

Chair
Ken Miyagishima

Vice Chair
Kasandra Gandara

Board Members
Tessa Abeyta-Stuve
Gabriel Vasquez
Johana Bencomo
Gill Sorg
Yvonne Flores

TIDD BOARD AGENDA

Monday, October 25, 2021 at 1:00 PM



City of Las Cruces

**CITY HALL
P.O. BOX 20000
LAS CRUCES, NM 88004**

**700 N. Main St.
PHONE (575) 541-2067**

City Council Chambers

If you need an accommodation for a disability to enable you to fully participate in this event please contact us 72 hours before this event at [541-2115/V](tel:541-2115) or [541-2182/TTY](tel:541-2182).

- | | Page |
|---|--------|
| 1. <u>CALL TO ORDER</u> | |
| 2. <u>ACTION ITEMS</u> | |
| 2.1. Approval of Minutes from July 26, 2021. | 2 - 13 |
| <u>Tax Increment Development District Board Meeting - Jul 26 2021 - Minutes - Pdf</u> | |
| 3. <u>DISCUSSION ITEMS</u> | |
| 3.1. Financial Update. | |
| 3.2. Current Projects Update. | |
| 3.3. Plaza Shade Structure. | |
| 4. <u>ADJOURNMENT</u> | |



MINUTES

Tax Increment Development District Board Meeting

Monday, July 26, 2021 @ 1:00 PM
City Council Chambers, City Hall

PRESENT: Councilor Kasandra Gandara, Councilor Gill Sorg, Councilor Yvonne Flores, Councilor Tessa Abeyta-Stuve, and Councilor Johana Bencomo

ABSENT: Mayor Ken Miyagishima and Councilor Gabe Vasquez

1. CALL TO ORDER

1.1

Vice Chair Gandara called the meeting to order.
Board Member Abeyta-Stuve led the Pledge of Allegiance.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

2.1 Approval of Minutes from April 26th, 2021.

Councilor Yvonne Flores moved, seconded by Councilor Tessa Abeyta-Stuve, to approve the minutes of April 26, 2021.

RESULT:	Carried
MOVER:	Councilor Yvonne Flores
SECONDER:	Councilor Tessa Abeyta-Stuve
AYES:	Councilor Kasandra Gandara, Councilor Gill Sorg, Councilor Yvonne Flores, Councilor Tessa Abeyta-Stuve, and Councilor Johana Bencomo
ABSENT:	Mayor Ken Miyagishima and Councilor Gabe Vasquez

3. TIDD OPERATIONAL OVERVIEW AND TIDD PROJECTS DISCUSSION.

3.1

Chris Faivre, Destination Downtown Business Development Administrator, gave an overhead presentation.

Board Member Flores said in so far as the funding, you indicated that board members were required to make a contribution. Are those contributions continuing? Are they consistent?

Heather Pollard, President of the Amador Hotel Foundation, said we have not been getting any pledges for the last year during COVID. Our administrative funds were pretty much empty, so we've just been writing checks ourselves as the needs arose.

Agenda Item #2.1.

Board Member Flores said thank you, Ms. Pollard, for your personal contribution as well as other board members' during this time. I hope we're able to get you more money from other sources. We've expended \$935,000 and you said that was for all the items you listed, including the interior demolition. How much are you looking at and what specific projects? There's still about \$7.5 million, just based on your presentation. Is that what will take to complete the entire renovation?

Chris Faivre said I'm going to let Public Works give a little more detail, but, yes, that's roughly the estimate. Jennifer Morrow can speak to specifics on that, as well.

Board Member Flores asked would you know specifically as to the sources? Is that why we're looking at getting CIP money and other sources?

Chris Faivre said that is correct. Some of those were legislative funds that were gathered, correct.

