercial uses at various scales to serve residents and attract regional customers. This district specifically accommodates commercial, retail, office, and related nonresidential uses.

Other Requirements


Standards for building materials, front facade entry requirements, building articulation, architectural features, and amenities for multi-family residential (over 12 unit developments) all apply to new nonresidential, mixed-use, and multi-family development, regardless of the Character area.

Land Use




Land Uses in the MRA are diverse, overall, with concentrations of different uses in sub-areas. Commercial Uses are concentrated along El Paseo Rd., north of Boutz Rd. and along S Solano Dr., north of Missouri Ave. There are also commercial uses scattered along S Main St and E Lohman Ave. There are Institutional and Public Assembly (mostly religious gathering spaces, schools, community centers, parks) scattered throughout the district, with a large parcel occupied by Las Cruces High School at the southwest intersection of El Paseo Rd. and E Boutz Rd. The balance of the land is residential, with several interior neighborhoods and some multi-family complexes.


Utilities - Water



Utilities - Sewer




Utilities - Gas



Land Ownership




Site Conditions



Agenda Item #8.1.

There are several vacant parcels throughout the MRA that offer opportunities for future development. Some of these are smaller, residential lots in the northern, more historic neighborhoods, but there are several larger vacant properties along S Main St. and in the commercial areas, just off El Paseo Rd. This map also highlights sidewalk conditions in the MRA, which gives key insight into the presence of pedestrian facilities. Overall, the area is mostly covered by sidewalks. However, there are notable gaps in 4 primary locations. The first is in the historic south Mesquite District along residential streets there. The second area is along residential streets between Idaho Ave. and Missouri Ave. just west of S Solano Dr. The third area is near the medical service facilities north of Idaho Ave. and east of S Main St. Finally, there is a notable lack of sidewalks on the southern portion of El Paseo Rd. and along Farney Ln.

Road Classifications



Agenda Item #8.1.

The MRA has several primary corridors in Las Cruces that are used for moving vehicular traffic. Main St. is the only principal arterial which serves a high degree of mobility and typically services the highest volumes of traffic. There are three roads classified as minor arterials: E Boutz Rd./ Missouri Ave., El Paseo Rd., and S Solano Dr. These roads provide connections to higher-class roads, but mobility is still a primary quality.

There are four collector roads: Farney Ln., Idaho Ave., S Espina St., and S Campo St./Wyatt Dr. The collectors focus more on accessibility than mobility and generally have more driveways and moderate speeds than the higher classification arterials. The rest of the roads in the MRA are local roads.



Principal Arterial: S Main St., Google Streetview.




Minor Arterial: S Solano Dr., Google Streetview.



Collector: Farney Ln., Google Streetview.


Bicycle Facilities & Public Transit



Asset Map



Parks and Open Space



1. Valley View Park
2. Stormwater retention area
3. Frenger Park
4. Gomez Park
5. Montana/Foster Neighborhood
6. Existing ditch

Agenda Item #8.1.

The MRA area includes three substantial parks, all of which are located east of El Paseo. The parks are well-situated to serve the nearby residential uses concentrated on the east side of the study area, with most residences within a quarter mile walk of a park.

Valley View Park (1) anchors the Chiva Town and Mesquite neighborhoods. There is a plan to rebuild the playground that recently burned. The park is in good condition but could benefit from improvements such as a bike/pedestrian path running along the length of the park. In addition, there is a vision to extend the greenway to the northeast to connect to the Las Cruces Arroyo.

There is a large storm water retention area (2) next to Valley View Park that is currently fenced off, creating a large void in the neighborhood. The City of Las Cruces is open to ideas on ways to improve the access and aesthetics of the area.

There are planned improvements to both Frenger and Gomez Parks (3 and 4, respectively), including new sports courts and walking trails. Improvements will also include an accessible ramp to provide better access for the new Padrena senior housing project.

The west side of El Paseo, especially the multi-family residential area identified as “Montana Foster Neighborhood” (5) lacks convenient access to a park. Some consideration should be given as to whether there is an opportunity to provide some shared greenspace for these residents.

The existing ditches, some of which have been put in pipes underground, provide an opportunity to enhance open space in the form of pedestrian and bike trails.



The Heske Garden located within Valley View Park.



Frenger Park's stormwater infrastructure.



Stormwater retention area next to Valley View Park.



1. El Paseo Commercial District
2. Mesquite Neighborhood
3. Chiva Town Neighborhood
4. S Solano Corridor
5. Wyatt Drive/Perkins Medical Park
6. Montana/Foster Neighborhood
7. S Chiva Town
8. East of Main
9. Las Cruces High School
10. Crescent Park/Heritage Farms
11. Frenger Park/University Village

Approach

Comprising nearly 1,200 acres, the El Paseo & S Solano MRA study area is very large. To facilitate the planning and analysis of the area, it has been broken down into smaller areas which exhibit similar traits and attributes and have been identified as “Character Areas.” These smaller areas will help planners address specific issues related to the area as well as identifying opportunities to support the mission of the MRA district. The boundaries of these areas are “loose” and may be adjusted as more information and input is gathered.


Another aim of the Character Area map is to begin to identify neighborhood units, both those that are currently recognized and well defined, and those that may need greater support and organization. Strong neighborhoods foster stewardship by residents, create better social cohesion and enhance quality of life. They are also the best opportunity to promote sustainable practices such as walking and biking.

On the following pages, each area is highlighted with a brief description, contextual images, and a preliminary list of issues and opportunities which may evolve as the planning process continues.

El Paseo Commercial District



Aerial view (Google Earth) showing large “super blocks” with little connectivity.



Residents recount that for several decades, El Paseo was the commercial and social center of the city. With the migration of commercial energy moving outward, the corridor is left with some underutilized large commercial buildings with expansive parking lots. Some of these buildings have been successfully adapted into churches and gyms, but there is more capacity than demand for both the structures and the associated large parking lots. El Paseo is the primary vehicular connection between downtown to the north, and NMSU to the south with Las Cruces High School located at about the midpoint.

Agenda Item #8.1.

Public Realm

El Paseo Road is a 5-lane arterial with a center turn lane and minimal sidewalks. Landscaping along the corridor is intermittent. There are no bike facilities. Both the lack of comfortable sidewalks and the large expanse of parking lots make walking difficult and the area may be more conducive to biking and transit.

Private Realm

The area is comprised primarily of one-story commercial buildings, some of which have cultural and historical significance. Buildings range from small commercial buildings housing local businesses, to large “big box” stores.

Previous Planning Efforts

- El Paseo Community Blueprint
- El Paseo - South Solano Corridor Recommendations

Issues and Opportunities

- Lots of space but decreasing demand for retail/commercial. Opportunities for new projects or uses here.
- Walkability is limited due to scale and traffic counts - focus on safety.
- Explore the potential for bike and transit improvements.
- The proximity to LCHS means that students often walk to lunch on the corridor. Safety improvements should be prioritized for common paths.
- There are existing plans for El Paseo which can guide the MRA projects here.



View of the corridor.




View of the corridor as it passes by Las Cruces High School.

South Mesquite Neighborhood



Aerial view showing a grid-like neighborhood pattern with modest dwellings.



The Mesquite Street Neighborhood is the oldest historic district in the city of Las Cruces with one of the largest collections of adobe houses in New Mexico. The neighborhood is protected by the South Mesquite Overlay District which extends to the north of the MRA boundary. A non-profit community organization named Las Esperanzas Inc. has lead efforts to protect and improve the neighborhood.

Agenda Item #8.1.

Public Realm

The public realm consist of a grid of narrow streets with small, walkable blocks. Sidewalks are minimal but vehicular traffic appears to be low.

Private Realm

Buildings are mostly modest, one-story structures. Many have low garden walls defining the private yard. Where the walls are in good condition, they contribute to the overall character of the neighborhood.

Previous Planning Efforts

- Mesquite Neighborhood Design Plan

Issues and Opportunities

- Maintain neighborhood scale and character
- Determine what hasn't yet been implemented from the Neighborhood Design Plan
- The MRA destination can help improve the neighborhood's physical infrastructure (i.e., funding facade/site improvements, streets and sidewalks, etc.)



One of the many historic adobe structures in the neighborhood.




Some streets lack pedestrian facilities, but traffic is low so they likely function as “shared streets”.

Chiva Town Neighborhood



Aerial view (Google Earth) showing large “super blocks” with little connectivity.



The Chiva Town Neighborhood is a primarily single-family residential neighborhood with several notable amenities. The neighborhood is conveniently located near downtown and the commercial districts on S Solano and El Paseo. Valley View Park anchors the neighborhood, and Valley View Elementary is within walking/biking distance of the neighborhood.

Agenda Item #8.1.

Public Realm

The neighborhood has a good block structure organized by a traditional street grid. Most blocks have alleys, but they appear underutilized with most parking in the front of the structures. Most streets have narrow sidewalks that are next to the curb.

Private Realm

The condition of existing buildings and properties varies considerably with well-kept houses next to properties that are in a state of disrepair.

Previous Planning Efforts

- Infill Policy Plan
- Lift Up Las Cruces

Issues and Opportunities

- Off-street parking and access could be improved in this area
- Improve and activate alleyways in this area.



Espina Street may be a good candidate for a north/south bike corridor.




Alleys can be better utilized through activation.

S Solano Corridor



Aerial view (Google Earth) showing large “super blocks” with little connectivity.



The S Solano corridor exhibits a mixture of small, mostly local, businesses, that rely on economical rent. It exemplifies many of the attributes of an early auto-oriented commercial corridor with minimal landscaping, unregulated parking, and minimal pedestrian facilities. Example businesses include jewelry repair, restaurants, mobile phone shops, self-storage, furniture and appliances, entertainment (Sky Zone), cannabis shops, and auto-service businesses. There are very few residential uses directly on the corridor.

Agenda Item #8.1.

Public Realm

S Solano Drive is three lanes with a bike lane. The corridor has been identified in Elevate Las Cruces as a “Mixed-use Corridor” and the Active Transportation Plan calls for buffered bike lanes. Pedestrian facilities are poor with unshaded sidewalks and numerous curb cuts. There is no shared parking to support a walkable environment.

Private Realm

The built environment consists mainly of one-story buildings, with minimal landscaping. Shade is almost non-existent. Shallow lots, most about 130 feet deep, limit the amount of parking and drive throughs. Parking is unorganized and sometimes accessed directly from the street, interrupting the bike lane and sidewalk.

Previous Planning Efforts

- El Paseo - S Solano Corridor Recommendations
- Las Cruces Active Transportation Plan
- Elevate Las Cruces

Issues and Opportunities

- Clarify the vision for the corridor - examine ROW allocation.
- Property owners need assistance with aesthetic improvements, including landscaping, facade improvements, signage and shared parking, where feasible.
- Trash removal and dumpster locations are problematic.
- Lots are smaller than conventional pad sites. Difficult to meet some of the city's zoning requirements.
- Impact fees may impede improvements to the properties.



Much of the corridor lacks shade and landscaping.



There is a noticeable improvement to the street character where trees are present.




Example of residential uses that are present on the street.

Agenda Item #8.1.

Wyatt Drive/Perkins Medical Park



Aerial view of Wyatt Drive / Perkins Medical Park.



This character area contains is comprised of two sub-areas with some similar characteristics, primarily related to the smaller size of the lots and potential for walkability.

Agenda Item #8.1.

Public Realm

Wyatt Drive is an extension of Campo Street. The street is bordered on the south by relatively shallow lots (approx. 100 feet) with a rear alley. Lots on the north side are deeper (approx. 300 feet) and also feature a rear alley.

Private Realm

The Perkins Street Medical Park is a cluster of mostly medical related professional offices that are clustered around a "square". The area is noticeably greener than surrounding areas. It is somewhat disconnected from the surrounding area which may impede security and access.

Previous Planning Efforts

- Elevate Las Cruces

Issues and Opportunities

- Realizing the vision for Wyatt Dr. to accommodate residents and businesses.
- Improve connectivity for the Perkins Medical Park.
- Security and vandalism has been identified as a problem in this area.



View along Wyatt Drive.




The Perkins Street Medical Park appears as an oasis of green compared to other portions of the study area.

Montana/Foster Neighborhood



Aerial view of Montana/Foster Neighborhood.



This area, between Idaho and Montana, and South Main and El Paseo, consist of a variety of multi-family developments which range from a mobile home park to senior housing and assisted living. It is loosely defined as a neighborhood, but neighborhood identity and cohesion is likely limited due to the lack of connectivity and central gathering space.

Foster Road, which runs through the center of the area, has potential to provide pedestrian/ bike/transit access to El Paseo.

The Las Cruces Lateral running along the western boundary has potential to facilitate pedestrian and bike connections to the larger area.

Agenda Item #8.1.

Public Realm

Montana Ave. and Foster Ave. are the two main corridors that provide access to these neighborhoods but do not have through access due to the drainage canal that borders this area to the west. The drainage corridor itself offers the opportunity to provide multi-modal connectivity to other parts of the city.

Private Realm

This area has a cluster of different residential enclaves including the mobile home park (Patio Mobile Home Park), duplexes along Burley and Non Burt Lanes, Montana Meadows and Vista Montana Apartments along Montana Ave. There is a hospice care facility, self-storage uses, and miscellaneous commercial and institutional uses along Montana closer to El Paseo.

Previous Planning Efforts

- Elevate Las Cruces

Issues and Opportunities

- Consider enhancements or amenities that reinforce neighborhood identity here.
- The proposed public housing rehabilitation on Burkley and Bon Burt is an opportunity to provide improved housing and reinforce neighborhood identity.



View along Montana Ave. The vacant land on the south side is an opportunity for infill development.



View looking west down Foster Ave.




View looking west along E Idaho Ave.

Foster Road Neighborhood/S. Chiva Town



Aerial view of Foster Road Neighborhood.



This area, between Idaho and Missouri, and S Solano and El Paseo, is a primarily residential area consisting of single family houses and small apartment buildings. It is unclear whether this area has a strong neighborhood identity (confirm through public engagement activities).

The area has a well-defined street grid which facilitates good circulation. However, it lacks an identifiable center.

Agenda Item #8.1.

Public Realm

Many of the streets are fairly narrow residential streets, typically with sidewalks along the curbs. S Espina is a bit wider and provide connectivity north and south to other areas in the MRA. These blocks have existing alleys with utilities along the alleys but many of them need to be better maintained. The east-west streets provide through connections to adjacent areas in the MRA and Missouri and Idaho Aves convey the bulk of the traffic east and west.

Private Realm

These blocks are mostly single-family detached and attached residential, especially along Duranzo and Esperanza Street. There are some multi-family uses along Idaho and along Espina and Alamo Streets.

Previous Planning Efforts

- Elevate Las Cruces

Issues and Opportunities

- Understanding the existing neighborhood identity will support strategies to uplift this identity.



View along Espina which runs through the neighborhood.




A popular pocket park and public art installation on Missouri.

East of Main



Aerial view of East of Main Neighborhood.



“East of Main” is a wedge shaped area bounded by the Las Cruces Lateral and the ditch to the east and South Main to the west.

The area has large parcels and considerable vacant land. Large parcels are being developed with a combination of institutional and professional uses. There is also a condominium development partially developed at the end of Calle de Ninos.

Connectivity is poor due to the lack of a street grid and the ditches which create barriers to the east. However, the ditches are also an opportunity to enhance bike/pedestrian connectivity.

Agenda Item #8.1.

Public Realm

This area is bounded by Main Street, a major north-south arterial to the west and is bisected by E. Boutz and Farney Road. Main Street along this section is a 4 lane divided roadway with turn lanes at key intersections. It lacks sidewalks or shade for comfortable and safe walking.

Private Realm

There is a lot of undeveloped land in this area of the MRA. Several newer developments such as the residential at the end of Calle de Ninos are not completely built out. There are some auto sales uses along Main Street and some institutional and medical service uses along Calle de Alegra. There is potential for a lot more infill and intensification in this area, subject to sewer availability

Previous Planning Efforts

- Elevate Las Cruces

Issues and Opportunities

- The area lacks a well-defined block structure and street grid, limiting connectivity.
- Inadequate sewer service in some areas.
- There is an opportunity to provide more amenities, like a trail, along the existing ditches.



South Main Street looking north.



Boutz Road looking east toward mountains.



Ditch just north of Boutz Road.




Social institutions are prevalent in the area.

Las Cruces High School



Aerial view of Las Cruces High School.



Las Cruces High School is the largest public institution in the study area and a point of pride for the community. The large campus has multiple buildings and sports fields. The Las Cruces Lateral, running along the southwestern border of the site has potential to enhance bike and pedestrian access for students. The high school is also bordered by two arterials, El Paseo and Boutz Road. It is reported that many students walk to lunch along El Paseo and that pedestrian safety could be improved, especially at the intersection of El Paseo and Boutz Road.

Agenda Item #8.1.

Public Realm

This area is bounded by two large arterials - Boutz Rd. and El Paseo. These corridors are major barriers to pedestrians, especially high school students who may live in adjoining neighborhoods that either walk or bike to the high school. There is an overhead pedestrian connector over El Paseo connecting the school facilities on both sides of the El Paseo.



El Paseo as it passes by the high school.

Private Realm

This area consists one large scale institutional use, Las Cruces High School at the southwest corner of El Paseo and Boutz. The area has some smaller retail and fast food uses along El Paseo north of Boutz.

Previous Planning Efforts

- Elevate Las Cruces

Issues and Opportunities

- Ditch could be utilized for bike and pedestrian access.
- Boutz Road is a candidate for bike and pedestrian improvements.
- Improve pedestrian safety at the intersection of El Paseo and Boutz, and north along El Paseo.



Intersection of El Paseo and Boutz Rd. High pedestrian activity reports.




Vehicular entry to high school on Boutz Rd.

Crescent Park/Heritage Farms



Aerial view of Crescent Park/Heritage Farms Neighborhood.



This area consists of single family neighborhoods which are noticeably greener than other parts of the study area. Many houses date from mid-century and the area has been studied by NMSU as a candidate for historic district designation.

The area has a loose block structure with narrow streets shaded by trees in the private property. While there is little landscaping in the right-of-way, the well kept front yards create a comfortable environment for walking and biking.

Agenda Item #8.1.

Public Realm

The neighborhood has a curvilinear block structure typical of post WWII neighborhoods but still has connectivity to the perimeter roadways of Farney Ln. and El Paseo. Most streets have narrow sidewalks that are next to the curb. The newer sections built in 1960s have a few cul-de-sacs off of Crescent Dr. There is the potential to connect to the north through the future trails along the drainage canal running along the northern edge of the neighborhood.

Private Realm

The neighborhoods are mainly single-family residential with homes built in the 1950s and 60s. There is one block along Turrentine Dr. with deeper lots and homes that are not part of the two subdivisions of Crescent Park and College Park.

Previous Planning Efforts

- Elevate Las Cruces

Issues and Opportunities

- As a stable residential area, the best strategy may be to maintain the status quo.
- The canals running along the western boundary, and on the east along El Paseo, have been identified for future trail connections.



View along Farney Lane looking east.



View along El Paseo with Crescent Park on the right.




View along Turrentine Drive.

Frenger Park/University Village



Aerial view of Frenger Park/University Village Neighborhood



This area is primarily residential with a variety of housing types including single family, small apartment buildings and a mobile home park. The area also has some commercial uses along Espina Street. Two parks, Frenger Park and Gomez Park provide the neighborhood with ample greenspace and are also utilized for storm water management. Some security concerns have been raised relative to the parks. With its close proximity to NMSU, the area provides housing for students and the local workforce.

The areas has a well-defined street grid with good connections to the parks. Most streets have sidewalks. Espina Street has been identified as a candidate for buffered bike lanes in the Las Cruces Active Transportation Plan.

Agenda Item #8.1.

Public Realm

This area has compact and connected residential blocks with sidewalks along most streets. However, the sidewalks are not well maintained and lack shade to make comfortable for walking. The streets are generally low speed and low traffic making them attractive for walking and biking. Additionally, this quadrant is also the location for two major public parks: Frenger and Gomez Parks. However, better connectivity, infill along Farney Lane and programming of the parks can help activate the park as a gathering space for the neighborhoods.

Private Realm

Other than Heritage Farm which was developed in 2004, most of the residential blocks in this quadrant were developed in 1950s and 1960s.

Most of the residential is single-family detached homes. But there are some mobile homes between Milton Ave and Monte Vista along Espina and some apartments and townhomes/duplexes along Monte Vista.

Previous Planning Efforts

- Elevate Las Cruces

Issues and Opportunities

- Espina Street acts as the spine of the neighborhood and has potential as a high quality bike corridor.
- With planned improvements, the two parks provide an amenity for future development, especially along the park edges.
- The neighborhood-oriented commercial uses should be supported and enhanced.
- New senior housing will add additional “eyes on the parks”.



Single family houses on Farney Lane.



Frenger Park which doubles as storm water management.




Example of apartment building on Espina Street.



Commercial shopping center on Espina Street.

Proposed Opportunity Sites



Agenda Item #8.1.

The planning team identified numerous sites in the study area that are candidates for catalytic projects and more detailed planning efforts. Some sites were identified by city staff and stakeholders as targets of redevelopment. Others were identified by the planning team as opportunities to accelerate positive transformation of an area or street.

- A** This site, between El Paseo and Campo Street, was purchased by F.Y.I. who has proposed a mixed-use project with housing and small commercial uses. It should connect to the Paseos Verdes project on the east side of Campo Street. The nearby former Video 4 will be the future site of the Boys and Girls Club and should be considered as a future key destination in the area that will bolster development. Wyatt Dr. should also be considered for an improved transit stop in the future.
- B** This opportunity site will look at potential improvements to Valley View Park, focusing on the northern edges of the park and on ways to improve the adjacent storm water detention area.
- C** The site of the old brickyard is a good candidate for infill housing. In addition, there is an opportunity to connect the drainage way to the arroyo to the east.
- D** This is the site of existing public housing which has been identified for redevelopment by the Las Cruces housing authority.
- E** This site is a good candidate for infill housing.
- F** This is a small site that is situated between the Frenger and Gomez Parks and that could help “activate” the parks and reinforce the parks as a community destination.
- G** Representatives of the Unitarian Universalist Church indicated interest in developing affordable housing on its property. This site could serve as a model for other church sites in the area.

MARKET ANALYSIS



Regional Context

Historical & Forecasted Employment Growth

Prior to the Global Financial Crisis (“GFC”), employment growth exceeded national averages in Doña Ana County relative to the nation, growing at a compound annual growth rate (“CAGR”) of 2.8% versus the national average of 0.8% from 1998 to 2008 (refer to Chart 1).

However, struggles to rebound from the GFC, driven in part by a hard-hit government sector, slowed employment growth significantly throughout the region. Employment growth from 2010-2019 slowed to 0.7%, trailing the national growth rate of 1.6% over the same period.

In 2020, the COVID-19 pandemic and subsequent economic shutdowns impacted regional employment, causing a decline of 3.8% or approximately 2,800 jobs in 2020. Despite this setback, the region was able to recover all lost jobs by 2022.

Despite the robust pandemic recovery of record-breaking job growth, regional growth is expected to moderate in the years to come. This moderation is in line with national trends, as an aging population and slowing population growth, low unemployment rates, and productivity gains through advances in technology and AI are likely to constrain employment gains moving forward. Oxford Economics expects the county will average 685 net new jobs annually through 2033, or a CAGR of 0.7%, which outpaces the projected national CAGR of 0.5%.

Job growth is likely to be concentrated in areas of the county experiencing higher household growth, leaving the El Paseo & S Solano MRA (“MRA”) at a disadvantage. Areas with higher household growth rates will naturally attract more businesses and services to meet the demands of the increasing population.



Key Regional Economic Sectors

Historically, the Government sector has been the primary source of employment for Doña Ana County, accounting for roughly 26% of all county jobs in 2023 (refer to Chart 2).

Numerous federal government operations in the county contribute to the Government sector’s outsized share of regional employment, including the White Sands Missile Range, NASA’s

White Sands Test Facility, the Bureau of Land Management, and U.S. Border Patrol Operations and Training—in addition to local and state government jobs.

While the Government sector is the largest industry in the county, the Education & Health Services sector has comprised the largest share of new job growth over the last decade,




Chart 1. Historical Employment Growth Trends




Chart 2. Historical and Forecasted Non-Agricultural Employment by Industry

encompassing nearly 60% of job growth from 2010 to 2023.

Key contributors to the growth in the Education & Health Services sector include New Mexico State University, though its stagnating enrollment over the past decade indicates that other sources are likely driving a greater share of growth in the sector. The expansion of health services—highlighted by the addition of Three Crosses Regional Hospital and the enhanced services at MountainView Regional Medical Center and other medical facilities—has increased healthcare capacity to support the region’s aging population.

Historical & Forecasted Household Growth

Closely linked with employment trends, household growth in Doña Ana County has decelerated since the GFC. From 2010 to 2023, the county’s household growth averaged 1.1% annually, a significant drop from the 2.3% annual

growth rate observed in the decade preceding the GFC. Notable spikes in employment growth during 2018-2019 and the post-pandemic period aligned with elevated household growth rates during these times.

However, Oxford Economics projects household growth to moderate, along with employment growth. Over the next decade, Oxford projects household growth will average 275 new households annually, or an average annual growth rate of 0.3% (refer to Chart 3).

While household growth is expected to moderate throughout the nation, Doña Ana County is anticipated to slightly outpace both New Mexico and the United States in terms of annual household growth from 2023 to 2028 at a rate of 0.7% annually. The MRA is anticipated to follow historical trends of under performing relative to the county, with annual household growth expected to average 0.2% annually through 2028 (refer to Chart 4).




Chart 3. Historical & Forecasted Households

Agenda Item #8.1.




Chart 4. Comparison of Key Demographic Characteristics




Chart 5. Household Distribution by Age

Demographics

Overall, the MRA skews younger and less affluent than the county. Approximately 37% of MRA households are under the age of 35, compared to only 24% in the county. However, the county still has a larger share of households under the age of 25 relative to the state and the nation, given the presence of NMSU (refer to Chart 5). Over the next five years, households over the age of 75 are expected to represent the fastest-growing segment as Baby Boomers age in place, while ESRI also projects significant growth in the 35-44 age group (refer to Exhibit I-8). The retention of post-graduates, young professionals, and families will be a key strategy in keeping talent in the region.

Moreover, household incomes are notably lower in the MRA, with nearly half of households earning less than \$25,000 (refer to Chart 6). In contrast, just 22% of MRA households earned

more than \$50,000 in 2023, compared to nearly half of households in the county (refer to Chart 6). The substantial student population in the area partly accounts for the county's lower affluence compared to the state and nation.

Renter tenure in the MRA is significantly higher when compared to both the county and the state. Nearly 70% of households in the MRA rent as opposed to only 35% in the county and 31% in the state (refer to Chart 5). Lower incomes of households in the MRA make homeownership unattainable for a vast majority of households, explaining high renter tenure rates.

Over 65% of the population in Dona Ana County and the MRA are of Hispanic origin, and this share is expected to increase slightly through 2028. While only around 20% of the nation's population is of Hispanic origin, the national share is also expected to increase (refer to Chart 7).






Chart 6. Household Distribution by Income

Education Attainment

Education attainment in Doña Ana County is slightly less than the state or the nation, with only 40.9% of Doña Ana County residents holding an associates degree or higher. The MRA has a slightly lower share with an associates degree or higher at 37.7% (refer to Chart 8).

Continuing to invest in school programs and support for local students will be an opportunity to increase graduation rates for high school, community college, and university students at NMSU. Increasing educational attainment and improving local talent will be a vital component for long-term regional growth and prosperity



For-Sale Market & Opportunity

Resale Market Trends

During the GFC, permitting activity in the region was muted as developers and capital markets navigated financial challenges, frozen capital markets, elevated bankruptcies, and uncertainty. Following, permitting activity in the region steadily increased throughout the 2010s, generally keeping pace with household growth. The region witnessed a peak in permitting activity during the pandemic, driven by higher household growth rates compared to the majority of the 2010s. This activity has since declined, driven in part by rising interest rates and increased financing challenges for builders and developers in capital markets (refer to Chart 9).

The share of multifamily permits of overall residential permits reached its peak in the early 2000s, though multifamily development could make up a larger share moving forward due to affordability challenges. In the MRA particularly,

RCLCO sees a potential opportunity for greater multifamily development to deliver more units at a more attainable price point for both for-sale and rental housing. Multifamily housing can include smaller-scale townhomes, duplexes, or quadplex products, in addition to apartments.

From 2014 to 2020, year-over-year median sale price growth in Doña Ana County averaged roughly 3.1%. The resulting housing demand surge during the COVID-19 pandemic significantly increased median sale prices as inventory in the county became constrained. Price appreciation peaked at 17.9% in 2021, though has since declined to 4.6% thus far in 2024 as inventory levels have increased. The region still has not witnessed a price decline following the pandemic, pointing to continued demand for housing, despite a high interest rate environment. (refer to Chart 10).




Chart 9. Historical and Forecasted Single-Family and Multifamily Permits




Chart 10. Median Sale Price (Doña Ana County, 2014 - 2024 YTD)

With a 70.8% increase in median sale price from 2019 to April 2024, affordability has become an increasing challenge in the region, especially paired with higher interest rates. Finding ways to keep for-sale housing affordable will be a key challenge in addressing the county’s housing gap.

For-sale inventory has been steadily declining from its peak in 2017, leading to declining months of inventory from 4.4 months in 2017 to its all-time low of 2.6 months in 2021. Compared to a healthy market average of 5.0 to 6.0 months of inventory, Doña Ana County’s housing market has been constrained over the past several years. Increased inventory levels since 2022 have increased months of inventory to 3.8 months today, though the market remains undersupplied, especially at attainable price points (refer to Chart 10).

For-Sale Demand & Opportunity

RCLCO employed a demographically driven demand model to assess the potential for for-sale housing within the MRA. The model considers regional household growth, households in turnover, as well as owner propensities to arrive at projected owner housing demand. This demand is then segmented by price range, product type preferences, and the share that could consider new housing. An MRA capture of this demand was then applied to the total demand pool based on historical sales by price band.

RCLCO anticipates cumulative demand for roughly 30 to 35 units of new single-family homes and another 70 to 75 units of new “missing middle” housing through 2040, which includes more affordable and denser townhome, duplex, and quadplex style products. If improvements to




Chart 11. Transactions, Inventory, Months of Inventory (Doña Ana County, 2014 - 2024 YTD)

the MRA are realized and perceptions of the area evolve, greater demand could be achieved. In this upside scenario, RCLCO projects cumulative demand for 55 to 65 new single-family units and another 105 to 130 new missing middle units by 2040 (refer to Opportunity Matrix).

It is worth noting that most new developments will be infill-oriented, focusing on existing empty lots or replacing structures that are no longer inhabitable and cannot be saved. The City of Las Cruces should consider incentives and programs to spur reinvestment in the MRA. Additionally, housing clearance policies should also be considered. These policies remove dilapidated or substandard housing, which can reduce public health risks, enhance neighborhood aesthetics, and improve safety for residents.

In addition to new housing demand, RCLCO sees an opportunity to repair existing housing structures, which would likely be more cost-effective than new construction. Recent housing transactions in the MRA indicate that nearly 84% of housing inventory falls below \$250,000, with just over half transacting for \$150,000 to \$250,000 (refer to Chart 12). Renovating existing housing stock could tap into greater demand at lower price points (below \$250,000), further increasing overall opportunity in the MRA.

Incentives to repair existing structures will be needed to stimulate activity in the MRA. These can take the form of property tax abatements over a fixed time, such as five or 10 years. Such incentives will be needed to promote serious reinvestment in the MRA and should be considered by the City.




Chart 12. Distribution of Sales by Price Band MRA Two Years Trailing

Rental Market & Opportunity

Rental Market Trends

Historically, the rental market in Doña Ana County has remained balanced, despite fluctuating deliveries over the past decade. Of the roughly 1,570 units delivered since 2009, over 95% have been absorbed, alluding to strong demand for rental apartments (refer to Chart 13).

Vacancies in the market have remained healthy over the long term, averaging 5.0%. New supply in recent years, paired with record-high rent growth in 2021 and 2022, has increased vacancies slightly from an all-time low of 1.6%. Despite the increase, vacancy rates that are too low—usually under 4.0%—can be unhealthy for a market, allowing for increased pricing and reduced mobility, which can hinder economic growth.

Effective rent growth in the market tends to have an inverse relationship with vacancy rates. Record

rent growth in 2021 and 2022 was common in many markets across the county as properties pushed rents and reduced concessions following the pandemic. Since then, effective rent growth in Doña Ana County has declined from its peak of 9.5% in 2022 to 1.4% in May 2024. Effective rent growth projections indicate relatively flat rents in 2024 before returning to longer-term averages between 2.0% and 3.0% by 2025.

Given the healthy state of the rental market, paired with affordability challenges of home ownership, provides an opportunity to capitalize on rental housing in the MRA. Rental housing can take various forms, including multifamily apartments, townhomes, quadplexes, duplexes, or even single-family homes. RCLCO particularly sees an opportunity for denser plex or townhome products and eventually multifamily products in the MRA.




Chart 13. Completions, Absorption, Vacancy, and Rent Growth (Doña Ana County, NM, 2009 - 2024 YTD)

Rental Demand & Opportunity

To understand renter demand, RCLCO used a similar methodology outlined in the for-sale demand section but for renter households. Given affordability challenges, lower incomes in the MRA, and existing high renter propensities, RCLCO envisions more opportunities for rental housing over for-sale housing through 2040.

RCLCO estimates cumulative demand for roughly 135 to 150 new single-family attached units and another 155 to 170 new multifamily units by 2040. Like for-sale demand, RCLCO incorporated an upside scenario in which demand could be exceeded if the area’s improvements are realized faster. The upside scenario projects cumulative demand for 195 to 215 new single-family attached units and an additional 205 to 230 new multifamily units over the next 15 years (refer to Opportunity Matrix).

In the near term, RCLCO recommends focusing on smaller-scale rental projects consisting of infill single-family attached townhomes or flex products. As the area continues to improve and perceptions change, support for larger multifamily projects in roughly 50-unit phases could be supported over the mid-to-long term.

RCLCO believes little rental demand will stem from NMSU students due to the university’s housing needs already being met and flattening enrollment. Given this, most rental projects should be geared toward market-rate housing that is not student-oriented, though affordable units should be incorporated into market-rate projects as funding is allowed or available.

Additionally, many MRA residents may be opposed to the introduction of denser

housing options in the area. Strong community engagement throughout the process, transparency, and highlighting the benefits of denser, more affordable housing can help mitigate misconceptions from community members.

Instead of spreading activity throughout the MRA, RCLCO recommends concentrating rental and commercial redevelopment into a few key catalytic clusters. These catalytic areas can serve to communicate the future of the MRA, help activate critical corridors, and spur future private development and investment.



Existing housing.

Commercial Market & Opportunity

Retail Trends

RCLCO surveyed the retail market in Doña Ana County to understand regional retail performance. County deliveries since 2011 have remained modest, averaging 38,000 square feet annually. Deliveries have fallen slightly in recent years compared to the 2010s. Retail demand in the county remains robust, even with the rise of e-commerce, as all newly delivered space since 2011 has been absorbed (refer to Chart 14).