Jennifer Morrow, Engineering Administrator for Public Works, said in regards to the funding: these are estimates at this time. As you can see from the presentation, we discussed that there needs to be a structural analysis and a codes analysis. Sometimes, it will be hard for us to say "This is exactly how much funding that we need." The estimates we put in front of you are the best that we have at this time, but those estimates could change once we get those structural reports back and see what they recommend. Also the other item that could change our funding is, as you know, with Covid we've had significant price changes over the last year. We don't know if those wood prices & metal prices are going to continue to increase or if maybe they're going to come back down. The other item is that typically in general with construction we see about a 4% increase every year. If we phase this and do it over four or five years we can anticipate that to have a 4% increase in those costs we provided today. At this time those numbers are the best numbers we have.

Board Member Flores asked would that be 4% times 6 years or 7 years? Is it a 4% increase every year?

Jennifer Morrow said it's just a general cost of living increase or construction cost increase. If we did a million dollar's worth of construction this year and we still had five million we could anticipate it to be 5 million plus a 4% increase. If we get another phase of 1 or 2 million and we still have that 3 million, add another 4% per year. It will all depend on how much money we can get and how quickly we can get through the phasing or through the recommendations for that construction. Since we are unsure of the money and don't know whether we will get one big chunk of money that we can do everything quickly with or whether we're going to have to phase it into 1 or 2 million dollar projects and have those staggered through the next five years will determine those increases over time.

Board Member Flores is asked when do you anticipate on having those structural reports and analysis back?

Agenda Item #2.1.

Jennifer Morrow said for us to do those structural reports we need to have about 1.5 to 2 million and that's why we're here before the Board, because that's what we're looking for as far as money for us to get those reports completed and then to move on to the construction phase.

Board Member Flores said but you did say waiting for this report. Do you mean after the structural analysis we will be waiting? We're not waiting now?

Jennifer Morrow said we've already done the historic evaluation report and are working with it. Part of that recommendation is the structural report and the building code analysis. Those are the reports that we need to do to move forward. The only report we have at this time is that historical one.

Board Member Flores asked you indicated that its awaiting Federal recognition as a national historical site?

Chris Faivre said part of the reason they're doing the internal demolition right now is to get the building back to its original structure and at that point in time they will evaluate where it's at and hopefully will be able to qualify for a Federal recognition as a historic property. At that point in time, doors to other funding opportunities would be open and available to us. A lot of these steps now have to be completed before that determination can be made.

Board Member Flores asked would the 1.5 million, if that was allocated would that take care of getting that step done and then moving forward to Federal recognition?

Heather Pollard said many of the Board members have contributed (not just writing a check every year) but \$10,000 each for the room rehabilitation. One board member has donated \$20,000. We also have prepared for you (I'll give this to Chris) there are 24 options for funding opportunities that we have put together. It's not just legislative money or TIDD money. There's American Rescue Plan, New Mexico Community Development, Department of Transportation-- it just really goes on and on and on. We're not just coming to you hat-in-hand for the entire amount. We do have other funding possibilities.

Board Member Flores asked my question is specifically as to whether once it's recognized Federally as a historic site, would it open up--?

Heather Pollard said many, many doors, yes, absolutely. Otherwise, we will be missing those opportunities. I would like to introduce Dr. Sonya Cooper. Dr. Cooper and her partner Jane Fulton at the Amador Hotel have put in together hundreds of thousands of hours. She is a structural engineer and she will certainly be able to answer many more questions than I can as a lay person.

Dr. Sonya Cooper said the Historic Structure Report (HSR) will give us a pathway for designation as a national historic landmark. Once we get the designation of a national historic landmark, that then opens up the funding that you've been talking about, at the state level, at the national level and through a lot of organizations (professional, private, and government organizations), that

Agenda Item #2.1.