Due to strong demand, retail vacancies have remained healthy over the long term, averaging 3.8%. Currently, vacancies have fallen from their peak in 2020 at 6.2% to 2.6% today. Overall, limited deliveries, paired with strong demand for new retail space point to an opportunity for more new retail moving forward.

Retail Gaps

RCLCO completed a retail analysis to understand existing retail gaps within the MRA. This analysis analyzes the prevalence of certain retail categories in the MRA versus Doña Ana County. Categories examined include Auto & Gas, Entertainment & Fitness, Food & Beverage, Grocery & Drug, Hard Goods, Services, and Soft Goods. RCLCO assessed the number of establishments as well as visitation for each retail category in the MRA relative to Doña Ana County.

Overall, the MRA is home to 11% of retail establishments but only captures roughly 9% of retail visitors, demonstrating that MRA retail businesses likely under perform in capturing visitor interest. If the MRA captured an equal share of visitors to establishments, it could attract an additional 152,000 visitors annually, the equivalent to a 20% boost in visitation. This discrepancy highlights the need for strategic initiatives to attract more visitors and boost the performance of retail businesses within the MRA.




Chart 14. Retail Completions, Net Absorption, and Vacancy Rate (Doña Ana County, NM, 2011-2024 YTD)

Agenda Item #8.1.

RCLCO then calculated multipliers for each retail category based on its performance relative to the overall average MRA capture of county establishments or visitation. These multipliers can inform the performance of certain retailer categories in the MRA. If a retail category captures a higher share of visitors (visitation multiplier over one) but has a lower share of establishments in the MRA relative to the county (establishment multiplier under one), it suggests a category outperforms in the MRA. The opposite is true for underperformance.

Retail gaps are ultimately identified if a specific retail category has a lower share of both establishments and visitation relative to the county, which is true for both Food & Beverage as well as Grocery & Drug retailers. Future retail can focus on filling these particular voids, which are also critical to healthy community development.

Office Trends

Like retail, Doña Ana County's office market has demonstrated robust fundamentals, though office has seen fewer deliveries than retail. On average, only 18,000 square feet of office space have been delivered annually since 2011—compared to 38,000 square feet of retail space annually. Long-term vacancies in the market averaged 7.2% before the pandemic, but the county experienced a surge of new demand in the years following. With over 400,000 square feet of vacant office space absorbed between 2021 and 2023, vacancies in the market have plummeted to under 1.0% (refer to Chart 15).

Such low vacancies can be unhealthy for a market, so new supply is needed to balance the office market moving forward. RCLCO sees an opportunity for more office space in both the county and MRA to meet demand. However, most new office space will naturally develop in the areas of the county experiencing the most household growth, leaving opportunity in the MRA more limited.




Chart 15. Office Completions, Net Absorption, and Vacancy Rate (Doña Ana County, NM, 2011-2024 YTD)

**Commercial (Retail & Office)
Opportunity**

RCLCO evaluated the demand for commercial uses within the MRA using U.S. Census County Business Patterns data. This analysis used Census-defined NAICS codes to distribute retail and office demand by specific categories (such as clothing stores or commercial banking). From there, RCLCO used the County Business Patterns data to understand the ratio of households per establishment for each use in Doña Ana County. As the number of county households increases, the number of supportable establishments also increases, yielding new demand. This new demand was then converted into square footage based on typical sizes of establishments in each category. The MRA capture of this demand was based on the MRA's share of new household growth relative to the county. This capture is increased in the upside scenario, assuming the MRA improvements attract more households to the immediate area.

Overall, RCLCO projects modest demand for commercial uses over the mid-and long-term, given the MRA's lower share of household growth

relative to the county and the current stock of existing vacant commercial space. By 2040, RCLCO anticipates demand for 23,000 to 26,000 new square feet in the base scenario, and 56,000 to 62,000 new square feet in the upside scenario. This demand will primarily be geared towards neighborhood-oriented retailers and services, rather than big box stores (refer to Opportunity Matrix).

Beyond new demand, an opportunity exists to restore existing commercial space. As MRA improvements are implemented and area perceptions change, a focus should be placed on bringing in more tenants to fill existing commercial space, in addition to new space. Similar to residential incentives, the implementation of incentives, such as commercial tax abatements, facade improvements, and other programs by the City will help draw businesses to the MRA and spur reinvestment in existing commercial buildings.



Existing commercial.



Existing retail.

Opportunity for Other Uses

Senior Housing Opportunity

Given that the demographics of the MRA skew younger and more mixed-income, RCLCO believes there is less opportunity for market-rate senior housing within the MRA. The younger population implies a lower demand for senior living accommodations compared to areas with older demographics. Younger residents typically prioritize different types of housing and amenities, such as affordable family housing, rental apartments, and recreational facilities that cater to their lifestyles and growing families (refer to Opportunity Matrix).

Hospitality Opportunity

Historical hotel occupancies in Doña Ana County have remained relatively stagnant over the past decade, averaging 56% prior to the pandemic. This figure falls short of the 65% occupancy level commonly regarded as indicative of a healthy market. The pandemic hurt hotel performance with impacts on travel, a trend seen across the globe. Since then, hotel occupancies peaked at 63% in 2022 as travel rebounded but have since returned to 58%—slightly above pre-pandemic averages (refer to Chart 16).

Limited growth in occupancy hints at recent hotel deliveries satisfying new demand. With recent additions to the hotel supply just outside the MRA, including the Hampton Inn and Suites as well as the Courtyard by Marriott, RCLCO believes there is little opportunity for additional hotel keys within the MRA through 2040 (refer to Opportunity Matrix).

Affordable Housing Opportunity

While there are already several affordable housing projects in the pipeline, additional affordable housing can be provided to meet various levels of low-income households, beyond just very low-income households. Meeting demand for households that fall within the 70% to 80%+ annual median income (“AMI”) is also important to ensure all lower income households have an opportunity to access safe and affordable housing. This broader range of affordable housing options can help prevent displacement, promote economic diversity, and support the stability and growth of the MRA by accommodating families and individuals who might otherwise be underserved by current housing initiatives.

For future strategies, RCLCO believes that affordable housing can be effectively integrated into market-rate housing developments, instead of stand-alone affordable developments. This integration should be pursued whenever possible to address ongoing affordability challenges in the community.

To encourage this integration, incentives can be provided to developers who include affordable units in their projects. By doing so, the community can ensure a diverse and inclusive housing market that meets the needs of residents across different income levels (refer to Opportunity Matrix).




Chart 16. Historical Hotel Occupancy Rates

Opportunity Matrix

Land Use	Overall Opportunity	Typical Density	Opportunities
For-Sale Single-Family Detached ("SFD")	LOW/ MODERATE	5 - 6 DU/AC	- In addition to new housing demand, opportunities to repair existing housing remain plentiful and will likely be more cost effective than new construction - The city remains open to allowing denser housing, including duplex, quadplex, or townhome product, which could greatly increase affordability; more opportunity exists for these "missing middle" housing types - Limited land availability on the outskirts of Las Cruces could push new residential development inward
For-Sale Missing Middle (Townhomes, Duplexes, etc.)	MODERATE	8 - 12 DU/AC	
Rental SFA, Plex, etc.	MODERATE	8 - 12 DU/AC	- High ownership costs and affordability challenges could increase demand for rental housing - More opportunity exists single-family attached rental housing over the mid-term. This housing can be built on a smaller scale versus multifamily apartments to meet demand in smaller increments
Rental Multifamily, Garden Apartments	MODERATE	20 - 30 DU/AC	
Commercial Neighborhood Retail, Neighborhood Office, and Medical Office	LOW/ MODERATE	0.2 - 0.3 FAR	- The MRA has a lower share of Grocery & Drug as well as Food & Beverage establishments relative to the county; opportunities exist to fill these retail voids - Despite limited demand for new retail spaces, changing perceptions and improving the area could bring more tenants to existing vacant commercial space
Senior Housing	LOW	N/A	
Hospitality Limited Service Hotel	LOW	N/A	
Affordable Housing	MODERATE/ HIGH	N/A	- With incomes in the MRA lower than the overall county; affordable housing can meet the needs of the local community with accessible housing options - Expanding affordable housing can also decrease the unhoused population, enhancing the overall perception of the MRA

El Paseo / S Solano MRA Supportable New Cumulative Demand

Challenges	Mid-Term (By 2035)	Long-Term (By 2040)
- Ongoing affordability challenges with high interest rates keeps homeownership out of reach in the near-term - High construction and labor costs keep the cost of new housing high, further limiting opportunity for affordable housing options within the MRA - More demand exists at lower price points (under \$250,000), limiting demand for new construction; renovating existing housing could tap into lower demand buckets	BASE: 20 - 25 Units UPSIDE: 35 - 40 Units	BASE: 30 - 35 Units UPSIDE: 55 - 65 Units
	BASE: 40 - 50 Units UPSIDE: 70 - 75 Units	BASE: 70 - 75 Units UPSIDE: 105 - 130 Units
- Likely little demand from students for rental housing, given the University's needs are met and flattening enrollment - Many local residents may be opposed to allowing denser multifamily housing in the area - Multifamily garden apartments will likely not be supportable until the long-term, given the demand needed to support their scale	BASE: 95 - 105 Units UPSIDE: 130 - 145 Units	BASE: 135 - 150 Units UPSIDE: 195 - 215 Units
	BASE: 90 - 100 Units UPSIDE: 115 - 130 Units	BASE: 155 - 170 Units UPSIDE: 205 - 230 Units
- Perceptions around crime and homelessness in the area may deter new tenants in the near-term, despite lower rents - Slow household growth over the long-term limits demand for new commercial space; if improvements to the area are realized, additional demand is likely over the mid-term and long-term	BASE: 14,000 - 15,000 Square Feet UPSIDE: 29,000 - 32,000 Square Feet	BASE: 23,000 - 26,000 Square Feet UPSIDE: 56,000 - 62,000 Square Feet
MRA households skew younger, with the 35-44 age group expected to see the most growth in the next several years. Demographics in the MRA do not currently align with a strong opportunity for market-rate senior housing		
Stagnant occupancies in the county paired with recent hotel additions just outside the MRA signal limited demand for new hotel keys in the immediate area		
Occasionally, all affordable developments can have a negative perception; mixing development in with market-rate units can provide a new approach	Affordable housing is dependent on funding and programs available; this housing should be integrated into market-rate developments where possible. Incentives can be offered to developers for including affordable housing in market-rate developments.	

ILLUSTRATIVE VISION PHYSICAL FRAMEWORK



Community Engagement

Community Design Workshop

The MRA Team hosted a 3-day community workshop at Real Life Church, located within the MRA. These meetings were open to the public and promoted across Las Cruces, and targeted towards MRA area residents, employees, and business owners.

The first community meeting, hosted on Monday, September 9th, was the kickoff meeting and included a presentation about the MRA planning process and small group discussions about key issues and opportunities to address. Day two of the workshop focused on the neighborhoods and the experiences of residents in the MRA. Participants were divided into groups focusing on a different section or neighborhood within the MRA to discuss needs related to specific areas. The last day of the 3-day workshop had nearly 100 participants, where the MRA team reported on the previous two days' conversations and presented early concept ideas for the catalytic projects to be included in this plan.

To engage area youth and students, the MRA team worked with high-school students from Las Montañas to develop context-specific ideas for redevelopment on their school property, which is located in the MRA boundary. Students later presented their designs for redevelopment on their school property at the final community open house.

During the three-day site visit, the MRA team also hosted a series of additional focus group meetings to target stakeholders in the redevelopment process. This process convened different participants like City of Las Cruces staff, business owners, developers, and residents of neighborhoods within the MRA. Following stakeholder-specific presentations, targeted discussions about elements of redevelopment in this area. These groups contributed their expertise on their respective topics, which has been incorporated throughout the MRA Plan.



Agenda Item #8.1.



Photos from the 3 Day Community Workshop - Sept. 2024.

Community Preference Survey

Between October 2024 and January 2025, the El Paseo & S Solano MRA team conducted a public opinion survey to better understand the community preferences surrounding redevelopment in the El Paseo & S Solano MRA – 2,055 people responded.

Key Survey Takeaways

- > Improving public safety is critical to the revitalization strategies presented in the MRA Plan.
- > Most respondents favored the transformation of the central corridor, El Paseo Rd, into a more functional street for all transportation users, including buses, cyclists, and pedestrians.
- > Respondents value the area’s residential neighborhoods and parks; enhancing these assets will be essential to center in the redevelopment process.
- > Preferences for neighborhood elements like housing, community spaces and programs, and neighborhood identity can be found in the full survey report the Appendix

Top Priorities for Redevelopment

- > Security and public safety (60%)
- > El Paseo transformation (44%)
- > Neighborhood enhancement (35%)
 - > Business support (32%)
 - > Park improvements (28%)

This survey built on feedback and ideas curated from the first round of community engagement in the community workshop. The results inform the strategies and recommendations included in the MRA Plan.

Overall Illustrative Vision

Based on the team's assessment of the existing conditions, market conditions, and the community workshop, a vision for the MRA started evolving. This vision supports the MRA focusing on projects and improvements that:

- Promote a thriving, pedestrian-friendly community with a renewed focus on sustainable development and equitable growth
- Prioritize infrastructure improvement, environmental sustainability, social equity, historic preservation, and public safety and health
- Focus on vibrant nodes of economic and community activity, with expanded commercial and retail spaces, enhanced recreational amenities for families, and housing options that address the city's pressing need for additional affordable and workforce housing
- Create a model of revitalization that fosters inclusivity, preserves cultural heritage, and ensures the well-being of all residents

Although the above elements of the vision are affirmed through this process, their applicability should be focused on specific locations for maximum effectiveness.

The MRA area is large (just less than 1,200 acres), and the city's capacity to effect change on the whole MRA is limited. Despite this, City of Las Cruces staff should consider expanding the MRA boundary. It may sound counterintuitive but expanding the boundary to encompass the rest of the parcels along University Ave. would support the success of this redevelopment plan by allowing for more cohesive project implementation along University Ave.

To account for the size of the MRA, there are nodes of opportunity to start catalyzing redevelopment based on investment already underway.

These focused area investments build on the following physical vision for the MRA:

Reinforce El Paseo Road as the primary connection between Downtown and the University. Currently the corridor is automobile-oriented, as El Paseo is conceived as a commercial corridor and a primary vehicular connection between downtown and the university. The plan envisions taming traffic on El Paseo and improving the pedestrian realm along the corridor, supporting the redevelopment of underutilized properties along the corridor. Still, it has the potential to evolve with more pedestrian and transit trips that can support additional density along the corridor.

Encourage a mix of commercial and housing development along El Paseo. With large assemblages of underutilized commercial buildings and large parking lots, El Paseo has the potential to accommodate more growth, especially multi-family residential. While more housing is desperately needed within the MRA, the new multi-family developments should integrate well with commercial uses along the corridor, create walkable blocks connecting residents to the corridor, and provide amenities for residents and shoppers alike. Walled or gated residential projects that are physically separated from everything around them should be discouraged. Incentives should focus on attracting vertical mixed-use buildings at key locations along the corridor.

Reinforce S Solano as a local business corridor. S Solano consists of small lots and blocks and primarily has local businesses that are smaller in scale and provide local neighborhood needs. Future redevelopment along the corridor should focus on encouraging more local businesses that are smaller in scale (6,000 sq. ft. or smaller) to continue this trend. This would allow businesses to be supported entirely by foot or bicycle traffic and reduce the need to provide ample surface parking lots.


Make improvements to Valley View Park and connect Arroyo to the northeast. The focus will be to add more “eyes on the park” with development around the park’s edges that activates the edges and provides residents much needed amenities such as playgrounds, trails, picnic areas, etc. The goal is to extend this trail amenity to the northeast along the drainage area with the future redevelopment of the brickyard property.

Facilitate appropriate development on key infill sites. The city and private interests are working on additional housing (of various types and price points) within the MRA area. The goal is to further this effort by looking at proximate sites for additional residential development.

Reinforce neighborhood identity. As such, many of the neighborhoods in the MRA area either do not have an identifiable name or defined boundaries. This plan starts to define general boundaries and characteristics for the different neighborhoods in the plan area. The key will be to work with neighborhood representatives to develop a plan that best addresses their immediate needs and concerns, and help grow neighborhood pride and ownership.

Address security concerns. Security is a significant concern in the MRA area. Some of it is real and some of it is perception. The City knows that failing to address this will limit the MRA’s ability to attract new development and sustain a healthy business environment.

Utilize the drainage ditches for trail connections. As part of a city-wide effort, plans are underway to implement trails along several of the area’s drainage ditch corridors. The goal will be to ensure that new development in the MRA provides seamless bicycle and pedestrian connections to these future trails to maximize their utility.



Nodes of Opportunity

Brickyard District & Valley View Park

- Opportunity for mixed use, missing middle residential.
- Great connections to Valley View Park and trail extension to Young Park.
- Adjacent to the historic district, the character of buildings can reflect this.
- Opportunity for a small institutional space; should complement the residential feel of the area.
- **Strategic Recommendation Ties: Mobility, Housing, Community Places and Programs, Neighborhood Identity, Sustainability**

Paseos Verdes & Family Services District

- Build on the momentum of what is already being invested here by the City, nonprofit and business partners, and faith organization
- New mixed-use could be an example of best practices for urban design and sustainable building standards.
- Higher density housing opportunity.
- Build density and walkability along the main commercial corridor.
- Create better sidewalk/pedestrian realm experience.
- Continues to prioritize non-vehicular, safe connections with a focus on connecting students/youth/families to services in the area.
- Gateway opportunity along Campo and El Paseo into the corridor.
- **Strategic Recommendation Ties: Housing, Economic Development, Mobility, Public Health & Safety, Sustainability.**

Foster Montana Residential

- Opportunity for residential redevelopment at Jardin Verdes.
- New small park as a central gathering space for residents.
- Mixed use/commercial fronting Foster Rd.
- Potential for infill development south of Montana.
- New trail along the Las Cruces Drain, connecting from Main Street to High School and University.
- **Strategic Recommendation Ties: Housing, Community Places and Programs, Neighborhood Identity, Sustainability.**

Frenger/Gomez Parks

- Implement park redevelopment plans that include family/recreation-oriented amenities.
- Corner of Espina and Farney Ln.
- New housing development partnership with Unitarian Church.
- **Strategic Recommendation Ties: Housing, Community Places and Programs, Neighborhood Identity, Sustainability.**

STRATEGIC RECOMMENDATIONS



Agenda Item #8.1.