have put aside a lot of money for bricks and sticks and mortar for national landmarks as well. So it opens up a plethora of funding opportunities once we get that designation. The HSR is about to be turned in. The architect has dedicated August or September for that. So that's very soon to be completed. We're on a good path there. I do want to take this time to compliment and thank Public Works and the contractor for the work that they're doing on the West wall. I don't know if you've driven by. I'm out there a lot. We get a bunch of horn honks and thumbs-up. It's been a really gratifying thing to see. There's a very good team of Public Works personnel, contractor personnel, adobe and lime plaster, mesa subcontractors all working together. This type of work requires careful sequencing and checks and balances between each other and coordination. So, the funding... to your question, Councilor Flores, the 1.5 million funding. This is in line with what Public Works has been saying. In my mind, that's that will help us continue around to the south and the north facades to complete the historic portion of the building, as far as the exterior goes. That's approximately (and these are updated numbers/costs given to us by the architect of record for this project) that particular funding is about 730,000. It will also include the window sash rehabilitation on all the historic facades, so the north, the west, and the south. Also, the reason to me why that is important is because we've got the best of the best artists all on site right now. The best adobe masons and the best lime plasterers in the region. We want to keep them there because they are well sought after on many projects. I think that what this funding will do is help us continue around and keep that excitement with the citizens seeing the progress of the building. Also, with that funding, the architect for the adaptive reuse can proceed with design and development drawings, so additional scopes can be done. That 1.5 million can also start the bid packages for the utility extensions and connections and rebuilding of the east walls and the structural and codes analysis that have been mentioned. All those together, are things that can be done without completion of the full bid package for the adaptive reuse plans and designs and redevelopment. This funding will allow us to do many things and jump start the next phases, while meanwhile, simultaneously, we can be asking for and writing these grants for additional money.

Board Member Flores asked would requests for certification run parallel to that or subsequent to that? This process that you just went through? At what point would the request for certification be submitted?

Dr. Sonya Cooper said, no, it would run concurrently.

Board Member Sorg said I've been told a lot of the history over the last 12 or so years about the Amador Hotel. I'm totally surprised as to the importance of this hotel to the history of Las Cruces. It's an extremely important part of our history here. And considering the age of this hotel and the type of construction, I can only imagine the problems it has and it's going to take some little extra money to do it. I'm all in favor of this. I'm thinking in my mind, this can even possibly be a national monument. I wouldn't rule it out. If we get it all fixed up, maybe we'll go for that, too. If we need more money, I would be in favor of that being taken out of future TIDD revenue. In here there was a statement about how to use the hotel and a bar and restaurant was mentioned. I'm kind of wondering about that. I think that was mentioned on or decided on or brought up before Amador

Agenda Item #2.1.

Complex where we have a couple or three restaurants and a bar there already. Maybe another bar and restaurant isn't going to be useful? I'm just throwing that out there as something you want to consider. There's a lot of other things we can use the building for. When people come here for whatever reason, this could be a good added feature to our visitors to Las Cruces.

Board Member Abeyta-Stuve said with regards to the type of funding, I think my main concern is making sure that at least the exterior of the building is safe and also appealing. As much work as we're doing in the Downtown area we want to make sure we're not subtracting any of that aesthetic value. With this additional 1.5 to 2 million dollars, would that cover to make sure that at least the exterior portion would be fully renovated, at least for safety? Or, if not, what might that cost?

Jennifer Morrow said the answer to that is 'Yes'. As you know, in the past we've had troubles with people breaking and issues with the building and keeping it secure. One of the things we're going to focus on with the money we're requesting from the TIDD right now is to secure the building and make sure we won't have that problem in the future.

Board Member Abetya-Stuve said I am in support of using TIDD funding for this project. I can hear the passion and the love from much of the community over this area, so I look forward to seeing the different types of renovations.

Board Member Bencomo said I was disappointed to not see any language in here about the small business incubator that we had previously discussed at the TIDD meeting. Can you report back on that?

Chris Faivre said there was some concern from City staff about TIDD funds being used for the purposes of an incubator, so right now we're working with Budget and Legal to evaluate whether or not that's an usage we can spend the TIDD money on. We ran out of time before this meeting to complete that, but we're working on that and we should know better here in the next few weeks or so.

Board Member Bencomo asked if Legal gets back to us and says 'Yes, this is absolutely doable' where does that leave us in terms of funding?