The following chapter contains significant strategic recommendations broken into different sections. Each section includes a central theme to consider in the redevelopment process and suggestions on integrating redevelopment, catalytic projects, and MRA tools to address community priorities.

The recommendations presented in the following chapter reflect key concerns and opportunities shared with the MRA team through various community engagement efforts, including the community design workshop, survey, and various stakeholder interviews. These are aligned with the community's highest priorities, including residents, business owners, and other stakeholders.

The sections are organized, as follows:

- Public Safety
- Housing
- Transportation & Mobility
- Community Places & Programs
- Neighborhood Identity
- Economic Development
- Sustainability



Public Safety

The most significant concern for the MRA Plan to address is enhancing public safety in the area. The MRA has unique safety challenges given the high-traffic corridors, high rate of vacant buildings, and concentrated commercial activity that characterize the region.

Personal interviews, focus groups, and a community workshop all revealed a significant need for improving the perception and experience of safety in the MRA area. This was confirmed in the community preference survey, which consistently ranked enhancing safety and security as the highest priority for the MRA planning effort.

This section includes strategies intended to support existing efforts in public safety, including policing, community-based safety, and public health initiatives.

Build on safety programs for businesses and residents

There are ongoing efforts to improve safety across Las Cruces. The nature of the MRA, with its proximity to city centers, high-traffic corridors, and numerous businesses, requires targeted interventions that may be supplementary to existing programs.

Continue to strengthen the relationship between LCPD Community Outreach and residents/businesses. The efforts undertaken by LCPD to improve public safety across Las Cruces can be expanded within the MRA. Targeted programs, including security assessments for businesses and safety presentations for residents, should be coordinated alongside redevelopment efforts. Most importantly, the LCPD Community

Outreach and MRA teams should continue working together to build and strengthen relationships between LCPD and MRA constituents.

Host bike rodeos & bike safety outreach. LCPD should conduct bike rodeos in the MRA area during school and community events to increase engagement and visibility and provide bike safety education for children in the neighborhoods.

Advocate for policy reform to provide more support services. At the City level, the MRA team can leverage resources to advocate for policies that support the successful implementation of this MRA Plan, including initiatives to improve public safety. Encourage elected officials and area representatives to advance policies and programs at the city level to improve city services, including the expansion of public health and safety resources.

Make physical improvements for health and safety

Infrastructure improvements in the MRA can significantly enhance public health and safety through the built environment. This includes not only the addition of new infrastructure, like lighting or traffic calming measures, but also upgrades to existing assets, like parks and public spaces, to address health and safety needs of the community.

Prioritize lighting in parks and on key walking corridors. There is a need to improve and expand the lighting infrastructure throughout the MRA, especially along high-traffic routes like El Paseo and S Solano. Based on the environmental design theory, this strategy aims to provide illumination and increased visibility to deter unwanted

behaviors. MRA funding can be used to finance this critical safety improvement.

Create more shade. To improve comfort and make the area more desirable, the availability of shade will need to be improved. The style of commercial development in the MRA, with the numerous large asphalt parking lots, increases the heating effects experienced in the already hot Las Cruces climate. Also, exposed public spaces, like bus stops and sidewalks, will need to increase the shade available to make these places hospitable in the heat.

Create a Vacant Property Task Force. Vacant properties were identified as a source of criminal and unwanted activity, adding to the area's undesirable look. Business owners agreed that vacant properties detract from the commercial viability that has previously existed and deter potential customers from the area. The MRA designation allows public funds to be dedicated to public benefit, including increasing safety in the area. A focused effort on managing and activating otherwise vacant buildings is necessary to address the high priority of dealing with vacancies in the MRA.

Collaborate with service providers

Lastly, the MRA contains several service providers already supporting health and safety efforts in the area.

Build awareness of and connect recipients to nonprofit resources & services. Local organizations like Mano y Mano, Casa de Peregrinos, Community of Hope, and Las Montanas High School are examples of active groups working to provide essential services that improve quality of life for those in need.

Relevant MRA Tools

- Public-private partnerships with local institutions to provide programming and facility enhancements and enhance collaboration with public safety officials, business owners, and residents.
- Business Improvement Districts (BID)

Housing


Implementing a diverse array of housing options is essential for the success of the MRA plan. The market analysis section of the existing conditions report highlights specific opportunities for affordable and market-rate housing. Despite a slowdown in supply due to rising interest rates and construction costs, demand for housing at all price points remains robust in Las Cruces. The plan particularly emphasizes opportunities for multi-family housing to tackle affordability challenges and smaller-scale options such as townhomes, duplexes, quadplexes, and patio homes, available for rental and sale.

The MRA area contains a substantial amount of underutilized land suitable for redevelopment and residential and commercial infill. The city has several planned and funded social housing developments. The following housing strategy aims to enhance the success of these ongoing projects by pinpointing housing opportunities near existing city initiatives. However, since some sites are relatively dispersed throughout the expansive MRA area, it is crucial to concentrate MRA incentives in locations that can yield multiple benefits for the community and stimulate new redevelopment and infill efforts.



Examples of housing in the MRA

HOUSING STRATEGIES



Housing Strategies Map

Increase housing diversity

Encourage many types of housing.

Allow for a range of housing projects to be built in the MRA. This diversity, from larger apartments to smaller duplexes, triplexes, and quadplexes, allows housing to occur incrementally and help with affordability.

Encourage infill and density in appropriate areas

Allow larger urban multi-family projects to be located along El Paseo and other commercial corridors.

Some larger underutilized parcels are located along El Paseo and S. Main Street and can accommodate higher intensity development due to roadway capacity, access, and feasibility.

Housing Type - Urban Multifamily



SCALE

3 – 5 stories with step downs next to existing single-family lots

DESIGN PRIORITIES

- Development to define small, compact blocks (no more than 400 feet per block face)
- Buildings built at or close to the sidewalk with parking at the rear
- Ground floors along major streets (El Paseo, S. Main, Boutz) to be built to commercial standards (commercial uses not required) with doors and windows along primary streets
- Generous sidewalks (min. 10' wide) along all public and private streets
- Larger projects can include private open spaces such as courtyards, balconies, patios, roof top terraces, playgrounds, etc.

WHERE RECOMMENDED

El Paseo, S. Main Street, Boutz (west of El Paseo)

Agenda Item #8.1.

Although S Solano is a central commercial corridor, most commercial development is smaller scale, locally owned businesses that back up to residential uses. Residential development along S Solano should respect the neighborhood scale and infill should focus on small apartments, townhomes, and multi-unit homes.

Build on existing momentum and assets. Focus on new housing opportunities on sites in proximity (adjacent or within ¼ mile radius) of the currently proposed projects in the MRA. Also, focus on

opportunities to partner with local churches, like First Christian Church and Unitarian Universalist Church, and non-profits that aim to provide affordable housing.

In the remainder of the MRA, evaluate how the zoning changes in Realize Las Cruces would impact the potential for new infill housing and recommend modifications to facilitate context sensitive infill in the neighborhood areas of the MRA.

Housing Type - Town Homes



SCALE

2-3 stories, min. lot width of 22'

DESIGN PRIORITIES

- Development to define small, compact blocks (no more than 400 feet per block face)
- Buildings built at or close to the sidewalk with parking at the rear
- Ground floors to address the street with porches, stoops or other architectural elements
- Generous sidewalks (min. 8' wide) along all public and private streets
- Parking at the back of the lot accessed through rear alleys or shared driveways

WHERE RECOMMENDED

All other streets except along significant roadways (El Paseo, S Main, Boutz west of El Paso)

Housing Type - Duplexes, Triplexes, Quadplexes



SCALE

1-2 stories (establish min. lot parameters based on prevailing lot patterns)


DESIGN PRIORITIES

- Buildings built with a shallow setback (10' – 15') along the street with parking at the rear
- Ground floors to address the street with porches, stoops or other architectural elements
- Generous sidewalks (min. 6' wide) along all public and private streets
- Parking at the back of the lot accessed through rear alleys or shared driveways

WHERE RECOMMENDED


All other streets except along significant roadways (El Paseo, S Main, Boutz west of El Paseo)

Potential Development in MRA




Agenda Item #8.1.

1. Burley Court/Idaho, Montana



1. Proposed housing redevelopment.
2. Community park.
3. Pedestrian connections to enhance access to park from surrounding residential.
4. Opportunity site for new housing.
5. Bike/ped trail along existing ditch drain.
6. Infill opportunity sites with trail connections.

2. Brickyard



1. Existing brickyard site showing a mixture of housing types.
2. Extension of the Arroyo across S Solano Dr.
3. Existing Valley View Park.

This location has two potential sites: a redevelopment of a Housing Authority site on Burley Court, and a private development project along Montana Ave. There is also potential for private redevelopment along the drainage route connecting Boutz to Main Street behind the Chevrolet dealer. Most of this area could accommodate more dense multi-family developments. It can be designed with pedestrian connections along public streets and amenities such as connections to trails along the drainage way.

The former brickyard property on Kansas Ave between Espina and Chaparro Streets has the potential to be redeveloped into more housing. This may be feasible after the development of the FYI and Paseo Verdes Projects, which elevate the market to make this site more viable for redevelopment. In the meantime, the city should reach out to the current property owners and potential developers to stimulate interest in the redevelopment of the property. The MRA team could offer up funding for a Phase I environmental assessment of the property as an incentive so that future brownfield grants could be pursued for the redevelopment of the property, as needed.

The redevelopment concept plan shows mainly townhomes with some more extensive single-family or duplex uses along Kansas Ave. The southern edge would be a greenway that links Valley View Park to the west and the arroyo to the east. Some small apartment buildings could line the greenway along the Las Cruces arroyo.

Agenda Item #8.1.

3. F.Y.I./Paseo Verdes



1. Proposed Paseos Verdes housing development.
2. Proposed FYI mixed-use center.
3. Future Boys and Girls Club location.
4. Vacant building proposed for adaptive reuse.

This location has the benefit of being adjacent to the Paseo Verdes project with potential to connect further east to Valley View Park and trail. The housing at this location could be a 2-3 story small apartment building oriented east-west along the lot with parking tucked between the lots to the north. Some commercial and mixed use could be located along Campo Street and El Paseo, at either end of the development. It includes potential redevelopment of the parking lot to the north of FYI, which the Las Cruces School District owns. Paseo Verdes is a project currently undertaken by the Housing Authority and combines a mix of senior and workforce housing on this 7-acre site.

4. S Solano/Wofford



1. Proposed police sub-station and/or mixed-use neighborhood center with cafe to activate parks.
2. Existing church site showing opportunity for affordable housing development on church site.

The property owned by the UUC just north of their church on S Solano could be a good model for utilizing area churches as redevelopment partners. This location is near Frenger and Farney Parks and could benefit from improving Wofford/Farney Lane as a pedestrian and bike corridor. The housing type recommended here should be compatible with the single-family nature of the neighborhood around. A cottage court type of development with duplexes, triplexes, or patio homes, for rent or sale, with a central open space, could add a unique element to this part of the MRA. In addition, there is potential for small-scale retail or mixed-use buildings to anchor the intersection of Farney and Espina Streets.

Transportation & Mobility

In terms of mobility, the El Paseo & S Solano MRA area currently reflects the transportation priorities of the past 100 years, that is, moving from point A to point B in a private automobile. Streets were design, or retrofitted, to maximize automobile speed and flow while minimizing, or eliminating, pedestrian accommodations. These transportation choices are reflected in the development of low-density, scattered buildings surrounded by large parking lots.

Today, transportation is changing. Cities have realized that it is virtually impossible to design your way out of traffic congestion, for each new roadway expansion induces more traffic and greater automobile dependency. And with the realities of climate change becoming more apparent, we must look to more energy efficient ways to move about the city. New autonomous and electric power technologies may either exacerbate these issues or help be part of the solution.

Who Designs the Streets?

The most challenging problem facing any street design is how the limited space of the right-of-way (i.e., the width of the public property) is allocated for various mobility functions, such as vehicular lanes, bike lanes, and sidewalks. The decisions about how limited space is allocated will have to balance the competing objectives for traffic flow, pedestrian comfort and safety, and bike safety. This will be especially critical with regard to El Paseo which aspires to be a multi-modal transportation corridor, but which has limited right-of-way which will necessitate some compromises.

To ensure that various viewpoints are represented at the table when these decisions are made, the organization of an MRA Street Design Advisory

Committee is recommended which would include community representatives, transportation advocates, and transportation planners who would study various solutions and make an informed, non-binding, recommendation to Council. The Advisory Committee could include:

- Residents in the MRA area
- Business owners in the MRA area
- MRA board representative
- Bike/pedestrian advocate(s)
- Traffic engineers and transportation planners
- Transit representatives
- University representatives
- Land use planners

The committee would work closely with the street designers to evaluate various design solutions and provide a final recommendation to city leaders. The goal of this group is to provide a well-rounded perspective on the needs and impacts of future design decisions. Of course, the ultimate decision would be left to Council.

Mobility Strategies

The following section outlines various strategies that can be applied in the MRA area to enhance mobility. These strategies may help inform issues that arise and that are not specifically addressed in this document.

The Basics of Sidewalk Design

The sidewalk is the fundamental and necessary component to facilitate walkability. But not all sidewalks are equal. A wide, shaded, sidewalk, with some protection from moving traffic, encourages pedestrian activity much more than a narrow sidewalk that is adjacent to moving traffic.

A high-quality sidewalk may be divided into three

Agenda Item #8.1.

zones as shown in the example diagram. These zones are:

Sidewalk Clear Zone (SW). The paved area which is unobstructed by any permanent or non-permanent elements and allows for unobstructed passage along the route.

Frontage Zone (FR). The area between the sidewalk clear zone and the building frontage intended for control and use by the building owner and/or tenant.

Furnishing Zone (FZ). The area between the sidewalk clear zone and the curb or vehicular lane. The sidewalk furnishing zone is intended for the placement of street trees and street furniture including seating, pedestrian lighting, waste receptacles, fire hydrants, traffic signs, newspaper vending boxes, bus shelters, bicycle racks, and public utility equipment. It also offers a layer of protection between the pedestrian and moving traffic.

The Importance of Street Trees

Street trees play an important role in creating more comfortable, safe and sustainable streets, delivering multiple benefits including:


- A layer of protection between the pedestrian and moving traffic
- Providing a traffic calming effect by narrowing the cone of vision
- Shade, which helps lower temperatures
- Absorbing carbon from the air, and,
- Mitigating storm water by absorbing ground water runoff

Intersections Need Special Attention

Most crashes involving drivers and pedestrians occur at street intersections, especially intersections between multi-lane arterials. The desire to minimize congestion by the addition of



This sidewalk provides no shade and offers no separation from moving traffic. In addition, there is no pedestrian scaled lighting to help navigate and make pedestrians more visible to drivers.



The diagram shows the three zones of a high-quality sidewalk.

right and left turn lanes, leads to wider pedestrian crossings which increase a pedestrian's exposure time to conflicts with automobiles.

NACTO (National Association of City Transportation Officials) provides good tools for improving pedestrian, bike and vehicular safety at intersections. An example of these tools is shown in the Figure on the next page.

Agenda Item #8.1.

An illustration from the NACTO Urban Street Design Guide. The guide highlights several strategies for improving pedestrian and bike safety including “bulb-outs” to minimize crossing distance, pedestrian safety islands, and the reduction or elimination of turn lanes.



Universal Design

Universal Design in street and sidewalk design is crucial for creating inclusive environments that accommodate the diverse needs of all users, including people with disabilities, the elderly, and families with children. By prioritizing accessibility and usability, we enhance safety, encourage walking and cycling, and foster a sense of community. Thoughtful design promotes equity, ensuring that everyone can navigate public spaces confidently and independently. All street designs and mobility solutions should aim to incorporate best practices of Universal Design.

Embrace Micromobility

Micro-mobility refers to personal transportation devices which are usually electrically powered and include e-bikes, scooters, and other forms of electrically powered devices. Micro-mobility is well suited for short trips within the neighborhood or as a way to get to work and school. With its proximity to the University, the MRA area is well situated to take advantage of this transportation trend.

In terms of street design, micro-mobility works best when it shares the bike lane. It does not belong on the sidewalk, nor does it mix well

with moving traffic over about 20 mph. This puts even more emphasis on the need for dedicated bike/micro-mobility facilities on roads that are classified as collectors and arterials.



Scooters and e-bikes are becoming a more popular form of personal transportation. In particular, college students are a natural fit with the proximity of the University.

Agenda Item #8.1.

Utilize and Maintain Alleys

Alleys provide many benefits for creating a walkable environment. By accommodating parking and service at the rear of the building, alleys free up the street frontage to allow the building to interact with the sidewalk and street. They also help to keep trash, grease traps, and other unsightly utilities and equipment away from the sidewalk. Where current alleys are present, they should be maintained and utilized. The alley right-of-way should not be abandoned for private use unless there are other accommodations for parking and service within the block.

Encourage “de facto” alleys: As properties develop, encourage the use of cross access easements at the rear of the properties to create de facto alleys that can be used for service vehicles and parking access.

Ditch to Trails

There are numerous ditches running through the MRA area that can be utilized to enhance walkability and bikeability. The city is already in the planning stages to utilize these potential trail routes to connect housing, businesses and schools.