Chris Faivre said we could look at future funding through the TIDD to look at this project moving forward. We would re-evaluate money moving forward and see how that funds the project.

Board Member Bencomo asked what do you think the timeline is for that to fund a small business incubator?

Chris Faivre said right now we're going through a feasibility study that's supposed to wrap up this fall. We should have a better sense of what those total costs would look and what that program would look like on the other side of that. We were estimating somewhere in the neighborhood of 2.2 million to fund the facility plus any sort of upgrades or renovations plus three years of

Agenda Item #2.1.

operating, so that's what we're looking at preliminarily. That was the most recent numbers we had.

Board Member Bencomo said if Legal says we're able to go forward between this TIDD meeting and what next TIDD meeting could we actually be having a concrete conversation about funding that project?

Chris Faivre said the next TIDD meeting is in October and we can look to have something one way or the other back to the TIDD Board at that time.

Board Member Bencomo asked do you think there will be funds or are these projects expending the current funds that the TIDD has?

Chris Faivre said I feel like with future revenues and some of the money we currently have I think we will be able to find money to get the incubator moving forward, if that was the direction the Board wanted to go in.

Board Member Bencomo said I would like a bit more confidence in that, because to me, personally, a small business incubator feels a lot more urgent than shade at the Plaza. I think I expressed that as well at our last TIDD meeting. I may be in the minority on the Board, but to me a small business incubator feels significantly more important right now as we enter this sort-of-post-pandemic world. As businesses begin to recover and people who perhaps started home-based business in the last year and a half, that to me seems incredibly important. I just really want to note that that to me seems very significant. Again, the 1.3 million for shade seems like a lot of money to me. I remember this being the price estimated for a shade structure with solar. Am I incorrect?

Chris Faivre said this proposal moving forward does not include solar. That was going to move forward through the Community Solar program. This project when it was originally proposed came in just a little under a million and then with additional taxes and so forth on top plus an increase in construction costs the 1.3 was identified as the current amount to fund that particular design.

Board Member Bencomo said that's a really hard one for me, \$1.3 million for shade is a lot of money. The Renovate Main Street program: can you talk more about that, about its effectiveness, how many businesses have we been able to reimburse through this project?

Chris Faivre said in my time Downtown in the last year working with Economic Development on this we did seven. I know there have been a few previous to the program. It began, I believe, back in 2017. Economic Development has been funding this program every year. Like I said, we did 7 in the last fiscal year, which was a lot, I thought, considering it was during Covid. We're anticipating an increase next year. It's been used for Matteo's, that's one of the restaurants that's used those funds. Common Culture, which is a new beauty salon being developed on Water St, has used some of those funds. The pharmacy that's going in at the end, sort of catty-corner to Amador Live--they've applied for some of those funds. They've gone to a lot of businesses that are just starting and will be new Downtown. I think it's a really essential

Agenda Item #2.1.

program for getting that Downtown moving again and helping a local business that may need just a little extra money to do the renovations they want. It's all geared towards the type of businesses (like entertainment and restaurants) which is what we're focusing on to bring more to Downtown.

Board Member Bencomo said that's amazing. That makes me a lot more excited than shade. What is the total budget for Renovate Main Street?

Chris Faivre said another out of the Economic Development budget right now, we have funding for six. I believe that's \$200,000 we've put into there and we're looking for additional \$50,000 to get us to \$8,000 which we feel is a good number for this year. We've budgeted some--we just didn't have enough in our budget to do the full funding that we thought would be important for this year.

Board Member Bencomo said given how incredible as you say it has been, \$50,000 to me doesn't feel like enough. If we're going to make an investment in Downtown, that's what it looks, especially for people who are excited to open up the type of businesses we would like to see. So, thank you for that. I'm excited to hear all of that. I'm going to move on to my Amador Hotel questions. So, the current contract for \$935,000: those are all City public dollars?

Chris Faivre said a lot of those are State appropriations that make up the bulk of that.

Board Member Bencomo asked the rehab report--that hasn't been completed yet?