There are numerous blocks in the MRA that have alleys. Where feasible, alleys can facilitate vehicular access and move parking to the rear of the site.

Relevant MRA Tools

- > Help establish a Street Design Advisory Committee to study and make recommendations on proposed mobility improvements.
- > Co-lead with the City, a feasibility study to solidify the preferred design solution for El Paseo Road.
- > Use MRA funds to assist in the design and implementation of mobility improvements.
- > Consider financial incentives to encourage the planting of street trees along sidewalks, whether on public or private property.





El Paseo Road


During this planning process, the community identified the retrofit of El Paseo Rd. as a priority for the MRA study area. This reflects its importance to the community due to its past history as the commercial hub of Las Cruces. In addition, many residents expressed the idea that the street should function as a “grand boulevard” or “promenade”, linking the downtown to the University.

As shown in the photo above, the existing roadway is prioritized for vehicular traffic to the detriment of pedestrians, bicyclists, and transit riders. In the community survey, respondents indicated a strong preference - 57% compared to 44% - for a more balanced street design that allocates space for bikes and micro-mobility, pedestrians and vehicular traffic.

The illustrations and design concepts shown on the following pages represent a range of possible solutions that could be considered as part of a joint City/MRA feasibility study focused on solidifying the preferred design solution, along with projected construction costs, in order to move into the funding and final engineering phase. Please note that these are concepts that serve

as a starting point. Design and feasibility studies still need to be conducted to ensure emergency vehicle access and proper circulation.

1. The 5-lane roadway encourages higher speeds and increases the pedestrian exposure when crossing the roadway.
2. Sidewalks are unshaded and unprotected, without a buffer between the sidewalk and moving traffic.
3. Frequent curb cuts create more conflict points with unmotorized vehicles, which increases the potential for crashes.
4. Buildings are set back behind parking lots, impeding pedestrian access.



This option offers a more balanced approach to mobility and incorporates high-quality facilities for vehicles, bikes and micromobility, and pedestrians. Improve transit stops, especially near Albertsons.

PROS:

- Provides a safer, more comfortable environment for bicyclists and pedestrians.


CONS:

- Reduces vehicular traffic capacity.
- May be more expensive option.
- No dedicated transit lane.
- Difficult to implement on some blocks without significant reduction in curb cuts.

- 1. Reduce travel lanes from five to three, with a center turn lane.
- 2. Protected bike and micro-mobility lane.
- 3. Wide sidewalk.
- 4. Street trees for shade.
- 5. Two-level lighting for vehicles and pedestrians/bikes.



EL PASEO - OPTION B



This option retains the existing five-lane configuration but adds a center median where feasible. The median facilitates mid-block pedestrian crossings and improve the aesthetics of the street. Improve transit stops, especially near Albertson's.

PROS:

- Maintains current traffic volume capacity.
- Less expensive option (maintains current curb line).
- Maintains the option for a dedicated transit lane.
- Medians provide opportunities for shorter pedestrian crossings.

CONS:

- Does not provide designated bike facilities and is less pedestrian friendly.
- Shade is not guaranteed as implementation occurs on the private property.

1. Retain five-lane configuration.
2. Add center landscaped median with trees in place of turn lane where feasible.
3. Hardscape or landscaped buffer with two-level street lighting for vehicles and pedestrians/bikes.
4. Shared use path for pedestrians and bikes.
5. Landscaping and trees implemented within private property.



S Solano Drive

S Solano Dr. has different needs than the other major corridors, El Paseo Rd. or Main. Because the adjacent properties are shallow, it has not seen the growth in car-oriented, “big box” development that has occurred on El Paseo. The corridor is home to a mix of small businesses including restaurants, supplies stores, warehouses, and some residential. Because of its limited capacity for large commercial developments, it may have more short term potential for walkability and bikeability than its busier neighbor, El Paseo Rd. As with El Paseo, S Solano would need to undergo design and feasibility studies prior to determining a final preferred street section for improvements.



The street consist of three lanes including a center turn lane, and unbuffered bike lanes which are minimal width. The lack of shade along the sidewalk discourages walking.



An alley off of Utah Street runs parallel behind S Solano. If impediments were removed, alleys could function as intended and provide vehicular access and facilitate trash removal.

Redevelopment Challenges

Property owners report some challenges when it comes to redevelopment and adaptive reuse of existing buildings. Many of the site development standards in the zoning ordinance, such as landscaping and dumpster access, are geared toward larger, suburban sites which have more space to accommodate the requirements. One way address this, would be to establish an overlay zone which would amend the development standards to calibrate them to smaller sites. Another solution would be to provide site planning assistance and financial assistance to help property owners meet the intent of the landscape standards.

For any possible design solutions, transit stops will need special consideration. If opting for a 2 lane street section, assess additions of bus pull-ins/pull-outs to reduce impositions on the flow of traffic.

Relevant MRA Tools

- > Lead an effort to create an overlay, or other zoning tool, to address site design impediments.
- > Provide assistance design and planning assistance for property owners to help guide them to better site planning solutions.
- > Provide financial assistance for landscaping and building improvements to improve the appearance of the corridor.

Agenda Item #8.1.



The diagram here shows the street according to current plans with buffered bike lanes. Street trees are outside of the existing R.O.W.

1. Lane reduction from three to two lanes.
2. Buffered bike lanes on both sides, per Active Transportation Plan recommendations.
3. Encourage/require street trees on private property to provide shade along sidewalk.
4. Pedestrian-scaled lighting.




1. Lane reduction from three to two lanes, retain existing curb line.
2. Parallel parking spaces between tree planters.
3. Street trees provide shade within the public R.O.W.



1. Lane reduction from three to two lanes, retain existing curb line.
2. Protected bike lanes on each side.
3. Street trees provide shade within the public R.O.W. and protect bike lanes from moving traffic.

Recommended Street Retrofits

These following diagrams show conceptual retrofits of prioritized streets in the MRA. These concepts incorporate recommendations of the Active Transportation Plan. An attempt has been made to work within the existing R.O.W. (except where indicated otherwise) and within the curb-to-curb dimension in order to minimize cost associated with drainage infrastructure relocation. However, this results in a vehicular lane reductions for some roadways. A more in-depth feasibility study of each roadway segment will be needed to make final decisions on lane reductions and other design elements.



- 1. Lane reduction from four to two lanes to accommodate separated bike lanes.
- 2. Separated bike lanes on both sides, per the Active Transportation Plan recommendations.
- 3. Encourage/require street trees on private property to provide shade along sidewalk.
- 4. Provide lighting along bike lane or sidewalk.



- 1. Lane reduction from four to three lanes to accommodate separated bike lanes.
- 2. Separated bike lanes on both sides. Note: the Active Transportation Plan does not designate this street for protected bike lanes, but its proximity to the high school should give it reconsideration.
- 3. Encourage/require street trees on private property to provide shade along sidewalk.
- 4. Provide lighting along bike lane or sidewalk.



- 1. Lane reduction from three to two lanes.
- 2. Buffered bike lanes on both sides (per Active Transportation Plan).
- 3. Encourage/require street trees on private property to provide shade along sidewalk.

Agenda Item #8.1.



1. Narrow wide lanes with striping.
2. Buffered bike lanes on both sides (per Active Transportation Plan).
3. S Campo has potential as a high-quality pedestrian environment. Consider an expansion of the R.O.W. where feasible to accommodate a tree lawn and sidewalk.
4. Provide pedestrian-scaled lighting.
5. Need to include adequate space for transit stops.



1. Narrow lanes with striping.
2. Buffered bike lanes on both sides (per Active Transportation Plan).
3. Utilize MRA tools to implement a landscaped edge with street trees and lighting on private property along sidewalk.
4. Reduce number of curb cuts to improve pedestrian safety.
5. Provide pedestrian-scaled lighting.



1. Narrow wide lanes with striping.
2. Buffered bike lanes on both sides (per Active Transportation Plan).
3. Encourage/require street trees on private property to provide shade along sidewalk.
4. Pedestrian-scaled lighting.



1. Narrow wide lanes with striping.
2. Buffered bike lanes on both sides (per Active Transportation Plan).
3. Encourage/require street trees on private property to provide shade along sidewalk.
4. Pedestrian scaled lighting.

TRANSIT



Transit

The MRA area is well-served by the Roadrunner Transit. Five of the city's eight bus routes pass through the MRA boundary. Additional routes are not planned at this time, but headways could be shortened to accommodate increased ridership. A strong and utilized transit system is an integral part of moving toward a more sustainable future.

There are several strategies that can be employed to support, and increase, transit usage. The most important of these is to create a safe and comfortable pedestrian environment in order to access the bus stops and the rider's destinations. This may include mid-block crossings on multi-lane arterials to facilitate access to transit stops, and enhanced pedestrian connections on private properties to access goods and services.

Focus on sidewalks.


There is a symbiotic relationship between transit usage and walkability. Transit cannot reach its full potential if it delivers riders to an environment that is hostile and even dangerous to pedestrians. By improving pedestrian comfort and safety, ridership will increase and transit will become more attractive for a broader cross section of the population.

Prioritize food access and social services.

Prioritize convenient access to groceries, drug stores and other essential goods. When planning new development, locate stops near these destination and provide a safe and comfortable pedestrian path to the front door.

Support the link between bikes and transit.

Bikes and micro-mobility can help extend the reach of transit, especially in areas where the pedestrian environment is not ideal. To encourage the link between bikes and transit, consider bike storage shelters and bike share stations at major destinations and transit hubs.



Provide direct and safe access from transit stops to major destinations, like grocery stores.



Provide shaded pedestrian paths from transit stops to the front doors of major destinations.



Bike storage shelters facilitate the link between transit and bikes, extending the reach of transit.

Relevant MRA Tools

- Help fund planning, design and implementation of pedestrian improvements to access transit service and major destinations.
- Help fund shaded bus shelters and bike storage facilities to improve rider comfort and encourage multi-modal trips.
- Advocate for policies to require good pedestrian connections on private properties such as sidewalks through parking lots.

Community Places & Programs


Woven throughout the MRA's residential neighborhoods and high-traffic corridors are public spaces that invite people to spend time in the area and create a unique identity to the district. Several parks are currently used to host recreational activities or local sports games, but other spaces have yet to be fully realized. The potential places and programs yet to be hosted in the MRA are opportunities to support its revitalization. Activating these community spaces, through infrastructure, placemaking elements, or programming, is a vital redevelopment strategy because it builds on existing assets in the MRA to improve the quality of life for residents. Several existing and potential community spaces in the MRA can be enhanced to support the area's revitalization.

Create welcoming gathering spaces

The MRA has existing infrastructure, like parks and streets, which could be enhanced to invite more people. Physical improvements to public spaces, like shade structures and benches, can support neighborhood vitality by creating a comfortable space where residents gather and spend time. Other enhancements, like welcome signage and public art, help reinforce a sense of place and invite visitors to the area.

Gateway at Wyatt/Campo and El Paseo


This essential gateway into the MRA has the potential for significant redevelopment that can set the tone for the remainder of the district as a welcoming, distinct place within Las Cruces. Plans for future family-oriented services and destinations like the Boys and Girls Clubs and FYI make this an ideal location for additional improvements. The MRA team could work with developers or property owners to create a placemaking element such as a pocket plaza or an enhanced sidewalk project in addition to any ongoing or anticipated intersection redevelopment.



Agenda Item #8.1.

Gateway at Espina and Farney

This key intersection in the MRA anchors the two public parks and potential for modest infill at the NW corner of the intersection. This could include a trail connection to the parks and enhanced landscaping to reinforce a visual connection to the park.



Placemaking in community spaces. In addition to welcoming gateway signage, park amenities, like benches, tables, and shade structures, invite people to gather and enjoy public spaces. These enhancements can be considered “placemaking” by improving an area to feel more comfortable, enjoyable, and inviting.

Improve recreation options for families

Recreational amenities for families in the MRA can be improved to revitalize the area. In addition to existing park improvements, uplifting existing community assets, like parks and programs, will accommodate more residents and make the MRA family-friendly. Additionally, community spaces can be transformed to provide positive outlets for local children and young adults, whether it's a safe place to hang out with friends or other

events and programs geared toward youth. These amenities can be planned for multiple generations of users and invite in entire families, from babies to seniors.

Explore private indoor recreation center.

There is the potential to create an indoor recreation space within the MRA. Many residents expressed that this was a need and a potential gap in services that are provided locally. This space would provide enjoyment of the recreational amenities available to residents. The site could also accommodate outdoor recreation, like sports courts, and additional shops and housing. Notably, an indoor recreation center would offer reprieve from the hot weather in the summer months. Though the City does not have the capacity to pursue this at the time, they could try to work with a private entity to fill this community need.

Agenda Item #8.1.

Implement Gomez/Frenger Park plans. The Frenger/Gomez Park Plans have already been completed. These renovations align with a community interest in park improvements, and once constructed, will improve the park and recreational amenities for nearby neighbors and city residents. The Frenger Park designs call for a nine-hole disc golf course, multi-sport court, playground facilities, and other dynamic park amenities. The plan includes a new, pedestrian-prioritized crossing connecting Frenger Park to Gomez Park across Farney Lane. Gomez Park would be renovated to include a new walking path with exercise equipment and improve the existing field space.

Activate Valley View Park. Valley View Park has great potential to be redeveloped into a community space. The park is adjacent to an existing storm water retention area, which could be enhanced with a walking trail along the park perimeter and featuring a native desert landscape.

Revive all-ages programming. All-age programs could be hosted in newly enhanced community spaces to support MRA revitalization further. By offering meaningful activities for all generations, neighborhoods and park spaces transform into lively, inclusive, and resilient spaces where families want to live, work, and play—leading to long-term revitalization and sustained community growth.



Neighborhood Identity

Neighborhoods form the backbone of community life. Nurturing strong neighborhoods helps to foster a sense of pride and stewardship. There are several ways that the MRA designation can help strengthen neighborhoods which will, in turn, improve the entire area.


Another factor that supports neighborhood walkability is that most neighborhood streets experience relatively low, and slow, traffic which provides a safer pedestrian environment. When neighborhood streets do experience increased speeds, traffic calming measures should be used to enhance safety.

Neighborhood Mapping

The Neighborhood Map was derived from a mapping exercise conducted at the community workshop. During the workshop, it became apparent that some existing neighborhoods had strong identities and recognized boundaries, while others were less defined. In fact, some areas, including areas that had apartments and homes, could not be identified by name. For these neighborhoods, the participants proposed names, such as “Big Sky” because of its location on Montana Avenue. These names are only suggestions and other names may emerge, but the important thing is to recognize these areas as neighborhoods and help nurture their identity and sense of community. To this end, a recognized name is a good place to start.

The Key to Walkability

Neighborhoods should be thought of as the “low hanging fruit” in terms of walkability. After all, many daily trips start from the home, so locating amenities and services close to homes reduces the trip distance and increases the chances that the trip will be made on foot or by bike. The ideal neighborhood would have its own school, market, and park within walking distance of neighborhood residents. This ideal scenario may not be feasible in the short term, but should guide future planning and redevelopment.



Safety and Security

The issue of safety was a reoccurring theme brought up during the planning process, and when asked how the MRA should support Neighborhoods, participants of the community survey chose neighborhood watch programs as their second most popular choice just behind other enhancements such as entry signs and street trees. In addition to neighborhood watch programs, the MRA can support other safety initiatives such as street lighting.

Partner with Lift Up Las Cruces

In 2022, the city began the “Lift Up Las Cruces” program to provide support for neighborhoods. The annual program works with residents to identify their needs and concerns, then allocates resources to make improvements prioritized by the residents. This can include such things as road improvements, bus shelters and lighting.

The MRA can help support and expand this program by providing additional funding for neighborhoods within the MRA boundary. Currently, the Chiva Town neighborhood is already receiving support from this program.

Relevant MRA Tools

- Support and partner with the Lift Up Las Cruces program to support additional neighborhoods within the MRA boundary area.
- Provide financial assistance for facade and site improvements.
 - Recognize and support emerging neighborhoods.

Economic Development

The vision and goals for the El Paseo and S Solano corridors and adjacent neighborhoods highlight the importance of a community-focused approach to building the vibrant hubs of economic activity desired within the MRA. MRA designation enables strategies that support public investments that serve private businesses and provide financing mechanisms that generate revenue for reinvestment within the MRA boundary. The proposed redevelopment strategies are also aligned with making the area desirable for employees, business owners, and entrepreneurs. In addition to MRA tools, local and regional economic development resources are available to help local businesses thrive.

Address vacant and dilapidated properties

Vacant and dilapidated buildings in the MRA are a key concern of community members, business owners, and city staff. The MRA Designation Report found that in 2023, there were nearly 90 vacant parcels in the MRA, as well as at least 30 unoccupied buildings and considerable deterioration of commercial and residential buildings. Vacancies and poor building conditions can deter potential investment in the area and attract crime and other hazards. Commercial building vacancies underutilized or failing to generate GRT (gross tax receipts) can also negatively impact local tax revenue. It will be critical to revitalize, redevelop, and repurpose vacant and dilapidated structures to attract new investment and support economic development within the MRA.

Infrastructure investments that enable development. The MRA designation enables the City of Las Cruces to make infrastructure investments necessary for redevelopment.

Specifically, the designation allows for public infrastructure financing through TIFs, state and federal grants, or developer incentives. Funding can be used to address the physical conditions of public infrastructure, like road and sidewalk repairs. These improvements can support economic development by making the area more attractive, incentivize businesses to relocate and expand, and make the area more accessible for patrons.