Chris Faivre said I believe that some of the work that they're doing now will help them finalize that, which is what Dr. Cooper was speaking to. It should be done in the next couple of months as these projects are wrapped up and provide the last bit of information for that process.

Board Member Bencomo said my last question around the Amador Hotel is this idea of a restaurant or adding a kitchen to it. It seems like it will be significantly more expensive and adds competition to another big City investment, which is the Amador Patio & Grill restaurant. Has there been conversations about how those two entities will work together in the future?

Chris Faivre said I have spoken to the owner of Amador Live a little bit about that. I believe there was some discussions about catering for events and those type of things. This was adapted before Amador Live was up and running, so I think the idea of a restaurant may need to be looked at again to see how that fits in. Maybe they tweak it a little bit so that it's not something that's in direct competition. I have spoken to the owner over there about some of those opportunities.

Board Member Bencomo said these two properties are significant City investments, so I think it would be important for them to collaborate. Those are all my questions, but I will come back to back to the Las Cruces Plaza shade. I remember the big price tag being because of the solar, but now we're at the

Agenda Item #2.1.

same place (at \$1.3 million) without the solar. Is there any flexibility here? That just seems like so much money for shade.

Chris Faivre said I can ask Public Works to speak on that. Again, these are just recommendations. The Board is free to do what they want to do with the money, if they are looking to put that money someplace else. I believe for that particular design that is what the estimates were coming in at was 1.3 million. There were other designs that were proposed but this was the one that the Board wanted to move forward with.

Board Member Sorg said as far as the sun shade structure, I agree with Board Member Bencomo. It's a pretty steep number. In my mind (and this may not be practical and I accept that, for sure) if we had something that could be removable during the winter time, from like November to March when we don't need the shade so much. A little extra sunshine might be good. That's what I thought we were going to do at one time. I forgot about what we have here now.

David Sedillo, Director of Public Works, said to answer both questions from Board Members Bencomo and Sorg, the price increase is, yes, similar to what we saw come forward initially for the solar. The increases that we're seeing now are due to those cost increases of both materials and labor that we've seen on a lot of projects. This is a steel structure, so those prices have increased. That's why we're seeing that difference, because we're going and getting those estimates now. As far as the removable shade structure, this was the plan that was approved at the last meeting, so we're moving forward with this. However, we can take a look to see if they are removable and report back and see what those costs (if any) increases will be and report back to the board.

Board Member Bencomo asked do you expect the cost of those construction materials to go down eventually, at some point?

David Sedillo said at this point in time, we're not anticipating any near future markdowns. What we are seeing is that the market is going to stay steady for quite awhile. We are anticipating (probably within about a year to a year and a half) we may start to see the market move back down again. That's all dependent on quite a bit of variables within the market itself, but we don't anticipate any immediate de-escalation of costs.

Board Member Bencomo asked is there an urgency to get the shade up at the Plaza?

Chris Faivre said the direction that we were given was to have it up for next summer, so that's the timeline we've been operating under. That's the latest information I have on that.

Board Member Bencomo said Madam Vice Chair, I know this is your district, so I would pose the same question to you. I don't see any particular urgency, but perhaps you do or perhaps your constituents do?

Agenda Item #2.1.

Vice Chair Gandara said since I got elected this has been in the queue for sometime. Once the Plaza got built, then we've had several public comment opportunities and there is a portion of the community, obviously, that wants shade there. When you go and you attend activities, entertainment it gets pretty warm, even in the evening hours. I do know that based on emails I've received that the Arts and Cultural District, the Downtown Partnership, many organizations Downtown that would like to be a part of the conversation, be at the table as we're developing the specs and design. I think this could look like an excellent art project, an art installation, if you will. I'm anxious to get it up. I think there are folks that feel very strongly that this needs to get in. I've been on Council six years. I'm advocating for it to be up, but if I hear a strong "Let's wait and see what the market looks like" I'm also willing to hear that, for sure.

Board Member Bencomo said I would personally recommend that. I feel like 1.3 million is a significant amount of money for tents that will provide shade, especially if in a month from now Legal reports back that the business incubator has a green light. What \$1.3 million could do for a business incubator as opposed to shade at the Plaza. I would like for us to wait on the shade structures.

Board Member Abeyta-Stuve said when it comes to the shade structure, I know that there were some concerns from Parks and Rec about types of items that we use at the Plaza, specifically when it came time for the Christmas tree. Within these designs and plans did we work through any of those issues that were brought up last time?

Chris Faivre said they sent me an email today to remind me of those concerns and to mention that they would be incorporated into any design that was put forward. City staff is aware that if we move forward with this project, there are a few things like that that we need to work into the design to make sure they do accommodate everything as well.

Board Member Abeyta-Stuve said I'm also in favor of pausing for another look. It is quite a bit of money, but, if this is something that can be an art installation, is there other funding mechanisms that can be utilized for that? I would be open to learning more. The second portion that I had was actually on your pedestrian data tracking. I know that you originally said they were down because the vendors changed the flyers. When the new flyers come out is that going to prevent having to change those as often?

Chris Faivre said that is the hope. They discontinued the benches a few years ago and this was the new design they went with. From all the conversations that I've had with the company, this looks like the model they will be continuing to move forward with, so I don't anticipate any massive changes (like the previous ones we had) moving forward, maybe just upgrade to the operating system and things like that, but this looks like the design they've switched their whole business model to, basically.

Board Member Abeyta- Stuve asked will there be any contract assurance giving you at least say, three years?

Chris Faivre said yes, I believe it will be for five years and then at that point in time we can re-evaluate and move forward with something different or continue with something upgraded. It's not a forever situation.

Board Member Abeyta-Stuve said I'm also in favor of the sound equipment. I know there are a lot of performances going on at the Plaza and that will be much needed.

Heather Pollard, President of the Amador Hotel Foundation, and to answer your question Board members Sorg and Bencomo, no. The slide that showed the restaurant is an old slide that we should have taken out. We have no plans whatsoever to build a restaurant, but we certainly do have plans to work with catering and with the restaurants around us. It's a perfect opportunity for the Amador to open their doors to restaurants. We wanted you to know that there are other people whose hearts beat just as strongly as ours in this community.

Frances Williams, Mayor Pro Tem Emeritus Dolores Archuleta and Martin Amador Campbell, all members of the Amador Hotel Foundation gave presentations about the history and significance of the Amador Hotel.

4. RESOLUTION(S) FOR APPROVAL

4.1 TIDD RESOLUTION NO. 22-001: A RESOLUTION APPROVING FY2022 TIDD FUNDING PROJECTS.

Board Member Flores asked by freezing this, how does it affect the contract? I don't know if that's within your parameter or within the City Attorney's Office to enlighten me as to the ramifications it would have on the current contract that we have, if we were to put this on pause?

Chris Faivre said I can speak to that. The good news is that there is no contract for construction right now. The money we do have right now (we've had for a couple of years) is for the design work, so we can move forward with the money we currently have to look at other design options. There is no contract with the contractor to do any work, so there is no issue there at all.

Board Member Sorg said I would just like to ask that, as part of the options, having a removable shade structure as an option. It may not be practical, like I said, but if we could investigate the possibilities of something.

Jennifer Morrow said while we were speaking on other items I had checked in with staff. In the past, this has come up: the removable. Based on past research, that would increase our price from 1.3 million to closer to \$2 million. Then it would be the maintenance of our crews installing it and un-installing it every year, as well. I believe that this discussion was had and it was deemed that it was better to put in the permanent one. We can revisit that and revisit the numbers at the next TIDD Board meeting, if you'd like.