Vacant building ordinance. The City of Las Cruces currently uses a nuisance ordinance (CLC § 18) to address concerns about vacant or dilapidated structures. To more effectively address vacant buildings, it is best practice to adopt a vacant building ordinance that holds property owners accountable for the condition of their buildings. One piece of this could include a vacant building register, requiring property owners to acknowledge the condition of their property and declare vacancy, even for a penalty fee. The MRA would greatly benefit from an enforceable ordinance that penalizes vacant property owners and incentivizes them to activate their property. For more information, see New Mexico MainStreet's "[Vacant Building Ordinance White Paper](#)".

Public land donations. Where there are publicly held or condemned vacant properties, the City of Las Cruces can donate land for redevelopment projects proposed in this MRA plan. The donations could be a sale for below market value, delayed payment to the city until a project has stabilized, a long-term lease at a below market rate, or donation. Land donation in strategic areas, like near the proposed nodes of opportunity, can be strategically used to enable commercial development and redevelopment on otherwise underutilized land.

Local Business Support

Another priority for residents and business owners is supporting the local businesses along the key commercial corridors of El Paseo, S Solano, and S. Main. An MRA designation allows the city to provide more targeted support for businesses as an economic development strategy, since commercial activity in the MRA is essential for maintaining the vitality and vibrancy of the district. Existing businesses can benefit from revitalization as the area becomes more desirable for residents, customers, and employees. The following ways to support small businesses are based on owners' feedback.

Promote resources to support existing small businesses. Resources for small businesses are available at the local and regional level, including The Greater Las Cruces Chamber of Commerce, WESST Las Cruces, Mesilla Valley Economic Development Alliance, and the Las Cruces Small Business Development Center. These organizations provide local business and entrepreneurial support, programs and events, and business/professional development opportunities. The MRA Team can connect these groups to business owners and entrepreneurs in the MRA to grow a local network through new and strengthened relationships. These initiatives, organizations, and non-profits will be a critical way to address area-specific needs and help businesses thrive in the MRA.

Support entrepreneurship and workforce development programs. Another way to help local businesses thrive in the MRA is to develop local youth, recent NMSU graduates, and potential entrepreneurs into high-quality employees and business owners. This includes supporting potential entrepreneurs and businesses looking to relocate or expand their operation. There is potential for a business incubator to serve the surrounding commercial areas and support new entrepreneurs and employment support for

residents looking for work in a newly revitalized area. Other institutions and employers, including NMSU and the City of Las Cruces, could expand MRA employment training and programming opportunities to serve the area's businesses better. The City of Las Cruces can also target investments in local companies that offer employment opportunities and training to community residents.

Economic Development Tools

There are many tools that the City can use to begin to finance and implement its vision for the MRA. A few of these include Tax Increment Development Districts, Tax Increment Financing and Business Improvement Districts. The table on the following pages describes these tools and provides a comparison of their costs and benefits, as well as suitability in different situations.

	Tax Increment Development District (TIDD)	Tax Increment Financing (TIF)	Business Improvement Development District (BIDD)
Brief Description	Special districts that utilize tax increment financing to fund public infrastructure & encourage economic development within a designated area.	A financing method that captures future tax revenues from a designated area to fund current improvements, aiming to stimulate economic development.	Districts formed by property owners to fund enhancements & services beyond those provided by local governments, focusing on public realm & streetscapes, safety & security, marking & events, economic development.
Eligible Improvements	Public infrastructure such as roads, utilities, parks, and development incentives. Can fund some private improvements if justified.	Similar to TIDDs, but focuses exclusively on public infrastructure improvements that enhance economic growth & property values.	Improvements & services that benefit the business district, including beautification projects, marketing, security, & maintenance services.
Cost Recovery Mechanism	Utilizes the incremental increase in a) gross receipts taxes & b) property taxes generated within the district to repay bonds issued for infrastructure projects.	Captures the incremental increase in a) property taxes resulting from the rise in property values within the district to repay bonds or fund projects directly, likely generating less revenue than a TIDD.	Levies additional assessments or taxes on property owners within the district to fund improvements & services. Cannot directly issue bonds.
Jurisdiction / Authority	Established by local governments with state approval; oversight typically involves municipal & state entities to ensure alignment with goals.	Implemented by municipal governments; oversight is primarily local, with some state guidelines to ensure proper use & effectiveness.	Created & managed by property owners or business entities within the district; oversight is often a combination of local government & district-appointed boards.
Complexity	High; involves coordination between multiple government entities, developers, & financiers, with stringent approval processes & regulatory compliance.	Moderate; requires detailed planning & projections, with approvals needed from local authorities & adherence to specific regulations.	Variable; complexity depends on the scale of improvements & local regulations, but generally involves organizing property owners & managing additional assessments.

Agenda Item #8.1.

	Tax Increment Development District (TIDD)	Tax Increment Financing (TIF)	Business Improvement Development District (BIDD)
Uses			
Large-Scale Infrastructure	Effective	Effective	Not suitable / effective
PPP Development / Redevelopment	Effective	Effective	Not suitable / effective
Beautification, Branding, Business Support	Not primary focus	Not primary focus	Effective
Public Safety & Maintenance Improvements	Not primary focus	Not primary focus	Effective

Sustainability

The MRA should implement measures that consider both environmental and economic sustainability of redevelopment in this area. This will not only improve the attractiveness and desirability of the area as a place to live, work, and play in the future, but it will also align with overall sustainability goals and resiliency for the City of Las Cruces.

Promote Green Stormwater Infrastructure (GSI) & Low Impact Development (LID) Design Strategies in New Projects

Create plans for improved drainage basin & recreation space near Valley View.

There is an existing drainage basin at the southern terminus of Valley View Park (also south of the Library and Munson Center). This area is functional but also presents a unique opportunity to showcase creative green stormwater infrastructure in and around the basin. The space could be made more attractive and usable by creating a walking path around the perimeter and creating small drainage pathways that connect to the larger basin. Each of these drainage packets could be landscapes with drought-tolerant plants and small resting areas that would greatly improve the attractiveness of this part of the neighborhood.

Ensure all future streetscape improvements feature GSI practices.

Every trail and streetscape improvement project presents another opportunity for adding green stormwater infrastructure throughout the MRA. Runoff from impermeable surfaces can be diverted from stormwater drains (reducing load on overall system and improving function during large rainfall events) and into packets of

landscaped areas, allowing for more efficient use of water and slower absorption of said water into the groundwater table. These practices should also be implemented wherever impervious surfaces are installed in new developments (including parking lots).

Expand shade coverage in the MRA.

Throughout the planning process, many community members voiced the need for better shade coverage throughout the MRA in order to make the area more walkable and comfortable for pedestrians. Additional tree canopy would also provide significant cooling benefits for the City by providing shade and facilitating evapotranspiration, thus helping to lower temperatures. Lastly, trees have the benefit of improving overall air quality and reducing heat stress.




Expand Mobility Choices

Expand mobility options, especially for walking, biking and transit.

All future developments in the MRA should consider their impact on overall mobility and circulation through the district. The El Paseo and S Solano corridors (and surrounding areas) offer key north-south connections between downtown and NMSU. There are also key east-west connections that offer ways to get from neighborhoods and the freeway to Mesilla. Additionally, the MRA is host to major schools, employment centers and shopping districts. Each of these destinations within and beyond the MRA adds load to the overall traffic of the area. The more transit, bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure are added and improved, the more options they provide residents to move without the need of a vehicle. Consequently, the MRA should continue to make investments to improve both of these and incentivize private development to include these, as well.

Ensure future public investments are fully accessible.

For many years, public spaces have been built in a way that prioritizes use by fully able-bodied individuals, often making some spaces entirely inaccessible to anyone who is differently-abled. As the MRA represents a more historic part of Las Cruces, it is no exception to this practice. However, future development and public investments offer an opportunity to begin to change this standard of practice, but making public space and future buildings fully accessible to all community members.




FINANCING AND IMPLEMENTATION




Action Plan

A variety of MRA and general economic development tools can be utilized to achieve the goals of the El Paseo & S Solano MRA plan and implement the catalytic projects recommended by the plan. MRAs provide a special exemption to the State of New Mexico's "anti-donation" clause and allow for the direct collaboration of public and private interests. To support local businesses and new development in the area, the success of the MRA plan likely relies on employing the primary MRA tools (public-private partnerships and tax increment financing), additional MRA tools, and other economic development tools.

In this section, we will discuss the highest priorities that the City and partners should focus on in the years to come, or, catalytic projects, outline a full set of actions to achieve recommended strategies, and, finally, discuss the menu of tools and resources that can be used to achieve each of these.





Catalytic Projects

The following catalytic projects represent high impact potential projects that should be prioritized as investments by the City in order to activate future private development. In some instances, they will require significant mobilization of resources, partners, and time, but they will be essential to bring about the vision that the community has for the future of this area. The next several pages provide more detail about these seven identified projects.

1. El Paseo Transformation
2. El Paseo Commercial and Residential Redevelopment
3. S Solano Business Revitalization
4. Valley View Park Activation
5. Infill as Redevelopment
6. Public Safety improvements
7. Improve Trail Connections
8. Community and Neighborhood Placemaking

1. El Paseo Transformation

Reinforce El Paseo Road as the primary connection between Downtown and the University

Currently, the corridor is automobile-oriented, as El Paseo is conceived as a commercial corridor and a primary vehicular connection between downtown and the university. The plan envisions taming traffic on El Paseo and improving the pedestrian realm along the corridor, supporting the redevelopment of underutilized properties along the corridor. Still, it has the potential to evolve with more pedestrian and transit trips that can support additional density along the corridor.

ACTION STEPS

- Conduct a preliminary feasibility and schematic design phase to look at several design options along with the cost implications of each. Make a final recommendation to the Council on the preferred design option. Use this study to identify funding sources for the next phase.
- Proceed with engineering and construction based on the preferred option identified in first bullet.

TIME FRAME

- Short Term: Begin initial feasibility and design phases immediately, within one year of MRA Plan adoption. Establish budget and identify funding sources.
- Medium-Long Term: The implementation of transformation will likely take 5+ years to complete.

FUNDING SOURCES

- City of Las Cruces ICIP
- NMDOT Funding
- FHWA Funding – IIJA, RAISE, SS4A

KEY PARTNERS

- City of Las Cruces Community Development Department & Public Works
- NMDOT
- Las Cruces Police Department

2. El Paseo Commercial and Residential Redevelopment

Encourage a mix of commercial and housing development along El Paseo

With large assemblages of underutilized commercial buildings and large parking lots, El Paseo has the potential to accommodate more growth, especially multi-family residential. While more housing is desperately needed within the MRA, the new multi-family developments should integrate well with commercial development along the corridor, create walkable blocks connecting residents to the corridor, and provide amenities for residents and shoppers alike. Incentives should focus on attracting vertical mixed-use buildings at key locations along the corridor.

ACTION STEPS

- Remove existing zoning barriers, and other administrative barriers, that may impede residential development along the corridor.
- Support existing businesses by implementing corridor stabilization programs, including facade and landscaping improvements, physical enhancements, expanding safety programs, etc.
- Work with existing private property owners to subdivide large parcels to accommodate future development opportunities and infrastructure as needed.
- Attract future commercial and residential development that is aligned with the MRA vision, especially in the identified opportunity sites/nodes, through public-private partnerships.

TIME FRAME

- Short term: Implement business support 1-2+ years into the redevelopment process.
- Medium-Long term: Prepare the land and infrastructure for future redevelopment in the medium-term, 3-5 years.

FUNDING SOURCES

- Public-private partnerships
- MRA tools, including a potential TIF or BID
- Public land donations

KEY PARTNERS

- City of Las Cruces Community Development Department
- City of Las Cruces Economic Development Department
- City of Las Cruces Public Works Department

3. S Solano Business Revitalization

Reinforce S Solano as a local business corridor

S Solano consists of small lots and blocks and primarily has local businesses that are smaller in scale and provide local neighborhood needs. Future redevelopment along the corridor should focus on encouraging more local businesses that are smaller in scale (6,000 sq. ft. or smaller) to continue this trend. This would allow businesses to be supported entirely by foot or bicycle traffic and reduce the need to provide ample surface parking lots.

ACTION STEPS

- Support existing business by implementing corridor stabilization programs, including facade and landscaping improvements, physical enhancements, expanding safety programs, etc. Provide design assistance to help property owners implement and envision improvements.
- Connecting business owners to existing resources and programs offered by local groups.
- Review permitting processes to ensure site design standards are flexible for the unique qualities of the S Solano corridor while encouraging human-scale and design and pedestrian safety.
- Collaborate across city departments to support the potential creation of a S Solano business organization.

TIME FRAME

- Short-medium term: Start coordinating with resource providers early on, within first year, then build out more substantial programs between 1-3 years into redevelopment.

FUNDING SOURCES

- MRA – potential TIF, TIDD, BID
- Public-private partnerships
- Committing staff/MRA Team capacity
- Community Foundation of Southern New Mexico, Economic Development Retention and Revitalization Grant 2025

KEY PARTNERS

- City of Las Cruces Economic Development Department
- Small business owners in MRA
- Greater Las Cruces Chamber of Commerce
- NMSU Small Business Development Center
- Mesilla Valley Economic Development Alliance

4. Valley View Park Activation

Make improvements to Valley View Park to establish as a destination and connect Arroyo to the northeast

The focus will be to add more “eyes on the park” with development around the park’s edges that activates the edges and provides residents much needed amenities such as playgrounds, trails, picnic areas, etc. The goal is to extend this trail amenity to the northeast along the drainage area with the future redevelopment of the brickyard property.

ACTION STEPS

- Complete master plan for Valley View Park. Work with stakeholders, including residents, local schools, the Senior Center, and Library to develop vision for park improvements. The master plan should include the storm water detention basin and examine the feasibility of providing amenities in the basin for public use.
- Initiate collaboration with EBID to plan for trail connection along Las Cruces Arroyo, between Valley View Park and Young Park.
- Implement the master plan improvements.
- Activate the newly renovated park with community programs and events.

TIME FRAME

- Short-medium term: Complete master planning process that is currently in progress.
- Medium term: Implement park improvements and buildout, 3-5 years into redevelopment.

FUNDING SOURCES

- NMORD Trails+ and Outdoor Equity Grants
- NM Quality of Life Grants/Regional Recreation Centers
- City of Las Cruces ICIP

KEY PARTNERS

- City of Las Cruces Parks and Recreation Department
- City of Las Cruces Quality of Life Department
- Elephant Butte Irrigation District
- Local schools, particularly Valley View Elementary School

5. Infill as Redevelopment

Facilitate appropriate development on key infill sites, like the “Brickyard” and Foster and Montana sites

The City and private interests are working on additional housing (of various types and price points) within the MRA. The goal is to further this effort by looking at proximate sites for additional residential development.

ACTION STEPS

- The old Featherweight brickyard site has been identified as a key infill site. The project could help fill in missing housing and incorporate an extension of the Valley View Greenway toward the arroyo. The MRA can help fund an initial feasibility study, which would include an environmental assessment of the site and a conceptual development plan (“Blueprint”).
- Support efforts to develop a mixed-use center as proposed by FYI behind Sutherland Lumber between El Paseo and Campo Street.
- Support implementation of the Paseos Verdes project.
- Facilitate development on area key infill sites by working with property owners to identify market opportunities. Highlight development sites on the MRA website.
- In coordination with the city, provide professional level design assistance to create preliminary development plans for key sites. Ensure zoning and infrastructure are in place to allow for development.
- Create and publicize a concise resource guide for housing/affordable housing development tools that can be shared with potential developers (ex: brownfield redevelopment, LIHTC, HTF, CDBG, etc.).

TIME FRAME

- Short term: Provide or establish professional level design services and resource guide for potential developers.
- Medium-long term: Full project implementation.

FUNDING SOURCES

- Public-private partnerships
- Public land donations or acquisitions
- MRA – potential TIF, TIDD, BID
- NMED Brownfields Program

KEY PARTNERS

- City of Las Cruces Community Development Department
- City of Las Cruces Economic Development Department
- Property owners

6. Public Safety Improvements

Address security concerns in the MRA that contribute to the public's perception of the area

Security is a significant concern in the MRA Area. Some of it is real and some of it is perception. The City knows that failing to address this will limit the MRA's ability to attract new development and sustain a healthy business environment.

ACTION STEPS

- Continue to strengthen the relationship between LCPD Community Outreach and residents/businesses through pop-up events, bike rodeos, and public education campaigns.
- Consider a police sub-station at Farney Lane and E Park Drive. May be combined with commercial development with active uses (cafe, convenience store, etc.)
- Advocate for policy reform at the city level to provide more support services located in the MRA.
- Work with business owners to establish private security presence to help support police presence.
- Invest in physical improvements to add more lighting, improve sidewalks, and shade to improve public health and safety.

TIME FRAME

- Short term: Begin collaborating with LCPD and other service providers immediately upon redevelopment.
- Short-medium term: Add infrastructure improvements, like additional lighting.
- Ongoing: Continue to develop and strengthen relationships, programming throughout the entirety of the redevelopment period.

FUNDING SOURCES

- Partnerships with LCPD for safety and security resources
- Community Action Agency of Southern New Mexico (CAASNM) funding

KEY PARTNERS

- Las Cruces Police Department
- Las Cruces Police Department Community Outreach Team
- Lift Up Las Cruces Team
- Local service providers
- Local businesses and property owners

7. Improve Trail Connections

Utilize the drainage ditches for trail connections to improve overall walkability

As part of a city-wide effort, plans are underway to implement trails along several of the area's drainage ditch corridors. The goal will be to ensure that new development in the MRA provides seamless bicycle and pedestrian connections to these future trails to maximize their utility.

ACTION STEPS

- Collaborate with EBID to implement trail plan for Las Cruces lateral.
- Conduct analysis for Las Cruces Arroyo trail plan.

TIME FRAME

- Short term: Increase trail connections within 1-3 years of redevelopment.

FUNDING SOURCES

- NMDOT Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) and Recreational Trails Program (RTP) Funding
- NMORD Trails+ Grant

KEY PARTNERS

- City of Las Cruces Parks and Recreation Department
- Elephant Butte Irrigation District
- NMSU and Las Cruces High School
- Mesilla Valley MPO
- NMDOT
- NMORD

8. Community and Neighborhood Placemaking

Reinforce neighborhood and area identity through targeted and district-wide improvements to public spaces

Many of the neighborhoods in the MRA area do not have an identifiable name or defined boundaries. This plan starts to define general boundaries and characteristics for the different neighborhoods in the plan area. The key will be to work with neighborhood representatives to develop a plan that best addresses their immediate needs and concerns and helps grow neighborhood pride and ownership.

ACTION STEPS

- To reinforce identity, assist with neighborhood signage programs (street sign toppers, etc.), neighborhood markers, gateways, and public art.
- Continue to organize neighborhood cleanups and property improvement days in the MRA.
- Continue to dedicate city staff capacity to supporting neighborhood groups and associations.

TIME FRAME

- Short term: Empower existing neighborhood organizations to begin enhancements as soon as possible.
- Medium term: Build out more stable funding, programming around local beautification.
- Medium-Long term: Gateway/welcoming signage are more substantial projects that require additional funding and effort to implement.

FUNDING SOURCES

- Keep Las Cruces Beautiful Funding
- MRA Funding
- Partnerships/sponsorships with local businesses, banks, or large corporations in Las Cruces willing to sponsor neighborhood beautification projects, either through direct funding or in-kind donations (e.g., materials, labor, etc.).

KEY PARTNERS

- City of Las Cruces Quality of Life Department
- Keep Las Cruces Beautiful
- Neighborhood groups, associations, and watches
- City of Las Cruces Community Engagement Office
- Las Cruces High School

Key Actions

To help the city prioritize and move forward with key strategies for the MRA, we've created the following summary of actions to help achieve the Strategic Recommendations described in the previous section.

GENERAL
Create an MRA board to oversee and champion plan actions.
Create an MRA webpage to showcase key information about the area, highlight opportunity sites and ongoing projects - tie to ED page.
PUBLIC SAFETY
Outreach: Continue to strengthen the relationship between LCPD Community Outreach & residents/businesses.
Bike safety: Continue to host bike rodeos & bike safety outreach.
Implement a blue light program.
Improved lighting: prioritize lighting in parks, neighborhoods, and on key walking corridors.
Police sub-station: Assess feasibility/make physical improvements for a Police Substation (potentially near Frenger and Gomez Parks).
Address vacant properties: create vacant property task force; continue to strengthen code enforcement within the MRA.
Support Neighborhood Initiatives: Support Neighborhood Watch Programs & consider expanding to business watch.
HOUSING / LAND USE
Zoning Strategies: Expand housing entitlements within existing commercially zoned properties. Encourage zoning strategies that provide more housing options that are compatible in scale with existing neighborhood character.
Promote development sites: Facilitate development on key infill sites by working with property owners to identify market opportunities. Highlight development sites on the MRA website.
Design Assistance Program: In coordination with the city, provide professional level design assistance to create preliminary development plans for key sites. Ensure zoning and infrastructure are in place to allow for development.
Create Resource Guide: Create resource guide for housing/affordable housing development tools (state/federal) that can be shared with potential developers (ex: brownfield redevelopment, LIHTC, etc.)
Brickyard Site: fund an initial feasibility study of the old Featherweight brickyard which would include an environmental assessment of the site and a conceptual development plan ("Blueprint").
Church sites: Work with existing community assets (churches) and non-profits to provide housing options and assist with potential development.
Support Housing Authority projects: Support upcoming and ongoing housing projects, including Paseo Verdes, FYI Development, and Jardines Verdes.

HOUSING / LAND USE
Housing Incentives: Consider reduction of impact fees, TIA requirements (remove red tape) for future development; consider setting up a fund for assist developers with cost of landscape/greenspace requirements.
Land banking: Set up a system to acquire vacant and underutilized properties to position them for redevelopment which might include demolition of existing buildings.
Infrastructure: advocate for infrastructure improvements that may be needed to facilitate development along the Idaho corridor.
MOBILITY
MRA Street design advisory committee: establish a community advisory committee to participate in the street design process and make a non-binding recommendation to Council on the community's preferred design solution.
El Paseo Road - Step 1: Conduct a preliminary feasibility and schematic design phase to look at several design options along with the cost implications of each. Make a final recommendation to Council on the preferred design option. Use this study to identify funding sources for the next phase.
El Paseo Road - Step 2: Proceed with engineering and construction based on the preferred option identified in Step 1.
Idaho/Boutz/Missouri - Step 1: Following the process for El Paseo, conduct a feasibility and schematic level design phase for a complete streets retrofit of Idaho Ave. and Boutz Road/Missouri Ave, with the goal of establishing the preferred design options.
Idaho and Boutz/Missouri - Step 2: Proceed with engineering and construction based on the preferred option identified in Step 1.
S Solano / Espina: Follow the two-step process established above for complete streets improvements to Solano Dr. and Espina St. This project may also include the feasibility of utilizing the existing alleys, especially behind S Solano.
Intersections: Depending on the timing for complete street retrofits, the MRA/city may want to address immediate safety concerns at key intersections - especially those near schools. These safety improvements can be spearheaded by the appropriate city department. The MRA may advocate for short term improvements on behalf of the community (example, the intersections of Idaho and Solano, Montana and El Paseo, and Boutz and El Paseo).
Slow zones: Advocate for "slow zones" on neighborhood streets and near schools.
Micromobility: Accommodate small electrically powered vehicles that are compatible with bikes by implementing complete street retrofits.
Alleys: Establish a policy that encourages the use of existing alley ways for vehicular access and back-of-house services, especially those located behind commercial properties. See also S Solano/Espina project above.
Ditch to Trails: Transform local ditches (Las Cruces Lateral and Arroyo) as pedestrian/bike corridors and connections to key destinations including the University, Downtown, and Mesilla.

COMMUNITY PLACES & PROGRAMS
Gateway: Create a gateway at Campo and El Paseo with signage and other placemaking elements. Explore potential for a civic anchor institution in conjunction with redevelopment.
Parks: Implement the Frenger and Gomez Parks plans.
Valley View Park: Activate Valley View Park with a walking trail, landscaping, and other public amenities.
Revive all-ages programming in the parks: partnerships with schools (recreation, sports, outdoor classrooms).
Neighborhood clean up events: help organize neighborhood cleanups in partnership with neighborhood associations.
NEIGHBORHOODS
Neighborhood Identity: Reinforce neighborhood identity by recognizing existing neighborhood boundaries and names. Support identity for emerging neighborhoods by encouraging the adoption of new neighborhood names and boundaries where none exist. This process was started during the MRA planning process.
Reinforce identity: Assist with neighborhood signage programs (street sign toppers, etc.), neighborhood markers, gateways and public art.
Partner with Lift Up Las Cruces: Support the city's program, Lift Up Las Cruces, in their efforts to work with neighborhoods to identify needed improvements. This could include funding support from the MRA.
Improve walkability: Advocate for neighborhood "slow zones", sidewalk repairs, pedestrian scaled lighting, and street trees along neighborhood streets.
City Support: Advocate for city staff to support Neighborhood Organizations (watch, association, etc.); Create neighborhood liaison position to facilitate this.
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT
Vacant building ordinance: Advocate for Adoption and enforcement of a vacant building ordinance.
Donate public land (publicly held or condemned) to activate properties.
Promote existing resources to support small businesses: Implement small business grant and loan program; City to create clear program guidelines and expectations for new businesses, work with NMSU small business center and Chamber.
Design Assistance Program: In coordination with the city, provide professional level design assistance to create preliminary development plans for key sites. Ensure zoning and infrastructure are in place to allow for development.
Promote Brownfield Redevelopment: Advocate for cleanup and redevelopment of brownfield sites in the MRA.
Promote Revitalization Incentive Program: Provide incentives and grants for facade, site, landscape improvements, pedestrian improvements, safety, upgrade longevity of a commercial buildings. Implement weatherization program for commercial properties; encourage adaptive reuse of older/vacant properties.
Assess feasibility of TIDD or BID (tax increment v. business improvement).
Support public safety actions and initiatives Refer to Public Safety Section. Support safety initiatives that allow businesses to stay open later during certain times (i.e. First Friday, etc.).
Promote development sites: Update and promote available properties in the MRA on the Las Cruces Border Plex site readiness list.

SUSTAINABILITY
Amenitize Storm Water: Improve drainage basin in Valley View Park to allow for usable recreation space.
Green Stormwater Infrastructure: Ensure all future streetscape improvements incorporate GSI practices.
Expand shade: Support programs to incentive planting of trees within the public ROW and private property adjacent to sidewalks.
Expand mobility options: Refer to Mobility section.
Universal Accessibility: Ensure all future developments are fully accessible.
Energy efficiency: Create/Promote incentive programs for energy-efficient developments, adaptive reuse.
Create/follow guidelines for water conservation and stormwater management.

Tools, Resources & Financing

Primary MRA Tools

Public-Private Partnerships

Public-Private Partnerships (P3s) are a fundamental component of the Metropolitan Redevelopment Code, facilitating agreements between the public and private sectors to achieve goals that neither could be accomplished independently. The MRA framework enables municipalities to collaborate closely with private developers through development agreements, ensuring projects align with community objectives. Through the MRA, the City can provide land, parking facilities, public amenities, financial resources, and tax incentives to stimulate private development, while developers and property owners pay and manage the redevelopment process.

Development agreements outline the responsibilities of each party in the site redevelopment process, with clear performance measures for the timing of each phase. These agreements ensure that the public/private partnership benefits the community and supports goals outlined in the MRA Plan or other policy documents. The various types of development agreements include:

- **Purchase Agreement:** A contract between a developer and the City to sell City-owned land to the developer.
- **Lease Agreement:** A contract between a developer and the City for leasing City-owned land or property to the developer.
- **Owner Participation Agreement:** A contract between a property owner or developer and the City to allow for the development of property owned by a party other than the City, typically the owner or developer.

Private entities involved in public projects may also receive property tax exemptions under the Redevelopment Code for the tax increment following the City's acquisition of the property. The private entity must still pay taxes based on the property's value before acquisition, with the exemption applying only to increases in value after that. The exemption lasts up to 7 years.

Tax Increment Financing ("TIF")

For larger-scale projects, or projects with significant master infrastructure costs, TIFS can serve to develop and revitalize underutilized areas. TIF can provide a consistent funding source for redevelopment projects identified in the MRA plan. A TIF financing district allows the local government to capture future increases in property tax and gross receipts tax revenues generated within the district to fund public improvements like infrastructure, redevelopment projects or other community development initiatives. The MRA plan as developed through public input identifies the projects and types of projects that can benefit from upfront investments that lead to future value increases.

Tax Increment Development District ("TIDD")

Within the MRA, the municipality can leverage tax increment financing by establishing either a TIF District, which can be applied only to designated blighted areas through a simple City Council approval, or a Tax Increment Development District ("TIDD"), which can be applied to an area without an official blighted designation but must be adopted through either the petition of 51% of property owners or by referendum. TIDDs can use Gross Receipt Tax (GRT) increment as the revenue stream, which can have advantages over an increment based on property taxes like TIF

Agenda Item #8.1.

Districts, which may rise more slowly than new GRT revenue. A TIDD structure based on GRT increment is likely better positioned to capture the success of MRA incentives.

The TIF/TIDD can be used in the following ways:

Funding Redevelopment Projects or Public Improvements

The tax increment collected by the TIDD is used to finance specific redevelopment projects in the MRA, such as infrastructure improvements, building renovations, or public amenities. The funds can also be used to support private development that is aligned with the MRA's goals. By offering TIF funding within an MRA, municipalities can attract private developers to participate in large-scale projects that may not be financially feasible without assistance.

Permanent MRA Fund

The Redevelopment Code allows the local government to form a Metropolitan Redevelopment Fund to hold redevelopment funds in reserve until they can be utilized for specific projects or to fund MRA programs annually. TIF funds can be supplemented by general fund contributions, capital outlays, or grants, and managed through an MRA fund to ensure that project funds specific to the MRA District remain consistently available and appropriately managed.

Additional MRA Tools

In addition to public-private partnerships and TIF funds, the MRA structure can implement the following benefits, processes, and programs to incentivize new housing production, improve commercial corridors, and produce positive community impact.

Direct Contribution of Municipal Assets or Loans/Grants

Within the MRA boundaries, the City can offer small-scale city assets or infrastructure

improvements to individual property owners or businesses under the fair value provision, bypassing the complexities of a P3 development agreement. Memorandums of Understanding (MOUs) or easement agreements may benefit individual property owners or businesses, provided a corresponding public benefit exists.

Zoning Flexibility and Streamlined Approval Process

Projects in MRAs can benefit from expedited permitting, zoning flexibility, and other regulatory incentives. The Redevelopment Code also has a provision to allow the City to create zoning code ordinances, amendments, and exceptions that will apply to only the MRA. These ordinances can include provisions to increase site utilization/productivity such as reduced setbacks, increased building heights, and reduced on-site parking requirements. The City can also use an expedited development plan review as an additional incentive. These tools can reduce the barriers to entry for private development in the MRA, saving both time and financial resources that can often serve to make projects more viable.

Impact Fee Reductions

The City can offer property owners/businesses a waiver of development or permit fees when the development plans are consistent with the MRA Plan's goals and projects. A waiver or a decrease in fees typically charged to developers to cover infrastructure and public service costs (e.g., roads, schools, utilities) can further lower upfront costs and encourage housing construction.

Demolition Assistance Program

A demolition assistance program can provide financial or technical support to property owners or local governments to demolish dilapidated or unsafe buildings. It can provide one-time grants to eligible participants to remove hazardous structures and enhance public safety within the MRA boundaries, while also helping reduce the upfront costs associated with redevelopment.

Agenda Item #8.1.

Brownfield Redevelopment Program

This program focuses on cleaning and redeveloping brownfields – sites that may be contaminated with hazardous substances, pollutants, or other environmental risks. The goal is to transform these underutilized or abandoned properties into productive spaces like housing, businesses, or parks. The program may offer one-time tax credits to developers who clean up brownfield sites within the MRA boundaries. These transferable tax credits incentivize environmental remediation, abatement, and demolition activities, aiding the redevelopment of brownfield sites for commercial, retail, or mixed-use purposes.

Weatherization Program

Aimed at improving energy efficiency, this program can help enhance the energy performance of homes or buildings, especially for low-income households. The MRA can fund essential upgrades, such as insulation, sealing gaps, installing energy-efficient windows, and updating heating and cooling systems. These improvements help reduce energy costs, improve comfort, and lessen environmental impact.

Revitalization Incentive Program

To promote the redevelopment of existing retail centers, the MRA could offer financial incentives through reimbursements for permanent building and site improvements made by businesses or commercial property owners within the MRA boundaries. Eligible improvements can include façade enhancements, building safety repairs, structural and mechanical/electrical/plumbing (MEP) upgrades, and other projects that contribute to the long-term quality of commercial buildings.

Landscape Improvement Program

Landscaping has the potential to impact the overall appearance of commercial centers significantly. Many existing retail and commercial centers within the MRA boundaries have minimal

landscaping and local businesses may not have the funding to make exterior improvements. Therefore, offering a landscaping incentive may entice business owners to undertake minor improvements to their property.

Small Business Grants and Loans

Small business grant and loan programs can be implemented in collaboration with the City to provide funding to entrepreneurs for starting, expanding, or sustaining their businesses, particularly for retail locations within the MRA. These programs support job creation, innovation, and economic growth, targeting underserved sectors or demographics, including minority- and women-owned businesses.

Additional Economic Development Tools

Low-Income Housing Tax Credit (“LIHTC”)

Overseen by the IRS and implemented through Housing NM, the LIHTC program incentivizes private investment in affordable housing. Developers awarded LIHTCs raise equity by selling tax credits to investors, lowering project costs. Units must serve households earning 60% or less of the area median income, with rents capped for affordability, with restrictions typically lasting from 15 to over 30 years. States allocate credits, offering 9% for new construction and 4% for rehabilitation or projects using other funding.

Community Development Block Grant (CDBG)

CDBG is a federal program administered by HUD to support community development projects benefiting low- and moderate-income residents. It provides flexible funding to state and local governments for housing rehabilitation, public infrastructure, and economic development. At least 70% of funds must assist low-income individuals and public input is required in planning. Funds are distributed through formula grants to entitlement communities and smaller municipalities via state programs, addressing urban poverty, blight, and economic needs.

HOME Investment Partnerships Program (HOME)

The HOME program can also be an additional funding resource for projects and non-profit developers within the MRA, as other organizations and projects have successfully leveraged HOME funds in Las Cruces. Eligible projects include new construction, rehabilitation, and rental assistance for homes affordable to households with incomes at or below 80% of the area median income (AMI), with additional preferences often given to very low-income

families (under 50% AMI). HOME funding can also support housing for special populations, such as individuals experiencing homelessness or people with disabilities, fostering broader community development and affordable housing opportunities.

Choice Neighborhoods Program

The Choice Neighborhoods program combines public and private funding to support locally driven strategies to transform struggling neighborhoods with distressed public or HUD-assisted housing. This initiative takes a comprehensive approach to neighborhood revitalization by improving distressed HUD housing and economic and social challenges faced in the surrounding neighborhood. This program relies on a community-wide planning process, inviting local leaders, residents, and stakeholders, including public housing authorities, city officials, schools, law enforcement, business owners, nonprofits, and private developers, to collaborate and develop a revitalization plan and implementation strategy. These improvements include addressing vacant properties, enhancing housing options, supporting businesses, improving services, and upgrading schools.