Greg Smith, Chair of the Arts and Cultural District Coordinating Council, said we did express some concerns about the level or the amount of inclusion that this

Agenda Item #2.1.

decision involved regarding the Arts and Cultural District Coordinating Council. We feel that something this momentous in the heart of the Arts and Cultural District really needs more involvement. So I would offer you a moment to consider what Board Member Bencomo is saying about the priorities here, but I also think that Board Member Sorg has in the past brought up concerns, as he did again today, about the flexibility of such a design. I would look at it as a moment to pause. I would suggest that Board Member Bencomo has made a good point here about possibly pulling together some other pieces to make sure this is really what you wanna do and not looking at it as something 30 years down the road that that you're pulling out and saying "Aren't we glad we got it out of there?" The other point I want to make, briefly, is, as Board Member Abeyta-Stuve said, the aesthetics on the Amador Hotel are also an important feature. As you come west on Amador (it's a very busy street), there are two eyesores that greet you heading west. One is the item at corner of Main and Amador, which members of the Council sought to purchase a few years ago and I don't know exactly why that fell through. I heard people say that eminent domain might be something we might want to consider when something is sitting there as blighted and as troublesome as that piece of property. The other is on the east side that was mentioned by Dr. Cooper as something that could conceivably be worked on with the funding. That's what is presented to people as they drive west on Amador. The east side (next to the backside of the Visit Las Cruces building) is particularly ugly looking and currently is not having the work done on it that the west side is having done. I would urge you to consider that if we're looking at people coming to Las Cruces, if we're looking at people investing in Las Cruces, we want them to look at our Arts and Cultural District Downtown: this is what they see.

Jennifer Garcia Kozlowski, Director of Downtown Las Cruces Partnership, said the TIDD is a big part of what we do in the Downtown area, so these funds are very important to how we can actually recruit and retain businesses. In my estimation the idea of the Campo redesign, the Hotel renovations, the tracking is something that really helps us on a daily basis. We are for all of this. The Renovate Main Street is something we use constantly and that's how we do our main recruiting. My issue right now is the shade structure. I do think we need to pause and think a little bit more, because if this goes in we can't do chili drop, possibly our zombie walk, and a few other things we actually do in our Downtown that are events that we put on to bring people Downtown can't happen. I understand the heat, but if you look across the country in places that have been settled like Las Cruces plazas don't tend to have shade structures. Maybe there's a way we can meet in the middle and work together with our Arts and Cultural District, with Doña Ana Arts Council, with Economic Development, and Downtown Las Cruces Partnership to come up with something that's a little bit more user friendly and opportunistic for all of us.

Councilor Johana Bencomo moved, seconded by Councilor Gill Sorg, to amend TIDD Resolution No. 22-001 to remove the funding for the shade structures.

RESULT:	Carried
MOVER:	Councilor Johana Bencomo
SECONDER:	Councilor Gill Sorg
AYES:	Councilor Gill Sorg, Councilor Johana Bencomo, Councilor Kasandra Gandara, Councilor Yvonne Flores, and Councilor Tessa Abeyta-Stuve

ABSENT:	Mayor Ken Miyagishima and Councilor Gabe Vasquez
----------------	--

Councilor Yvonne Flores moved, seconded by Councilor Gill Sorg, to approve TIDD Resolution No. 22-001 as amended.

RESULT:	Carried
MOVER:	Councilor Yvonne Flores
SECONDER:	Councilor Gill Sorg
AYES:	Councilor Gill Sorg, Councilor Yvonne Flores, Councilor Kasandra Gandara, Councilor Tessa Abeyta-Stuve, and Councilor Johana Bencomo
ABSENT:	Mayor Ken Miyagishima and Councilor Gabe Vasquez

5. ADJOURNMENT

5.1

Meeting adjourned at 2:30 pm.

Councilor Gill Sorg moved, seconded by Councilor Yvonne Flores, to adjourn the meeting.

RESULT:	Carried
MOVER:	Councilor Gill Sorg
SECONDER:	Councilor Yvonne Flores
AYES:	Councilor Kasandra Gandara, Councilor Gill Sorg, Councilor Yvonne Flores, Councilor Tessa Abeyta-Stuve, and Councilor Johana Bencomo
ABSENT:	Mayor Ken Miyagishima and Councilor Gabe Vasquez