Rental Assistance Demonstration (RAD)

RAD was developed to help public housing authorities (PHAs) maintain and enhance public housing properties by tackling the significant backlog of deferred maintenance across the country. This program provides long-term assistance contracts that help finance property improvements to rehabilitate and repair the existing public housing stock. This support is available to owners of properties involved in seven different HUD programs, three of which are active: Section 8 Moderate Rehabilitation, Moderate Rehabilitation Single Room Occupancy, and Section 202, Project Rental Assistance Contracts.

Agenda Item #8.1.

State Tax Abatement Programs

In addition to the property tax exemptions allowed under the Redevelopment Code, the State of New Mexico offers additional programs that provide a temporary reduction or elimination of property taxes for developers or property owners, including the Industrial Revenue Bond ("IRB") program which provides up to 100 percent exemption of project property from taxes for the life of the related bond indebtedness. The Community Development Incentive Act ("CDIA") is another example, where counties and municipalities are allowed to exempt up to 100 percent of the commercial personal property of a new business facility for a maximum of 20 years.

The following table demonstrates how these tools can be combined to support key El Paseo & S Solano MRA initiatives:

Tools	Implement Catalytic Projects	Local Business Support	Support Small-Scale Commercial & Residential Development	Support Nonprofit Developers & Affordable/Mixed-Income Communities
Public-Private Partnerships	✓			✓
Tax-Increment Financing	✓			✓
Direct Contribution	✓		✓	✓
Streamlined Approvals Process	✓		✓	✓
Impact Fee Reductions	✓		✓	✓
Demolition Assistance	✓	✓	✓	✓
Brownfield Redevelopment	✓		✓	✓
Weatherization		✓	✓	✓
Revitalization Incentive		✓	✓	✓
Landscape Improvement	✓	✓	✓	✓
Small Business Grants/Loans		✓		
LIHTC	✓			✓
CDBG	✓			✓
HOME	✓			✓
IRB/CDIA Tax Abatements	✓		✓	✓
Choice Neighborhoods	✓	✓	✓	✓
RAD				✓

Additional Resources

City Tools

Infrastructure Capital Improvements Plan (ICIP)

Agency: City of Las Cruces and/or Doña Ana County (through State)

Type: Capital Outlay

Description: The City and County may also seek to fund infrastructure projects through their infrastructure capital improvement plans. Although funds are limited, these funds may be used as matching funds to secure larger grants and/or loans by outside agencies (e.g. matching road funds for a street reconfiguration on a state highway).

General Obligation Bonds/General Funds

Agency: City of Las Cruces and/or Doña Ana County (through State)

Type: Capital Outlay

Description: The City of Las Cruces and Doña Ana County may seek GO Bond money or a direct appropriation for infrastructure costs using the County's existing general fund or by issuing new bonds to pay for capital improvements.

Industrial Revenue Bonds

Agency: City of Las Cruces and/or Doña Ana County

Type: Bond

Description: The City of Las Cruces and/or Doña Ana County can issue Industrial Revenue Bonds (IRB) to expand and relocate commercial and industrial projects. IRB financing is available for land, buildings, and equipment for headquarters office buildings, warehouses, manufacturing facilities, and service-oriented facilities not primarily engaged in selling goods and commodities at retail. IRB-financed projects are exempt from ad valorem tax as long as the bonds are outstanding, and the issuing agency holds the project title. Bonds may be issued in different series with variable principal amounts, interest rates, and maturities to accommodate

acquiring assets with different useful lives.

Local Gross Receipts Tax Option (LOGRT)

Agency: City of Las Cruces

Type: Tax Funds

Description: As part of the Local Economic Development Act (LEDA), Las Cruces residents may vote to raise the local gross receipts tax to help fund additional economic development projects within the City. The state collects the tax as part of the statewide gross receipts tax and redistributes it to the community for local projects. In general, LOGRT provides the community with revenue to fund services or projects related to arts and cultural activities or revitalization projects.

Gross Receipts Investment Policy (GRIP)

Agency: City of Las Cruces

Type: Tax Funds

Description: The City may pass a Gross Receipts Investment Policy (GRIP) ordinance that would allow property owners who develop his or her property to be reimbursed up to 50% of the owner's gross receipt taxes for infrastructure development costs incurred by the property owner (such as water, sewer, and roadway improvements). The ordinance is intended to incentivize development in key areas. Both new retail and certain targeted commercial businesses are eligible for this reimbursement, which is distributed through a city-managed GRIP fund based on the total attributable direct sales gross receipt taxes paid by an eligible project.

Lodgers Tax

Agency: City of Las Cruces

Type: Tax Funds

Description: The City may use the existing lodgers tax to promote the corridor's attractions and events for visitors. The tax may also be used to acquire, establish, and operate tourist-related facilities.

Agenda Item #8.1.

State & Federal

Outdoor Recreation Trails+ Grant

Agency: New Mexico Outdoor Recreation Department

Type: Community Grant

Description: The New Mexico Outdoor Recreation Trails+ Grant program empowers communities to enhance outdoor recreation opportunities by funding the planning, development, and improvement of trails and related infrastructure. Designed to boost local economies, strengthen outdoor industries, and foster community well-being, this grant program supports projects that connect people to nature, promote equitable access, and inspire stewardship of New Mexico's landscapes. By investing in outdoor recreation, this grant drives economic growth, enhances quality of life, and ensures lasting benefits for residents and visitors alike.

Transportation Alternatives and Recreational Trails Programs

Agency: MPO/NMDOT

Type: Capital Outlay

Description: The New Mexico Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP) and Recreational Trails Program (RTP) funds can generally be used for bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure and activities, in addition to other projects related to economic development, increased safety, and increased accessibility. The New Mexico Department of Transportation has a competitive process to afford TAP/RTP funds, based on how well each project proposal addresses the program's goals.

Cooperative Agreements Program (COOP) Local Government Road Fund

Agency: NMDOT

Type: Capital Outlay

Description: The New Mexico Department of Transportation sets aside money yearly for local government road improvements. This program assists local governments in improving, constructing, maintaining, repairing, and paving

highways and streets with matching funds from NMDOT.

The Public Project Revolving Fund (PPRF)

Agency: New Mexico Finance Authority

Type: Community Loan

Description: The PPRF is an up to \$500,000 revolving loan fund that can be used to finance public infrastructure projects, fire and safety equipment, and public buildings. Both market rate-based loans and loans to disadvantaged communities at subsidized rates are made from PPRF funds.

The Local Government Planning Fund (LGPF)

Agency: New Mexico Finance Authority

Type: Community Grant

Description: The LGPF provides capital necessary for proper planning of vital public projects, including infrastructure, preliminary engineering reports, long-term master plans, water conservation plans, asset management plans, economic development plans, affordable housing plans, flood inundation maps, archaeological clearances, or energy audits. Applications are accepted monthly, and grants are made on a reimbursement basis.

Economic Development Administration (EDA) Grants

Agency: U.S. Economic Development Administration (EDA)

Type: Community Grant

Description: EDA solicits applications from applicants in rural and urban areas to provide investments that support construction, non-construction, technical assistance, and revolving loan fund projects under EDA's Public Works and Economic Adjustment Assistance programs. Grants and cooperative agreements made under these programs are designed to leverage existing regional assets and support the implementation of economic development strategies that advance new ideas and creative approaches to advance economic prosperity in distressed communities.

Agenda Item #8.1.

The EDA provides strategic investments on a competitive-merit-basis to support economic development, foster job creation, and attract private investment in economically distressed areas of the United States.

Federal Transit Authority Transit-Oriented Development Grants

Agency: FTA

Type: Capital Outlay

Description: The Pilot Program for TOD Planning helps support FTA's mission of improving America's communities through public transportation by providing funding to local communities to integrate land use and transportation planning with a new fixed guideway or core capacity transit capital investment. Comprehensive planning funded through the program must examine ways to improve economic development and ridership, foster multimodal connectivity and accessibility, improve transit access for pedestrian and bicycle traffic, engage the private sector, identify infrastructure needs, and enable mixed-use development near transit stations.

Private/Foundation/Nonprofit The Loan Fund

Type: Community Loans

Description: The Loan Fund funds projects, programs, and businesses to improve New Mexicans' economic and social conditions. They offer small business and micro-loans to aspiring small business owners at an affordable rate. The fund also grants loans for real estate and equipment for certified development companies (CDC/504) and non-profits looking to finance equipment, inventory, building renovations, operating capital, and business expansions.

DreamSpring New Mexico

Type: Community Loans and Business Support

Description: DreamSpring provides small businesses with various low-interest loan options, ranging from \$1,000 to \$2,000,000 for

multiple needs. The organization's mission is "to increase access to business credit, make loans, and provide training and support that enables entrepreneurs to realize their dreams and be catalysts for positive economic and social change." These loans can support small businesses looking to launch or expand, helping to further support economic vitality in the MRA.

W.K. Kellogg Foundation

Type: Community Grants

Description: The W.K. Kellogg Foundation (WKKF) is a philanthropic organization that supports children, families, and equitable communities. Through its grants, the foundation seeks to create lasting change and improve the quality of life for vulnerable populations, especially children of color and low-income communities. They fund projects and programs to enhance education, health and well-being outcomes, racial equity, and economic security.

McCune Foundation

Type: Community Grants

Description: The McCune Foundation is a private philanthropic organization that provides grants to nonprofit organizations working to improve the quality of life for individuals and communities, with particular emphasis on education, health, and social services. It supports projects that address critical social issues, promote community engagement, and foster systemic change across New Mexico. Grants are typically awarded to organizations and initiatives demonstrating a clear and measurable impact on their communities. The foundation prioritizes proposals that align with its core values, including equity, inclusion, and sustainability. Funding is offered in the form of programmatic and operational grants to support projects at various stages of development.

Community Foundation of Southern New Mexico

Type: Community Grants

Agenda Item #8.1.

Description: The Community Foundation of Southern New Mexico (CFSNM) is a nonprofit organization dedicated to enhancing the quality of life for individuals and communities in southern New Mexico. CFSNM administers a wide range of grant programs that support initiatives in various sectors, including education, healthcare, arts and culture, social services, and environmental sustainability. The foundation serves as a resource for individuals and organizations seeking to make a difference, fostering collaboration and leveraging charitable giving to address the region's most critical issues. The foundation prioritizes projects and organizations that work toward creating positive change in southern New Mexico, focusing on addressing the region's unique challenges and opportunities.

New Mexico Foundation

Type: Community Grants

Description: The New Mexico Foundation is a nonprofit organization that works to improve the lives of residents across the state by supporting initiatives that promote economic development, education, healthcare, the arts, and social justice. Grants are focused on educational programs, community-based initiatives, health and wellness services, and efforts that promote equity and social inclusion. The foundation seeks to invest in initiatives that help build stronger, more resilient communities, and support the state's continued growth and development.

United Way of SW NM

Type: Community Grants and Scholarships

Description: The United Way of Southwest New Mexico (UWSWNM) is a nonprofit organization dedicated to improving lives and building stronger communities in the region. Through its funding initiatives, the organization provides grants to local nonprofits, community groups, and initiatives that align with its mission of creating lasting positive change in the region, especially for projects and programs related to education, financial stability, and health and well-being.

Case Study: MRA P3s

Public-Private Partnerships.

Public-private partnerships, also known as P3s, are an effective strategy for redevelopment. Since the MRA designation of the El Paseo and S Solano area enables P3s without violating the state’s anti-donation clause, the City of Las Cruces can play an active role in the area’s redevelopment alongside private developers.

Redevelopment can be successful when public sector oversight and incentives is combined with private sector technology and efficiency to execute desirable projects.

ULI’s Ten Principles for Successful Public Private Partnerships

1. Prepare properly for public/private partnerships.
2. Create a shared vision.
3. Understand your partner and key stakeholders.
4. Be clear on the risks and rewards for all parties.
5. Establish a clear and rational decision making process.
6. Make sure all parties do their homework.
7. Secure consistent and coordinated leadership.
8. Communicate early and often.
9. Negotiate a fair deal structure.
10. Build trust as a core value.

Farmington, NM

The City of Farmington successfully implemented one of the MRA Plan Projects: the Harvest Food Hub and Kitchen at San Juan College. The new space promotes local agriculture by providing a physical place for local food distribution and a commercial kitchen for entrepreneurs.

Role of the City.

Planning. The City of Farmington supported the development of the Downtown MRA Plan and Animas Action Hub Plan, both of which identified the need for a local food incubator and distribution center. These planning documents provided support for funding and implementation.

Land Acquisition. The MRA Plan identified the potential for the City of Farmington to support land acquisition for the project.

Program Facilitation. The City of Farmington facilitated connections between the program partners and Farmington Municipal Schools to offer local produce in local schools.

Project Partners.

San Juan College. SJC provided facility and project management support. They also integrated existing food entrepreneurship and food systems curriculum to jumpstart activities at the Hub.

NMSU. NMSU’s Local Food, Local Places grant funding supported project execution by covering operating costs for the first 5 years of programming and facility needs.

Factors in Success.

Project Location. The success of this project can be attributed to the MRA Plan, which identified specific projects for different areas within the broader MRA boundary. This clear distinction helped support the project’s location and implementation, as the planning process demonstrated the feasibility of the idea in that particular area. As a result, the project was highly specific to the area, leveraging both existing and potential assets to ensure its success.

Albuquerque, NM

The City of Albuquerque has a dedicated Metropolitan Development Agency housed within the Economic Development Department. The agency oversees nearly 20 different MRA areas across the city. To fully utilize the public-private partnership benefit that an MRA provides, the agency has compiled useful data for desired development to attract developers and provide them with the information they need to begin their process. This includes market data, as well as MRA incentives that would apply to developers.

MULTI-FAMILY MARKET PROFILE



ALBUQUERQUE | NEW MEXICO



4.2%
Vacancy



\$1.80-\$2.20/sq. ft.
Rents (New Product)



16%
Rent Growth Rate Since 2020



↑ 8%
Single Family Homes Prices 2020-2021



4.5%
4 and 5 Star Cap Rate



Metro Population: 915,000
Median Household Income: \$67,000
Annual Job Growth Rate: 5.4%

Source: GAAR, Costar, October 2022

INCENTIVE PROGRAMS

The Metropolitan Redevelopment Agency (MRA) partners with private developers to build vibrant communities in downtown Albuquerque and along the Central corridor. We have several incentive programs to catalyze investment in our community.



Redevelopment Tax Abatement

The Redevelopment Tax Abatement (RTA) is a seven-year property tax abatement on the incremental property taxes for all taxing districts. The purpose of the Redevelopment Tax Abatement program is to attract private investment and revitalize divested areas.



Gap Financing Grants

MRA regularly issues gap financing grants for projects in MR Areas. This Fall, MRA is issuing a Request for Expressions of Interest for up to \$3M gap financing for downtown housing projects.



Brownfield Loans

MRA offers an EPA Brownfields Revolving Loan Fund to provide low-interest loans to cover remediation expenses. The program goal is to encourage the redevelopment of contaminated properties in our community.



Storefront Grants

In downtown, MRA provides storefront grants to offset rent and encourage retail businesses back into our downtown. To date, we have assisted over 15 business and absorbed over 40,000 sq. ft. of retail space.



Fee Waivers

All projects located within a Metropolitan Redevelopment Area are eligible for a waiver of City of Albuquerque impact fees. This does not apply to Water or Sewer Impact Fees assessed by the Albuquerque Bernalillo County Water Utility Authority.



E-Review and Expediated Permitting

FasTrax is the City of Albuquerque's expedited plan review process available to those seeking a building permit. Builders can expect an average six-day turnaround time using the FasTrax system. ePlan is the electronic plan review process which allows builders to submit and track the plan review process electronically, bypassing lengthy and costly analog processes.

Learn More [www.mra.org](#)

Danielle Casey
President & CEO
AREA
dcasey@albuquerque.gov
505.735.3735

Terry Brunner
Director
MRA
tbrunner@albuquerque.gov
505.895.3142




Case Study: Downtown TIDD

In 2009, Las Cruces established a Tax Increment Development District (TIDD) in the Downtown/MRA District, funding it through increments from property taxes and gross receipts tax (GRT) revenue. The TIDD was approved by a majority of property owners in the district, with initial projects outlined in the 2006 Downtown MRA Plan. Additionally, the City negotiated with the State of New Mexico to secure its share of the increment revenue and revenue from Doña Ana County, although the state’s matching program ended in 2018.

The projects detailed in the MRA Plan have since received funding approval from the Las Cruces City Council and are managed by City staff. Since its creation, the TIDD has financed streetscape upgrades on Main Street, the reconversion of the one-way street couplet (the “Racetrack”) around Downtown, the construction of the new Plaza De Las Cruces, and the renovation of the historic Amador Hotel. The MRA also manages the Renovate Main Street program, which offers matching grants of up to \$25,000 per project to improve and rehabilitate Main Street properties for retail, restaurant, and entertainment businesses.

Notably, the TIDD has generated more revenue for the district than initially projected, with more than half of the income coming from the GRT increment rather than the property tax increment. Including the State and County portions has significantly boosted revenue, resulting in a larger pool of funds available for Downtown redevelopment. The TIDDs success is mainly due to the development of larger-scale projects that have contributed to a marked increase in property values and GRT revenue.




Conclusion

By using a combination of the tools and resources provided above, leveraging lessons learned through case studies in other communities, and following the steps outlined in the priority projects, we can ensure that the El Paseo and S Solano Metropolitan Redevelopment Area transforms successfully into the place envisioned by the community: a safe, economically thriving, and pedestrian-friendly neighborhood that is anchored by its history and valuable assets, while being open to necessary change that allows it to fully meet the needs of area residents and community members across Las Cruces. It will take city leadership, staff capacity, and the advocacy of stakeholders and residents to carry out the projects identified here in order to realize that vision.

We want to thank each and every person who contributed their time, energy, and resources to making this important step in that process possible.





El Paseo and South Solano
MRA PLAN